



Boulder Municipal Airport Community Conversation: Community Working Group (CWG)

Meeting 3 Summary June 7, 2023



Table of Contents

Introduction	3
CWG Meeting 3.....	3
Summary of Meeting and Discussion	5
Welcome Introduction and Opening Remarks	5
Desired Action Items.....	5
Draft Scenarios.....	5
Meeting Wrap Up	6

Appendices

Appendices	8
Appendix A. Presentation Slides	9
Appendix B. Desired Action Items.....	10
Appendix C. Desired Action Items Feedback	11
Appendix D. Draft Scenarios	12
Appendix E. Draft Scenarios Feedback	13
Appendix F. Evaluation Considerations.....	14



Introduction

Meeting overview

This summary has been prepared to detail the notification efforts and outcomes of the third CWG meeting. Additional details about the Community Conversation project can be found on the [project website](#).

CWG Meeting 3

Meeting information

- Location: City of Boulder Open Space & Mountain Parks (2520 55th St, Boulder, CO 80301)
- Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2023
- Time: 4:30 – 6:30 pm
- Number of Attendees: 14 CWG members, 9 project team members

Project Team Attendees

John Kinney, COB
Allison Moore-Farrell, COB
Kathleen King, COB
Danielle Furuichi, COB
Amalia Andrews, Kimley-Horn
Andrew Scanlon, Kimley-Horn
Blake Young, Kimley-Horn
Kathleen Wanatowicz, PR Studio
Jeffrey Range, CDR Associates



CWG Members

14 of the 38 member CWG attended the second meeting. The complete member list is included below.

Name	Email
Andrew McKenna	andrew@journeysaviation.com
Ariana Garcia	arianagarcia0757@gmail.com
Ben Molk	bmolk@crescent.com
Bill Shaffer	cmaxshaff@gmail.com
Bret Heidkamp	bret@crosstac.com
Bri Lehman	bri.lehman@gmail.com
Bronson MacDonald	Bronson.macdonald1972@gmail.com
Carl Lawrence	Carl@EnergySense.com
Carol Ciufolo	cciufolo@aol.com
Clemens Ceipek	clemens.ceipek@gmail.com
Elise Edson	elise.edson@gmail.com
Elliot Dickerson	elliott.dickerson@gmail.com
Ginger Zukowski	zukowsk1@msn.com
Glen Marshman	glenm@1bfit.com
Glenn Brillinger	glennb@brungardaviation.com
Guy Kenny	guy@gkennybuilders.com
Harry Ross	harryrosstemp+CWG@gmail.com
Hep Ingham	hep@igc.org
Jan Burton	jan.burton111@yahoo.com
Janet Heimer	janetheimer48@gmail.com
Jill Grano	jill@jillgrano.com
Julie Heins	itnotpa@comcast.net
Keith Stagg	staggkm@gmail.com
Laura Kaplan	laura.kaplan.pb@gmail.com
Lisa Morzel	lisamorzel@gmail.com
Lonnie Hilkemeier	lonnie@specialtyflight.com
Magali Botello	magalibotello2012@gmail.com
Marda Kirn	info.ecoarts@gmail.com
Mark Crossen	mark@raynemark.com
Philip Ogren	philip.ogren@outlook.com
Rachel Stanton	Rachel.stanton327@gmail.com
Rob Range	rob@boulder-air.com
Robert Murphy	bob@bcmurphy.me
Ryan Schuchard	ryanbouldertab@gmail.com
Sheila S	Westernskyhiker@gmail.com
Taran Volckhausen	tvolckhau@gmail.com
Tulia Flores	tuliaff@gmail.com
Whitney Park	whitneyfpark@gmail.com



Meeting Notifications

- Email invite to CWG members
- Email reminder and pre-work

Presentation Topics

- Welcome and Introductions
- Desired Action Items
- Draft Scenarios

Summary of Meeting and Breakout Discussions

Desired Action Items

Before presenting the draft scenarios to the CWG, the project team discussed Desired Action Items that have emerged throughout the various engagement opportunities. These Desired Action Items are near-term concerns that the city could begin implementing in the next 0-5 years. These are included in **Appendix B**. The project team asked the CWG the following questions on the Desired Action Items:

- Did we miss anything?
- What else should be considered?

This feedback is included as **Appendix C**.

Draft Scenarios

During the meeting, the project team presented the draft scenarios to the CWG for their feedback and comment. These draft scenarios are included as **Appendix D**. The participants provided their feedback and comments on each scenario with sticky notes. The project team asked the CWG the following questions:

- What elements do you like?
- What elements don't you like?
- Is a hybrid scenario using elements from scenarios 1-4 needed?

This feedback is included as **Appendix E**.



Meeting Wrap Up

Before the next CWG meeting, the project team will begin working on refining the draft scenarios and scoring each scenario using the established Evaluation Considerations. CWG #4 will be a workshop dedicated to feedback and comments on the refined scenarios.

- The project team will begin refining the draft scenarios based on CWG feedback.
- There will be an opportunity to provide additional feedback on the draft scenarios. The project team will take additional comments on draft scenarios until Wednesday, June 21, 2023.
- There will be an optional virtual meeting in July. The project team will share details about this gathering soon.
- The next public open house will take place on **Tuesday, July 18, 2023**. The details about this meeting will be available soon.
- The fourth CWG meeting will be **Thursday, July 20, 2023**.
- Feedback on the Desired Action Items will be taken until the next CWG meeting on July 20, 2023.





Appendices

Appendix A. Presentation Slides


Boulder Airport Community Conversation

Community Working Group #3
June 7, 2023






Input and Feedback





CWG Purpose and Goals

The purpose of the CWG is to **provide input and recommendations to the project team to support the community conversation effort**. The CWG will collaborate with the project team to develop a range of scenarios for the future of BDU. The CWG will be mindful of FAA obligations, preserving and enhancing safety. The CWG will meet five times throughout the project.





CWG Meeting Purpose



- Establish rules and sign participation charter
- Present baseline facts
- Share the “why” behind this project
- Communicate known opportunities and constraints
- Provide summary of one-on-one interviews

- Develop and discuss vision for the airport
- Identify evaluation considerations for future airport scenarios
- Connect project scenarios to city goals

- Introduce desired action items
- Discuss the initial range of scenarios

- Discuss and prioritize updated scenarios
- Present evaluation considerations and review scoring

- Assess preferred scenario(s)
- Conduct reflection
- Share next steps



Meeting Overview

- Desired Action Items
- Draft Scenarios Presentation
- Discussion, Feedback and Closing






CWG #3 Meeting Outcomes

Desired Action Items

- Obtain feedback
- Determine approach for addressing



Draft Scenarios


- Present scenarios
- Discussion and feedback



Desired Action Items

- Feedback received to date
- Themes, issues and/or concerns from stakeholders
- Planning horizon to begin implementation within next 0-5 years
- Homework: How would you like to provide feedback
- Decision: How do you want to work as a group or sub-group to address Desired Action Items?





Scenarios



Scenario Development

- Draft scenarios
 1. Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance
 2. Airport with Aviation Improvements
 3. Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Housing Lite
 4. Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood
- Exercise
 - What elements do you like?
 - What elements don't you like?





Scenario Development


- Scenarios are conceptual in nature
- Scenarios are a high-level view for what might be possible at the airport
- Scenarios represent a range of options
- Scenarios have a range of cost, feasibility and affordability
- Scenarios are first draft, together we want to modify and build





Scenario 1

Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance



LEGEND

1 ENHANCED MAINTENANCE



Scenario 1

Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance



Description

This scenario would bring the current facilities up to a state of good repair. No new facilities would be built.



Elements that Remain

- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing apron areas
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings




Elements that Change

- No physical changes outside of enhanced maintenance of existing facilities



Scenario 2

Airport with Aviation Improvements



LEGEND

1	REDEVELOPMENT AREA(S)
2	GREEN SPACE
3	UPGRADE GLIDER FACILITIES



Scenario 2

Airport with Aviation Improvements



Description

This scenario would foster aviation development that is responsive to market and community demand. Includes modernization of general aviation, hangar improvements, and implementing the most recent Airport Master Plan.



Elements that Remain

- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing apron areas
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings




Elements that Change

- Upgrade existing hangars
- Development of additional hangars
- Upgrade glider facilities
- Preserve options to accommodate future modes of travel and good movement
- Upgrade public aviation viewing area



Scenario 3

Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Housing Lite





Scenario 3

Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Housing Lite



Description

This scenario would foster aviation development and community development that compliment each other. Includes modernization of general aviation and hangar improvements and live/work hangars. Includes a wide range of neighborhood serving uses such as a restaurant or cafe, STEM learning center and vocational opportunities for local youth, and a community center that houses meeting spaces and an aviation museum.



Elements that Remain

- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing apron areas
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings




Elements that Change

- Upgrade existing hangars
- Develop live/work hangars
- Build a restaurant or cafe
- Create a STEM learning center and vocational opportunities for local youth
- Upgrade glider facilities
- Build a community center that houses meeting spaces and an aviation museum



Scenario 4

Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood



LEGEND

- 1 HOUSING
- 2 ACTIVITY CENTER(S)
- 3 EMPLOYMENT HUB(S)
- 4 GREEN SPACE
- 5 HELICOPTER PAD



Scenario 4

Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood



Description

This scenario would decommission the airport and create a new, mixed-use neighborhood that may include housing, activity centers, employment hubs, and green space. A portion of land would be set aside for helicopter emergency services that would support resiliency of the region.




Elements that Remain

- Landing area for emergency support services



Elements that Change

- Build a range of housing types
- Create activity centers
- Create employment hubs
- Allocate green space for the needs of native plants and animals




Exercise



Scenario Feedback Exercise

1. What questions do you have on each scenario?
2. What elements do you like?
3. What elements don't you like?
4. Is a hybrid scenario using elements from 1-4 needed?



Next Steps



Next Steps

Optional Virtual Gathering

July

Public Open House

July 18

CWG 4:

- Discuss and prioritize range of scenarios
- Present evaluation consideration and review scoring
- Finalize desired action item list

July 20

Bilingual Meetings

August

City Council

August 24

CWG 4 Action Item

Refined Scenario Discussion

CWG 5:

- Assess preferred scenario(s)
- Conduct reflection
- Share next steps


September



Homework

- Virtual survey on scenario options - preferences
- Provide comments on Desired Action Items
- Attend Open House - July 18





Questions







Appendix B. Desired Action Items

BOULDER MUNICIPAL AIRPORT DESIRED ACTION ITEMS

TOPIC AREA

DESIRED ACTION ITEMS

 <p>NOISE Develop and regulate noise abatement strategies to reduce the impact of noise from aircraft</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Implement landing fees for non-based aircraft ✔ Enforcement/encouragement of noise procedures; compliance with noise abatement procedures ✔ Explore options to strengthen beyond "voluntary" actions, including incentivizing ✔ Limit touch-and-go activity/training flights; explore options with voluntary limits on hours of start and end times ✔ Create glider training area modifications ✔ Identify ways to limit overflights from adjacent airports
 <p>HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT Incorporate carbon reduction strategies into airport policies and future developments/improvements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Expedite the delivery of unleaded aviation fuel to BDU ✔ Evaluate proximity to other natural features/wildlife habitats ✔ Incentivize the use of electric airplanes/eVTOL at BDU ✔ Investigate health impacts of leaded aviation fuel
 <p>COMMUNITY RELATIONS Increase opportunities for community involvement at the airport</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Land use compatibility – modify and strengthen the influence zone coordination for construction and a mandatory disclosure program ✔ Coordinate the Airport Land Use Compatibility Planning with FAA regulatory requirements and compatible land uses ✔ Update Airport Rules and Regulations and share with the community at large ✔ Comply with Airport Minimum Standards and FAA Compliance Standards ✔ Develop and invest in a Flight Management system/program to educate pilots and community members about actual performances and program awareness
 <p>AIRPORT ECONOMICS Develop economic activities for financial sustainability</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Update contract/leasing structure and fees to cover costs and create long term leases ✔ Create a maintenance plan for aging infrastructure ✔ Administer an Economic Impact Study for the airport



Appendix C. Desired Action Items Feedback

Desired Action Items Feedback
Add to Community Relations: programs, events and communication
More communication between the community and the city with regards to the airport
Food trucks!
Determine actions based on findings. Remove that 4th bullet [from Health and environmental impact] (want action not investigation)
Better/more transparent data
Dislike landing fees
Technology leads to decreased noise
Idea: Better bike access to the airport
Keep the airport in commission
Some of the noise action items are very unrealistic.
Food trucks
Idea: Bike facilities
Add safety
Be data driven
What's missing? -industrial function/uses (non-aviation) -city functions/offices (ex. Emergency services, transportation, bus depot) -Can we think about 50+ years from now? Are there spaces to preserve as undeveloped for the future? Imagine an alternative transportation future -bike infrastructure
Upgrades to the airport
More community spaces
Non-vehicular access between north and south side of airport
Better bike path access to the airport
Idea: want better non-vehicular access from the north to the south (especially if there is a restaurant)

+ More communication between the community and the city with regards to the airport

Better / more transparent data

- Dislike landing fees

food trucks

ID Bike facilities

- food trucks!

technology to ↓ noise

Add Safety

be data driven

determine actions based on findings

Some of the noise action items are very unrealistic, hikes: neighborhood is getting involved w/ Airport Assn. Can they recommend?
- Can someone share facts about distrib of unleaded fuel (timeline)
- Let's share our actions from the noise abatement group.

IDEA: Better bike access to the airport

remove that bullet (want action not investigation)

+/- Keep the airport in commission.

FROM #

What's Missing?

⊖ industrial functions/uses (non aviation)

⊖ city functions/offices
(ex. emergency services, transportation (bus depot))

- can we think about 50+ years from now? Are there spaces to ~~the~~ preserve as undeveloped for the future? Imagine an alternative transportation future

⊖ bike infrastructure

+ Upgrades to the airport

+ More community spaces

Non-vehicular access between North + South side of Airport

Better Bike Path Access to Airport

IDEA:


Want non-vehicular access from the North to the South (esp. if there is restaurant)



Appendix D. Draft Scenarios

Scenario 1

Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance



This scenario would bring the current facilities up to a state of good repair. No new facilities would be built.

Elements that Remain:


- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing apron areas
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings

Elements that Change

- No physical changes outside of necessary maintenance of existing facilities

Scenario 2

Airport with Aviation Improvements



This scenario would foster aviation development that is responsive to market and community demand. Includes modernization of general aviation, hangar improvements, and implementing the most recent Airport Master Plan.

Elements that Remain:


- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing apron areas
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings

Elements that Change

- Upgrade existing hangars
- Development of additional hangars
- Upgrade glider facilities
- Preserve options to accommodate future modes of travel and good movement
- Upgrade public aviation viewing area

Scenario 3

Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Housing Lite



This scenario would foster aviation development and community development that compliment each other. Includes modernization of general aviation and hangar improvements and live/work hangars. Includes a wide range of neighborhood serving uses such as a restaurant or cafe, STEM learning center and vocational opportunities for local youth, and a community center that houses meeting spaces and an aviation museum.

Elements that Remain:


- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing apron areas
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings

Elements that Change

- Upgrade existing hangars
- Develop live/work hangars
- Build a restaurant or cafe
- Create a STEM learning center and vocational opportunities for local youth
- Upgrade glider facilities
- Build a community center that houses meeting spaces and an aviation museum

Scenario 4

Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood



This scenario would decommission the airport and create a new, mixed-use neighborhood that may include housing, activity centers, employment hubs, and green space. A portion of land would be set aside for helicopter emergency services that would support resiliency of the region.

Elements that Remain:

- Landing area for emergency support services

Elements that Change

- Build a range of housing types
- Create activity centers
- Create employment hubs
- Allocate green space for the needs of native plants and animals



Appendix E. Draft Scenarios Feedback

Scenario 1 Feedback
Not enough community engagement
What did we miss?
-Unleaded fuel -Electric plane charging
Minimizes city spending on a misunderstood asset
Nothing good about this scenario
Like infrastructure remains
expedite use of unleaded fuel
Scenario 1 Nothing new to offer
Prevents expansion of operations
Improves existing services
Without noise control/lead control, does not solve problems
Dislike status quo will create safety concerns
Lame! Lets do better!
Likes: -low cost -Balanced against other city priorities
Dislikes: -We're already behind other area airports
Def. need improved maintenance (snow removal)
Don't like: Will do nothing for airport \$\$ wise or mitigate noise, pollution, safety

- Not enough Community Engagement

What did we miss?
- Unleaded fuel
- Electric plane charging

+ Minimizes city spending on a misunderstood asset

- Nothing good about this scenario

LIKE Infrastructure Remains

#1 expedite use of unleaded fuel.

Scenario 2
Nothing new to offer

+ prevents expansion of operations
+ improves existing services
- without noise control / lead control, does not solve problems
①

DISLIKE Status Quo will create Safety Concerns

- LAME!
LET'S DO BETTER!

Likes: Scenario 1
- Low cost
- Balanced against other city priorities
Dislikes:
- We're already behind other area airports
- Need improved maintenance (show removal)

Don't like: Scene #1
will do nothing for airport \$ wise or mitigate noise, pollution, safety

Scenario 2 Feedback
Like: electricity for electric gliders. Future electric tow-airplanes
Glider area needs electricity, flattened parking, running water
Still not welcoming to members of public/people who want to interact with airport activities
Likes: -better option than scenario 1
Missing: -FBO improvement (financial help)
Expedite use of unleaded fuel
Dislike: -likely to exacerbate conflicts/impacts to neighbors-no clear incentive for better relationship with community
Like: restaurant for community engagement
Dislikes: not enough community
Likes: -more community -reserve for future transport modes -Airport improves safety goes up
Concerns that this scenario with additional hangars will increase the traffic at the airport
-Add bike paths
Better but overall, there are better way to use the airport
Do not increase/upgrade glider
Doesn't really do enough to satisfy all stakeholders
Community area
Like: electricity for electric gliders and tow p
Scenario 2 is a minimum starting point from which we can build upon

glider area
needs
electrify
flattened parking
running water

Still not welcoming
to members of
public/people
who want to
interact with
airport activities.

Scenario 2:
Likes:
- Better options than
Scenario 1

Missing: FBO improvement
(financial help)

expedite # of
use of
unleaded
fuel.

Like:
Electricity for
electrify glider,
future
electrify taxi-
air planes

Dislike -
Likely to exacerbate
conflicts/impacts to
neighbors -
No clear incentive
for better relations
with community

Like:
Respectful
for community
engagement

Dislikes
- not enough
community

LIKES
- More community
- Reserve for
future transport
modes
- Airport improves
Safety goes up

Scenario 2
Concerns that
this scenario with
additional hangars
will increase the
traffic at the
airport.
Add bike paths.

Better, but
Overall,
there are
better ways
to use the
airport.

- Do Not Increase/Upgrade Glider

- Doesn't really do enough to satisfy all stakeholders

2

+ COMMUNITY AREA

Like:

Electricity for
electric slides
+ low P

SCENARIO 2 is a MINIMUM
STARTING POINT FROM WHICH
WE CAN BUILD UPON

Scenario 3 Feedback
Love the idea of a community center and STEM learning center
Concerns that additional hangars will increase the traffic at the airport -Add bike lanes
Don't like: -this is 'aviation' renamed 'community' -too much ambiguity as to who/how it benefits
Dislike: -adoption by community -length of time to activate
What's missing? -what about distribution facilities in new models?
Likes: -Fosters education -Fosters commerce -Fosters housing
What other housing opportunities are possible that are compatible with the airport?
No concept of how with impacts climate, wildlife or community. Self-policing has not proven to work
Increase opportunities for youth
Housing for emergency response
Like: [illegible], park, education for community
Scenarios 2+3 are best
Scenario 3: Like: -like STEM learning (but must validate need w/ BVSD or CU) -Like restaurant...but need to validate
Dislike: -Aviation museums don't work without LOTS of private philanthropy
Not everyone wants cafe/restaurant. Its a draw for pilots = more air traffic
As long as it genuinely compliments airport uses
Expedite use of unleaded fuel
See vision document for soaring society
Like: Lots of good community benefits + outreach ?: Is there really a market for much community activity such as meetings, museum, broader education efforts?

Will need investment in infrastructure for electrical aircraft and advanced mobility. The city needs to [illegible] what it wants +invest accordingly
Boulder could be a leader in the future of sustainable aviation
Consider repurposing existing building such as the ARC building for a STEM/community purpose vs take up land needed for hangar development
Community area
Do not increase/upgrade glider
Scenario 2 would be the best for Boulder
If we keep the airport this is the best plan
Scenario 3: I like the idea of creating STEM learning center and vocational opportunities for local youths available to all youths
Glide upgrade: area not much needed: -electricity -flattened parking -perhaps running water
Would like spaces to draw community in
Like: -electricity for electric gliders, future electric tow-craft

Scenario #3
Love the idea of a community center and STEM learning center.

Scenario #3
Concerns that additional hangars will increase the traffic operations at the airport.
Add Bike Paths

Scene #3
Don't like = this is ~~the~~ "aviation" renamed "community" too much ambiguity as to who/how it benefits

Dislike
• Adoption by community
• Length of time to activate

What's missing?
- what about distribution facilities in new models?

Likes
• Fosters EDUCATION
• Fosters Commerce
• Fosters housing

③ What other housing opportunities are possible that are compatible with the airport?

No ~~is~~ concept of how this impacts climate, wildlife or community. Self-policing has not proven to work.

+ increase opportunities for youth

+ housing for Emergency Response!

Like:
restaurant, park, education for community

Scenarios 2 + 3 are best

Scenario 3:
- like STEM learning (but must validate need w/ BVS or CU)
- like restaurant... but need to validate
Dislike:
- aviation museums don't work w/out LOTS of private philanthropy

Not everyone wants cafe/restaurant. It's a draw for pilots = more air traffic. ~~Emergency~~

(+) As long as it genuinely Compliments Airport Uses

Expedite #3 use of unleaded fuel.

See vision document from Soaring Society

WILL NEED INVESTMENT IN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR ELECTRICAL AIRCRAFT + ADVANCED MOBILITY. THE CITY NEEDS TO INCENT WHAT IT WANTS + INVEST ACCORDINGLY

BOULDER COULD BE A LEADER IN THE FUTURE OF SUSTAINABLE AVIATION

CONSIDER REPURPOSING EXISTING BUILDINGS SUCH AS THE A.R.C. BUILDING FOR A STEM/COMMUNITY PURPOSE VS TAKE UP LAND NEEDED FOR HANGAR DEVELOPMENT

+ COMMUNITY AREA

+ Lots of good community benefits + outreach

? Is there really a market for much community activity such as meetings, museum, broader educational efforts?

Scenario 3
I like the idea of creating a STEM learning center and vocational opportunities for local youth available to all youths

+ - If we keep the airport this is the best plan.

SCENARIO 3
WOULD BE THE BEST USE FOR BOULDER

- Do Not Increase/Upgrade Glider

+ GLIDER UPGRADE:
AREA

not much needed:

- x electricity
- x flattened parking
- x perhaps running water

+ would like
spaces to draw
community in

Like:

Electricity for
electric gliders,
future electric
tow-craft

Scenario 4 Feedback
Like! Unique opportunity for middle income affordable housing
Like the helipad suggest putting it on land purchased with FAA funding. Good compromise that allows emergency use
This area already lacks schools and retail. Potential to meet current + future needs of residents
Dislikes: Housing incompatible with existing heavy industry on Airport Blvd
Dislike: Transportation & emergency hub gone forever
Bring airport up to "Boulder" Standards Sustainable Alt transport Great Looking
Likes: Employment hub
Best option for the overall community and city needs
Other than emergency service, the front range does not need this airport. Other uses are more pressing
Solves noise and lead issues
Like: -if you're going to do it build 13 floors very high density
Dislike: -City doesn't have capacity to evaluate or "action" - look at Alpine-Balsm on Planning reserve -Food and shopping desert -City will not like "employment hub"
Can support climate and environment. Is the safest option and most community benefit option. Can do pollinator plots.
Middle income housing needed for city and people
Opportunity for integrated transportation housing and mixed use
Employment hubs? We need more housing!
Current neighbors have spent \$ improving city infrastructure to reduce traffic. This #4 exponentially explodes traffic and resource requirements
This whole plan changes entire character of current rural neighborhoods
Scenario #4 Does not consider other Boulder owned property for redevelopment
More neighborhoods like Holiday or Vauban
I believe this is the best and highest use of the land
Housing will benefit the community, business and will reduce carbon

Like!!!
Unique opportunity
for middle
income affordable
housing.

Like the helipad.
Suggest putting
it on land purchased
w/ FAA funding.
Good compromise that
allows emergency use.

This area
already lacks
schools + retail.
Potential to meet
current + future
needs of residents

DISLIKES
Housing incompatible
with exist heavy
industry on
Airport Blvd

DISLIKE
Transportation
+ emergency
hub
gone
forever

Bring airport
up to
"Boulder"
standards
Sustainable
Alt transport
Great lockies

LIKES
Employment
Hub

+ Best option for the overall
community and city needs
+ Other than emergency service,
the front range does not need
this airport. Other uses are
more pressing
+ Solves noise + lead issues (4)

Like:
- If you're going to do
it build 13 floors
+ very high density
Dislike:
- City doesn't have
capacity to evaluate
or "action" - look
at Alpine - Balsom or
Planning Reserve
- food + shopping
desert
- "city" will not like
"employment hub"

Scenario 4
can support climate
+ environment,
Is the safest option
+ most community benefiting
option.
can do pollinator plots

Middle income
housing
needed
for city + people

+ opportunity
for integrated
transportation
housing +
MIXED USE

EMPLOYMENT
HUBS?
WE NEED MORE
HOUSING!

- CURRENT NEIGHBORS
HAVE SPENT \$
IMPROVING CITY
INFRASTRUCTURE
TO REDUCE TRAFFIC. THIS #4
EXPONENTIALLY EXPLODES
TRAFFIC + RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS

- THIS WHOLE PLAN
CHANGES ENTIRE
CHARACTER OF
CURRENT RURAL
NEIGHBORHOODS

WHY IS THE AIRPORT THE
ONLY OPTION BEING CONSIDERED
FOR REPURPOSING? WHY NOT
THE PLANNING PARKS OR
GOLF COURSE? AIRPORT LAND
HAS FAA ENCUMBRANCES THAT
OTHER LAND DOES NOT HAVE

+ MORE NEIGHBOR-
HOODS LIKE
HOLIDAY OR
VAUBAN

+ I believe
this is the
highest of best
use of this
land. →

+ housing
will benefit
the community
businesses, and
will reduce
carbon.

Scenario #4








Does not consider
other Boulder owned
property for redevelopment



Appendix F. Evaluation Considerations

BOULDER MUNICIPAL AIRPORT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS

Attachment 3 - CWG3 Meeting Summary

GOAL	EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS
 SAFE	<p>Enhance personal and community safety</p> <p>Increase resiliency through mitigation, response, and recovery</p> <p>Comply with airport rules and regulations, Federal Aviation Administration Standards, and Boulder Fire Department</p>
 HEALTHY & SOCIALLY THRIVING	<p>Create community programming and gathering spaces</p> <p>Minimize community exposure to site impacts and noise</p> <p>Provide educational opportunities</p>
 LIVABLE	<p>Diversify site uses</p> <p>Balance the needs of airport operations and compatible surrounding land uses</p> <p>Provide amenities for residents</p>
 ACCESSIBLE & CONNECTED	<p>Increase current and future multi-modal transportation options</p> <p>Enhance open space, bicycle, and trail connectivity</p> <p>Accommodate goods movement</p>
 ENVIRONMENTALLY SUSTAINABLE	<p>Encourage the integration of future clean technology</p> <p>Incentivize unleaded fuel for aircraft</p> <p>Minimize impact to wildlife and natural environment and support environmental compatibility</p>
 RESPONSIBLY GOVERNED	<p>Provide excellent customer experience</p> <p>Provide transparency, equity and uniformity with compliance in all leases, regulations, and plans for the airport</p> <p>Integrate community input regularly (e.g. Formation of Community Advisory Committee, Noise Committee)</p> <p>Maintain financial autonomy from city general fund subsidies</p>
 ECONOMICALLY VITAL	<p>Provide a diverse tax base and stable tax stream</p> <p>Foster diverse business options</p>





Boulder Municipal Airport Community Conversation: Public Open House

Public Open House 2 Summary July 18, 2023



Table of Contents

Introduction 3

Public Open House 4

Public Open House Summary 5

Appendix 9

Appendices

Appendices 9

 Appendix A. Presentation Slides

 Appendix B. Open House Boards

 Appendix C. Meeting Notifications

 Appendix D. Media Coverage



Introduction

Meeting Overview

This summary has been prepared to detail the notifications efforts and outcomes of the second public open house meeting. The public open house is one of many engagement touchpoints the city and project team have had with the community throughout the Community Conversation Project.

The meeting was held in an open house format. At the open house, the project staff review the project process, desired action items, and shared details about the four scenarios presented at the open house. Community members were invited to provide feedback about their concerns or interests about each of the scenarios and desired action items.

Additional details about the Community Conversation project can be found on the [project website](#).



Public Open House Details

Meeting information

- Location: Via Mobility Services (2855 63rd St, Boulder, CO 80301)
- Date: Tuesday, July 18, 2023
- Time: 4:00 – 6:00 pm
- Number of Attendees
 - 123 (123 attendees signed in using the paper form)
 - 12 project team members

Project Team Attendees

Natalie Stiffler, COB

John Kinney, COB

Allison Moore-Farrell, COB

Kathleen King, COB

Leticia Abajo, COB

Dylan Swanson, COB

Nick Pollock, COB

Lucy O'Sullivan, COB

Amalia Andrews, Kimley-Horn

Blake Young, Kimley-Horn

Kathleen Wanatowicz, PR Studio

Jeffrey Range, CDR Associates



Meeting Notifications

- Email Invite
- Flyer
- Social Media Post – Facebook
 - July 13, 2023
 - July 5, 2023
- Social Media Post – Facebook, Spanish
 - July 6, 2023
- Social Media Post – Twitter
 - July 13, 2023
 - July 5, 2023
- Press Release
 - July 5, 2023

Media Coverage

- [Boulder to hold town hall on future of airport](#), Daily Camera, July 17, 2023
- [City eyes future possibilities for Boulder airport site](#), Greeley Tribune, July 30, 2023.
- [City of Boulder considers what to do with airport: "Tradeoffs in every one of these scenarios"](#), CBS Colorado, July 19, 2023.
- [City deciding the future of Boulder Municipal Airport](#), Left Hand Valley Courier, August 2, 2023.

Presentation Topics

- Input and Feedback Process
- CWG Update
- Study Outcomes
- Desired Action Items
- Scenarios

Summary of Public Meeting

- The project team gave two presentations during the meeting. This presentation provided an overview about the project, the process, desired action items and previewed the scenarios for the attendees. This presentation is included as **Appendix A**. The presentation concluded by inviting participants to review the scenario at each station and think about the following questions:
 - What questions do you have on each scenario?
 - What elements do you like?
 - What elements don't you like?
- Community members had an opportunity to share their feedback to scenarios through sticky notes. The scenarios presented are included in this report as **Appendix B**. These comments are included in this report as **Appendix C**.



- Community members had an opportunity to share their feedback on the Desired Near Term Action Items through sticky notes. The action items presented are included in this report as **Appendix D**. These comments are included in this report as **Appendix E**.

- Scenario 1 - Feedback highlights include:
 - Pros:
 - Improved maintenance
 - Simple
 - Investment
 - Less change
 - Emergency response
 - Investment
 - Maintain current operations
 - Support training
 - Maintains safety
 - Cons:
 - Doesn't advance Boulder goals as much
 - No implementation plans
 - Band-Aid on current issues
 - Does not address need for housing
 - Doesn't address feedback about flight patterns
 - Furthers perception that BDU serves select groups
 - Additional Information Needed:
 - Economic analysis
 - Noise
 - Environmental study
 - Flight pattern review
 - Enhance eco system

- Scenario 2 - Feedback highlights include:
 - Pros:
 - STEM
 - Use of technology
 - Advance use of unleaded fuel
 - Could make the airport more inclusive
 - Cons:
 - Lead fuel is not addressed
 - Equity
 - Aviation traffic is not addressed
 - Sources of funding
 - Growth may increase traffic to airport
 - Vehicle and air traffic increase
 - Additional Information Needed:
 - Understand the sources of funding for BDU



- Scenario 3 - Feedback highlights include:
 - Pros:
 - Good middle ground
 - Inclusive of community
 - Could reduce community hostility (improve community relations)
 - Cons:
 - Expansion may lead to more aviation traffic
 - May be too expensive to implement
 - Land use compatibility concerns
 - Environmental impact
 - Noise
 - Continued glider operations – Noise and safety
 - Inequitable
 - Doesn't provide enough housing

- Scenario 4 - Feedback highlights include:
 - Pros:
 - Serves entire community
 - Supports reduction of leaded fuel impact on community
 - Provides additional housing
 - Cons:
 - FAA may not approve
 - May not provide affordable living
 - Could be a very costly option
 - Airspace would be utilized by others
 - Does not provide open space
 - Airport is vital to the area

- Near-Term Action Items – Many comments received agreed with the near-term action items presented. Feedback highlights include:
 - One comment disagreed with implementing landing fees
 - Desire to analyze noise abatement procedures and consider changes
 - Review the runways in use and evaluate their compliance to FAA regulations
 - Analyze the potential impact of development on open space and surrounding environment





Appendices

Appendix A. Presentation Slides


Boulder Airport Community Conversation

Open House #2
July 18, 2023





Input and Feedback





Community Working Group

- Three workshop meetings, five in total
- 30+ members diverse perspectives
- Constructive dialogue
- Additional meetings to connect and learn from each other






Process Outcomes

Desired Action Items

- Obtain feedback



Scenarios


- Present scenarios
- Obtain feedback



Desired Action Items

- Planning horizon to begin implementation within next 0-5 years
- Feedback received to date helped developed this list
- Themes, issues and/or concerns from stakeholders





Scenarios



Scenario Development

- Scenarios are conceptual in nature
- Scenarios are a high-level view for what might be possible at the airport
- Scenarios represent a range of options
- Scenarios have a range of cost, feasibility and affordability
- Scenarios are first draft, together we want to modify and build






Scenario Development

- Draft scenarios – 4 Scenarios
 - Station 1: Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance
 - Station 2: Airport with Aviation Improvements
 - Station 3: Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Limited Housing
 - Station 4: Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood

- Exercise
 - What elements do you like?
 - What elements don't you like?


 - **Elements:** programming, infrastructure, services, operations and future planning areas





Scenario 1

Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance



LEGEND

1 ENHANCED MAINTENANCE



Scenario 1

Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance



Description

This scenario would bring the current facilities up to a state of good repair. No new facilities would be built.



Elements that Remain

- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings



Elements that Change

- No physical changes outside of enhanced maintenance of existing facilities



Scenario 2

Airport with Aviation Improvements



LEGEND

1	REDEVELOPMENT AREA(S)
2	GREEN SPACE
3	ENHANCE AVIATION FACILITIES



Scenario 2

Airport with Aviation Improvements



Description

This scenario would foster aviation development that is responsive to market and community demand. Includes modernization of general aviation, hangar improvements, and implementing the most recent Airport Master Plan, which may increase flight capacity.



Elements that Remain

- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings



Elements that Change

- Upgrade existing hangars
- Development of additional hangars
- Enhance aviation facilities
- Preserve options to accommodate future modes of travel and good movement
- Upgrade public aviation viewing area



Scenario 3

Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Limited Housing





Scenario 3

Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Limited Housing



Description

This scenario would foster aviation development and community development that compliment each other. Includes modernization of general aviation and hangar improvements and live/work hangars. Includes a wide range of neighborhood serving uses such as a restaurant or cafe, science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) learning center and vocational opportunities for local youth, and a community center that houses meeting spaces.



Elements that Remain

- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings




Elements that Change

- Upgrade existing hangars
- Develop live/work hangars
- Build a restaurant or cafe
- Create a STEM learning center and vocational opportunities for local youth
- Enhance aviation facilities
- Build a community center that houses meeting spaces



Scenario 4

Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood



LEGEND

- 1** HOUSING
- 2** ACTIVITY CENTER(S)
- 3** EMPLOYMENT HUB(S)
- 4** GREEN SPACE
- 5** HELICOPTER PAD



Scenario 4

Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood



Description

This scenario would decommission the airport and create a new, mixed-use neighborhood that may include housing, activity centers, employment hubs, and green space. A portion of land would be set aside for helicopter emergency services that would support resiliency of the region.




Elements that Remain

- Aircraft landing area for emergency support services



Elements that Change

- Build a range of housing types
- Create activity centers
- Create employment hubs
- Allocate green space for the needs of native plants and animals



Exercise



Scenario Feedback

Go to Each Station

1. What questions do you have on each scenario?
2. What elements do you like?
3. What elements don't you like?




Survey Live – BeheardBoulder.org



Airport Community Conversation/Conversaciones Comunitarias del Aero...

Provide feedback on four community-informed draft scenarios for the future of the airport site.

[Share Your Input](#)




Next Steps



Next Steps

- Bilingual Community Meetings – August 22 and 29, 2023
- City Council Presentation – August 24, 2023
- CWG Meeting #5 – September 2023
- City Council Presentation – January 2024




Thank You



Appendix B. Open House Boards

Scenario 1 Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance



This scenario would bring the current facilities up to a state of good repair.

No new facilities would be built.

Elements that Remain:


- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings

Elements that Change

- No physical changes outside of necessary maintenance of existing facilities



Scenario 2 Airport with Aviation Improvements



This scenario would foster aviation development that is responsive to market and community demand.

Includes modernization of general aviation, hangar improvements, and implementing the most recent Airport Master Plan, which may increase flight capacity.

Elements that Remain:


- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings

Elements that Change

- Upgrade existing hangars
- Development of additional hangars
- Enhance aviation facilities
- Preserve options to accommodate future modes of travel and good movement
- Upgrade public aviation viewing area



Scenario 3 Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Limited Housing



This scenario would foster aviation development and community development that compliment each other. Includes modernization of general aviation and hangar improvements and live/work hangars. Includes a wide range of neighborhood serving uses such as a restaurant or cafe, science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) learning center and vocational opportunities for local youth, and a community center that houses meeting spaces.

Elements that Remain:


- Existing runways and taxiways
- Existing hangar facilities
- Existing support buildings

Elements that Change

- Upgrade existing hangars
- Develop live/work hangars
- Build a restaurant or cafe
- Create a STEM learning center and vocational opportunities for local youth
- Enhance aviation facilities
- Build a community center that houses meeting spaces



Scenario 4 Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood



This scenario would decommission the airport and create a new, mixed-use neighborhood that may include housing, activity centers, employment hubs, and green space.

A portion of land would be set aside for helicopter emergency services that would support resiliency of the region.

Elements that Remain:

- Aircraft landing area for emergency support services

Elements that Change






- Build a range of housing types
- Create activity centers
- Create employment hubs
- Allocate green space for the needs of native plants and animals



BOULDER MUNICIPAL AIRPORT DESIRED NEAR-TERM ACTION ITEMS

TOPIC AREA

DESIRED ACTION ITEMS

 <p>NOISE Develop and regulate noise abatement strategies to reduce the impact of noise from aircraft</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Implement landing fees for both based and non-based aircraft ✔ Enforcement/encouragement of noise procedures; explore ways to encourage compliance with noise abatement procedures ✔ Include South Boulder and Boulder Reservoir in Voluntary Noise Abatement ✔ Update voluntary noise procedures to discourage touch and go, low passes, training and pilot building hours after 5 PM ✔ Hours of operations should be updated to 9-5 PM on weekdays and 9-4PM on weekend 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Limit touch-and-go activity/training flights; explore options with voluntary limits on start and end times ✔ Create glider training area modifications ✔ Identify ways to limit overflights from adjacent airports ✔ Regularly publish noise complaint data ✔ Investigate ways to better measure and report noise ✔ Rework helicopter flight paths to avoid flying over homes ✔ Explore feasibility of mandating quieter muffler/exhaust systems for based aircraft
 <p>HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT Incorporate carbon reduction strategies into airport policies and future developments/improvements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Expedite the delivery of unleaded aviation fuel to BDU – establish timeline for discontinuing use of leaded fuel ✔ Evaluate proximity to other natural features/wildlife habitats ✔ Incentivize the use of electric airplanes/eVTOL (does not need runway) at BDU 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Investigate impacts of leaded aviation fuel emissions in Boulder, specifically relating to children’s health ✔ Publish regular estimates of lead emissions from BDU operations ✔ Investigate greenhouse gas impact of operations, based on flight data from flights originating/landing at BDU ✔ Report leaded fuel sales and consumption
 <p>COMMUNITY RELATIONS Increase opportunities for community involvement at the airport</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Land use compatibility – modify and strengthen the influence zone coordination for construction and implement a mandatory disclosure program ✔ Coordinate the Airport Land Use Compatibility Planning with FAA regulatory requirements and compatible land uses ✔ Update Airport Rules and Regulations and share with the community at large ✔ Comply with Airport Minimum Standards and FAA Compliance Standards ✔ Develop and invest in a Flight Management system/program to educate pilots and community members about actual performances and program awareness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Commit to ongoing coordination between pilots and neighbors ✔ Promote community events and programs ✔ Deliver quarterly noise complaint summary memo to City Council ✔ Deliver quarter summary memo to CC/CDOT/public detailing tenant violations, late fees, improvements, crashes, fuel farm updates ✔ Cease offering flying events at BDU ✔ Introduce community programs for traditionally underserved communities at the airport ✔ Host family-friendly events at the airport with aviation focused activities
 <p>AIRPORT ECONOMICS Develop economic activities for financial sustainability</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Update contract/leasing structure and fees to cover costs ✔ Create a maintenance plan for aging infrastructure ✔ Administer an Economic Impact Study for the airport 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Airport economic and budgetary data easily accessible ✔ Investigate the potential for transport aviation ✔ Share information about funding sources for BDU – including FAA grants
 <p>SAFETY</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Introduce real time messaging to pilots and geofencing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✔ Commit to regular publication of safety data



AIRPORT SITE EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS

GOAL

EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS

 <p>SAFE</p>	<p>Enhance personal and community safety Increase resiliency through mitigation, response, and recovery Comply with airport rules and regulations, Federal Aviation Administration Standards, and Boulder Fire Department</p>
 <p>HEALTHY & SOCIALLY THRIVING</p>	<p>Create community programming and gathering spaces Minimize community exposure to site impacts and noise Provide educational opportunities</p>
 <p>LIVABLE</p>	<p>Diversify site uses Balance the needs of airport operations and compatible surrounding land uses Provide amenities for residents</p>
 <p>ACCESSIBLE & CONNECTED</p>	<p>Increase current and future multi-modal transportation options Enhance open space, bicycle, and trail connectivity Accommodate goods movement</p>
 <p>ENVIRONMENTALLY SUSTAINABLE</p>	<p>Encourage the integration of future clean technology Incentivize unleaded fuel for aircraft Minimize impact to wildlife and natural environment and support environmental compatibility</p>
 <p>RESPONSIBLY GOVERNED</p>	<p>Provide excellent customer experience Provide transparency, equity and uniformity with compliance in all leases, regulations, and plans for the airport Integrate community input regularly (e.g. Formation of Community Advisory Committee, Noise Committee) Maintain financial autonomy from city general fund subsidies</p>
 <p>ECONOMICALLY VITAL</p>	<p>Provide a diverse tax base and stable tax stream Foster diverse business options</p>





Appendix C. Meeting Notifications

Facebook

City of Boulder Colorado Government
 July 13 at 5:00 PM · 🌐

We invite you to share your vision for the future of the Boulder Municipal Airport site!

Community feedback from earlier this spring has helped lead to four draft scenarios for the future of the airport site. Learn more and share your thoughts at the second open house on July 18.

- When: Tuesday, July 18 from 4 to 6 p.m. Drop in anytime or stop by for presentations at 4:30 p.m. and 5:20 p.m.
- Where: Via Mobility Services, 2855 63rd Street, Boulder, CO 80301
- Who: All are welcome! There will be activities for children. Spanish information is available. We encourage you to invite your friends, family and anyone who might be interested in the future of the airport site.

The community-informed draft scenarios for the future of the airport site include:

- Scenario 1: Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance
- Scenario 2: Airport with Aviation Improvements
- Scenario 3: Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Limited Housing
- Scenario 4: Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood

This is the second public open house designed to understand the community's vision for the future of the airport site as part of the Airport Community Conversation. The project will provide city leadership with a community-supported scenario(s) for the future of the airport site and help guide next steps.

Learn more and preview the scenarios online: <https://bldr.fyi/ACC>.

Can't attend? Provide input via the online questionnaire by Aug. 2: <https://bldr.fyi/ACCBHB>.

Boulder Municipal Airport
PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE

 **When:**
 Tuesday, July 18, 2023
 4:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.

 **Where:**
 Via Mobility Services
 2855 63rd St
 Boulder, CO 80301



City of Boulder Colorado Government
 July 5 at 1:01 PM · 🌐

We invite you to share your vision for the future of the Boulder Municipal Airport site!

Using feedback from the community, the Boulder Municipal Airport project team developed a range of scenarios for the future of the airport site. Join us at the second Public Open House to preview the scenarios and provide your feedback.

- Want to learn more? Attend the second Public Open House 🌟
- Save the date: July 18 from 4 to 6 p.m. Drop in anytime. There will be a presentation from the project team at 4:30 p.m. and 5:20 p.m. 📅
- 🌟 Children and families are welcome. Spanish information available.
- 📍 Via Mobility Services
 2855 63rd St
 Boulder, CO 80301
- Community members are also invited to provide feedback on an online questionnaire, available via the city website from July 12 to July 31. <https://bldr.fyi/ACC>

Boulder Municipal Airport
PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE

 **When:**
 Tuesday, July 18, 2023
 4:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.

 **Where:**
 Via Mobility Services
 2855 63rd St
 Boulder, CO 80301



Twitter

City of Boulder @bouldercol... · 7/13/23 ...

We've put together community feedback to create four draft scenarios for the future of the airport site.

Be part of the Airport Community Conversation by telling us what you think at the next open house on July 18 or via the online questionnaire: bldr.fyi/ACC

Boulder Municipal Airport
PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE

When:
Tuesday, July 18, 2023
4:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.

Where:
Via Mobility Services
2855 63rd St
Boulder, CO 80301

ALT

3 6 2,058

City of Boulder @bouldercolo... · 7/5/23 ...

Using community feedback, the Airport Community Conversation project team has developed a range of scenarios for the future of the airport.

Join the second Public Open House to learn more and provide input: July 18, 4 to 6 p.m. bldr.fyi/ACC

Boulder Municipal Airport
PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE

When:
Tuesday, July 18, 2023
4:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.


Where:
Via Mobility Services
2855 63rd St
Boulder, CO 80301

ALT

4 3 2,145

Home > News

Boulder Municipal Airport To Hold Second Public Open House for Community Conversation



Details

Aisha Ozaslan, Media Relations, 303-501-2318

Allison Moore-Farrell, Senior Transportation Planner, 720-564-2368

PUBLISHED DATE

Jul 05, 2023

Community invited to learn more and share their vision for the airport's future

Related Events

TUE
JUL 18
2023

Airport Community Conversation Open House 2

TUE
APR 11
2023

Airport Community Conversation Open House 1

The City of Boulder is hosting an in-person public open house about the airport on Tuesday, July 18 from 4 to 6 p.m. at Via Mobility Services, located at 2855 63rd St., Boulder, CO 80301. The open house and corresponding questionnaire, which opens from July 12 through July 31, are designed to understand the community's vision for the future of the Boulder Municipal Airport as part of the [Airport Community Conversation](#) engagement project.

Community members are welcome to come and go at any time during the open house. City staff will share an update on the project, provide general airport information, and invite feedback on four scenarios for the future of the airport site. These high-level, conceptual scenarios are informed by input from several earlier community engagement activities, including a Community Working Group, bilingual community meetings and the first open house.

"We're thankful to our community for being part of productive and positive conversations over the past few months," said Transportation and Mobility Director Natalie Stiffler. "This is the second open house and questionnaire for all interested Boulder community members to make their voices heard on this topic and contribute to the next steps in the visioning process."

Learn more on the [Airport Community Conversation webpage](#). Through the project, the city will develop a deeper understanding of Boulder's desired future for the airport site. It will provide city leadership with a community-supported scenario(s) for the future of the airport to help guide next steps while being mindful of the city's long-term commitments to the Federal Aviation Administration.

[Boulder Municipal Airport](#) is a general aviation airport, providing business, private and recreational aviation services to the City of Boulder and surrounding communities.

PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE



Boulder Municipal Airport Community Conversation

Using feedback gathered at the first open house on April 11, 2023, the Airport Community Conversation project team developed a range of scenarios for the future of the airport. The project team is holding a public open house on July 18, 2023, to gather feedback on the draft scenarios for the future of the airport.

Please join us at a public open house to preview the scenarios and provide your feedback. There will be a presentation from the project team at 4:30 p.m. and 5:20 p.m.

Children welcome | Come and go at any time | Se habla español



Where:
Via Mobility Services
2855 63rd St
Boulder, CO 80301




When:
Tuesday, July 18, 2023
4:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.

Community members are invited to provide feedback on an online questionnaire, available via the city website from July 12 to July 31.

SCAN THE QR CODE



<https://bldr.fyi/ACC>



CASA ABIERTA AL PÚBLICO



Conversaciones Comunitarias del Aeropuerto Municipal de Boulder

Utilizando los comentarios coleccionados en la primera casa abierta el 11 de abril, 2023, el equipo del proyecto Conversaciones Comunitarias del Aeropuerto desarrolló una variedad de escenarios por el futuro del aeropuerto. El equipo del proyecto realizará otra casa abierta el 18 de julio, 2023 para obtener comentarios sobre los escenarios preliminares para el futuro del aeropuerto.

Por favor acompañenos a la casa abierta pública para presentar los escenarios y dar sus comentarios. Habrá una presentación del equipo del proyecto a las 4:30 p.m. y 5:20 p.m.

Los niños son bienvenidos | Puede ir y venir en cualquier momento | Se habla español



Dónde:
Via Mobility Services
2855 63rd St
Boulder, CO 80301




Cuándo:
Martes 18 de julio de 2023
4:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.

Se invita a los miembros de la comunidad a proporcionar comentarios sobre un cuestionario en línea, disponible a través del sitio web de la ciudad del 12 al 31 de julio.

ESCANEA EL CÓDIGO QR



<https://blldr.fyi/ACCESPACC>



Subject: Public Open House | July 18, 2023 – Boulder Municipal Airport Community Conversation

Using feedback gathered at the first open house on April 11, 2023, the Airport Community Conversation project team developed a range of scenarios for the future of the airport. The project team is holding a public open house on July 18, 2023, to gather feedback on the draft scenarios for the future of the airport.

Please join us at a Public Open House to preview the scenarios and provide your feedback. There will be a presentation from the project team at 4:30 p.m. and 5:20 p.m.

Children welcome | Come and go at any time | Se habla español

Where:

Via Mobility Services
2855 63rd St
Boulder, CO 80301

When:

Tuesday, July 18, 2023
4:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.

The Airport Community Conversation seeks to develop a deeper understanding of the community's desired future for the airport. It will provide city leadership with a community-supported scenario for the future of the site to help guide next steps while being mindful of the city's long-term commitments to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).

Community members are invited to provide feedback on an online questionnaire, available via the city website from July 12 to July 31: <https://bldr.fyi/ACC>



Appendix D. Media Coverage

Daily Camera

Boulder to hold town hall on future of airport

By [AMBER CARLSON](#) | acarlson@prairiemountainmedia.com |

PUBLISHED: July 17, 2023 at 3:04 p.m. | UPDATED: July 17, 2023 at 3:46 p.m.

Boulder will host a town hall Tuesday afternoon to discuss future possibilities for the Boulder Municipal Airport site.

Tuesday's town hall will go from 4-6 p.m. at Via Mobility Services, 2855 63rd St. The project team will give a presentation at 4:30 p.m. and 5:20 p.m. Members of the public may come and go at any time, and there will also be activities for children and information available in Spanish.

During the open-house style event, city staff will give general information about the airport, share an update on the project and ask for feedback on four potential scenarios for the airport site that were developed using feedback from previous community events and meetings.

The [four scenarios](#), in brief, are keep the existing airport with enhanced maintenance, upgrade and modernize the airport's facilities, improve some aspects of the airport and add new elements such as a restaurant or a STEM learning center, or decommission the airport and turn the area into a new, mixed-use neighborhood. This town hall is the second one the city has hosted on the airport, and is part of an ongoing community engagement process regarding the future of the site.

More information on the airport community conversation is available at bit.ly/3rx9NnE.

GreeleyTribune

City eyes future possibilities for Boulder airport site

By [AMBER CARLSON](#) | acarlson@prairiemountainmedia.com |

July 30, 2023 at 5:45 a.m.

Boulder's [95-year-old municipal airport](#) has been generating buzz as questions continue to swirl about what the future may hold for the site.

Boulder is engaging in talks with community members to determine whether the airport should stay, be renovated or be decommissioned and converted into a new residential neighborhood.

While the future of the Boulder Municipal Airport remains uncertain, there has already been [community conversation around where the airport](#) stands now, what different scenarios for the site's future are being explored and what Boulderites can expect in the coming months.

Why is the future of the airport in doubt?

Boulder's general aviation airport has operated continuously at its current site just northeast of the city since 1928. According to airport manager John Kinney, the airport is required to remain open for the time being because it has accepted grant funding from the Federal Aviation Administration.

Boulder accepted an FAA grant two years ago for a runway overlay, and that grant came with a 20-year contract requiring the airport to continue operating for at least that long.



A busy day at the Boulder airport on July 20, 2023. (Cliff Grassmick/Staff Photographer)

But even with 18 years left on the current contract, the city has begun a big-picture, visionary conversation with the community about the future of the airport.

Kinney said one of the overarching questions behind the ongoing conversation about the airport is the question of what the community wants the airport area to be “when it grows up,” and that the goal is to do a “deeper dive” of engagement and discussion with the community.

He also noted that there has been a significant increase in noise complaints and that discussions about possibly repurposing the airport had begun to arise.

“(We) truly just (want to) talk about how can we make the community more woven into the fabric of the airport on a day to day basis, a weekly basis, a monthly basis,” said Kinney.

Additionally, the [Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan](#), which guides long-term planning in the city and county, includes notes about exploring the potential for the airport site to have neighborhood-serving uses as well as housing.

Public engagement

Since February, the city has held two open house events, a number of bilingual community meetings and individual interviews with community members. There is a community working group of more than 30 people that has met several times, and the city has also offered two online questionnaires, one of which is still open until Wednesday.

Allison Moore-Farrell, a senior transportation planner for Boulder, said a variety of factors, including “tensions” between airport community members and the larger Boulder community, had provided the impetus for the airport community conversation.

Moore-Farrell said the goal of the community engagement project has been to create “visionary scenarios” that will ultimately be shared with Boulder City Council. The project has asked community members to give input on what issues they see with the current airport and what ideas they have for the future.

“We got a lot of ideas. There’s a lot of interest here,” she said.

So far, some of the major themes of community member feedback have included safety, health, environmental and economic impacts, noise mitigation and community relations.

But the community appears to be divided as to what the future of the airport should be.

At the [first open house](#) in April, one common concern that was cited was the [leaded fuel still being used](#) at the airport.

According to notes from the open house, some said that the airport is a “critical piece of transportation infrastructure” and a “vital part of the community” that provides hundreds of jobs, while others said the airport was costing the city money and creating noise and air pollution.

Although feedback about the airport has been mixed, survey results from the first questionnaire reveal that less than 25% of respondents said there were “no changes needed” to the current services and operations at the airport. Nearly half of respondents said at least some improvements should be made, and 16% said there should not be any further operations or services.

Almost half also said they don’t use the airport for recreation or work purposes.

Feedback from the July 18 open house event was still being compiled and was not yet available at the time of publication of this article.

The future of the airport

Based on initial community feedback, a project team has created [four broad scenarios](#) for the future of the airport site: keep the existing airport with enhanced maintenance, upgrade and modernize the airport’s facilities, improve some aspects of the airport and add new elements such as a restaurant or a STEM learning center, or decommission the airport and turn the area into a new mixed-use neighborhood. According to the city’s contract with the FAA, the airport cannot be closed without FAA consent or a formal release of the city from the terms of its obligations. Kinney said the FAA has sent the city a letter reminding it of its grant obligations, but “in no way told us to stop planning.”

“Although we have those grant obligations — we understand and we’re mindful of those with our federal partner — we also want to be responsive to the local citizenry and really glean what is their vision for the airport,” Kinney said.

In addition to the scenarios, the team has also identified shorter-term action items that can be accomplished more quickly and longer-term items that may take 10 to 20 years to implement.

The city hasn't determined yet whether to host a third open house event, Moore-Farrell said, but another event could be scheduled in the coming months.

Updates on the project will be presented to City Council during a study session in late August, and the project team hopes for a decision to be made in early 2024.



A glider towplane lands at the Boulder airport on July 20, 2023. (Cliff Grassmick/Staff Photographer)



City of Boulder considers what to do with airport: "Tradeoffs in every one of these scenarios"

BY ALAN GIONET UPDATED ON: JULY 19, 2023 / 11:28 AM / CBS COLORADO

First published on July 18, 2023 / 9:39 PM

The city of Boulder is looking at a range of possibilities for the future of its small airport. The property on the northeast side of town is nearly 180 acres of land in a city where every inch has value.

"It's kind of a place where a lot of people in Boulder don't really know it's here," said Scott Griffith, assistant manager for Journeys Aviation, a flight school at the airport. "It's great. You're sitting next to the foothills."

Its location is close to larger airports, Vance Brand in Longmont and Rocky Mountain Metropolitan in Broomfield. Bringing in commercial air traffic would be very difficult since its proximity to the mountains means there's no instrument approach needed for heavier jets.

The airport has generally been under the radar for a lot of people in its 95-year history. But there have been concerns about noise and the lead fuel used by smaller planes. The airport now has voluntary noise abatement procedures Griffith says the vast majority of pilots observe.



CBS

Lead remains a worry for some.

“And there doesn't seem to be a plan to deal with the leaded gasoline beyond hopefully we get unleaded gasoline in five years and that's a significant number of people who are going to be exposed,” said Bryn Grunwald, who attended an open house on the possibilities for the airport Tuesday evening.

She would like to see the land used for housing instead of planes to help with Boulder's affordable housing crisis.

Others looked over four different possible scenarios: Keeping the existing airport with enhanced maintenance, keeping the airport with aviation improvements, keeping the airport with neighborhood-serving uses and limited housing, and decommissioning the airport and creating a new neighborhood.

The city is asking people to answer a questionnaire with intentions of bringing to the city council early next year the pluses and minuses of various ideas.

“There's tradeoffs in every one of these scenarios certainly,” said Allison Moore-Farrell, a senior transportation planner for the city.

There are issues with closing the airport, including the possibility of having to repay the FAA for grants received over the years.



"That would be further conversations with the FAA and further study there," said Moore-Farrell. The amount has the potential to run well into the millions and some in Boulder have claimed as high as \$100 million. "We do not know that number yet."

Many of the people who showed up for the open house were curious to see the proposals. "I do see it as an asset. I think that it can serve so many different parts of the community," said resident Lisa Chase. "I think that getting rid of it entirely and putting housing there is not going to be the best option for everyone."

She liked the idea of adding an educational element for young people thinking of going into aviation which has many different kinds of jobs.

"There's so much that can be done there and there's also a lot of opportunity to make more things happen there." Griffith, a former teacher also thinks there's opportunity with education or even businesses like restaurants. "There's so much more that this airport can offer the city from education to commercial businesses and not just more flights. Because I know that that's a sticking point for a lot of people."



City deciding the future of Boulder Municipal Airport

By Leonard Sitongia August 2, 2023



. Courtesy Rubino Surveying via City of Boulder Aerial view of Boulder Municipal Airport, May 2006

The City of Boulder held its second airport open house on July 18 at the Via Mobility offices on 63rd Street as part of the process to decide the future of the Boulder Municipal Airport. The process has been named the "Airport Community Conversation."

In addition to two open house events, there is a

community working group which has met four times and will meet one more time before results are presented to the Boulder City Council. City staff and the working group have collaboratively developed four scenarios describing the future of the airport.

Scenario 1 is for minimal change to the current airport. It adds enhanced maintenance to the existing facilities.

Scenario 2 adds some improvements to the airport, including upgrading existing aircraft hangars, adding new hangars, enhancing the public viewing area and enhancing the aviation facilities. The latter was previously described as an upgrade to the glider airstrip and facilities, but the specific enhancement was dropped from the presentation during the second open house.

Scenario 3 includes the upgraded hangars and possible enhancement for gliders, but focuses on services to the neighborhood around the airport and provides some housing. The housing would be in the form of new hangars that could be lived and worked in. This scenario adds a restaurant or cafe. It would also create a Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) learning center for local youth. A community center would also be built.

Scenario 4 decommissions the airport and replaces it with the development of a new neighborhood. The only remaining aviation facility would be a helicopter landing pad for use by the emergency services that are located at the airport.

Community input in the process concludes today, August 2. Input can be provided before midnight at this website: <https://www.beheardboulder.org/airport-community-conversation>.

City staff and the working group will incorporate the input into their decision-making and presentation to the Boulder City Council.

The remainder of the schedule for the process includes:

- Bilingual community meetings on Aug. 22 and 29
- Progress update to the Boulder City Council on Aug. 24
- The final working group meeting in September
- Presentation to the Boulder City Council in January, 2024



Boulder Municipal Airport Community Conversation: Community Working Group (CWG)

Meeting 4 Summary July 20, 2023



Table of Contents

Introduction 3

CWG Meeting 4 3

Summary of Meeting and Discussion 5

 Open House Review 5

 Public Process Roadmap 6

 Evaluation Considerations Review 6

 Desired Near-Term Action Items Exercise 6

Meeting Wrap Up 7

Appendices

Appendices 9

 Appendix A. Presentation Slides 9

 Appendix B. Desired Near-Term Action Items 11

 Appendix C. Desired Near-Term Action Items Exercise 12



Introduction

This summary has been prepared to detail the notifications efforts and outcomes of the fourth CWG meeting. Additional details about the Community Conversation project can be found on the [project website](#).

CWG Meeting 4

Meeting Information

- Location: City of Boulder Open Space & Mountain Parks (2520 55th St, Boulder, CO 80301)
- Date: Wednesday, July 20, 2023
- Time: 4:30 – 6:30 pm
- Number of Attendees: 18 CWG members, 7 project team members

Project Team Attendees

Allison Moore-Farrell, COB
Kathleen King, COB
Dylan Swanson, COB
Amalia Andrews, Kimley-Horn
Blake Young, Kimley-Horn
Kathleen Wanatowicz, PR Studio
Jeffrey Range, CDR Associates



CWG Members

18 of the 38 member CWG attended the second meeting. The complete member list is included below.

Name	Email
Andrew McKenna	andrew@journeysaviation.com
Ariana Garcia	arianagarcia0757@gmail.com
Ben Molk	bmolk@crescent.com
Bill Shaffer	cmaxshaff@gmail.com
Bret Heidkamp	bret@crosstac.com
Bri Lehman	bri.lehman@gmail.com
Bronson MacDonald	Bronson.macdonald1972@gmail.com
Carl Lawrence	Carl@EnergySense.com
Carol Ciufolo	cciufolo@aol.com
Clemens Ceipek	clemens.ceipek@gmail.com
Elise Edson	elise.edson@gmail.com
Elliot Dickerson	elliott.dickerson@gmail.com
Ginger Zukowski	zukowsk1@msn.com
Glen Marshman	glenm@1bfit.com
Glenn Brillinger	glennb@brungardaviation.com
Guy Kenny	guy@gkennybuilders.com
Harry Ross	harryrosstemp+CWG@gmail.com
Hep Ingham	hep@igc.org
Jan Burton	jan.burton111@yahoo.com
Janet Heimer	janetheimer48@gmail.com
Jill Grano	jill@jillgrano.com
Julie Heins	itnotpa@comcast.net
Keith Stagg	staggkm@gmail.com
Laura Kaplan	laura.kaplan.pb@gmail.com
Lisa Morzel	lisamorzel@gmail.com
Lonnie Hilkemeier	lonnie@specialtyflight.com
Magali Botello	magalibotello2012@gmail.com
Marda Kirn	info.ecoarts@gmail.com
Mark Crossen	mark@raynemark.com
Philip Ogren	philip.ogren@outlook.com
Rachel Stanton	Rachel.stanton327@gmail.com
Rob Range	rob@boulder-air.com
Robert Murphy	bob@bcmurphy.me
Ryan Schuchard	ryanbouldertab@gmail.com
Sheila S	Westernskyhiker@gmail.com
Taran Volckhausen	tvolckhau@gmail.com
Tulia Flores	tuliaff@gmail.com
Whitney Park	whitneyfpark@gmail.com



Meeting Notifications

- Email invite to CWG members
- Email reminder

Presentation Topics

- Open House Review
- Public Process Roadmap
- Evaluation Considerations Review
- Desired Near-Items Action Items Exercise

Summary of Meeting and Breakout Discussions

Open House Review

Before reviewing the Evaluation Considerations, the project team asked the CWG to share their thoughts and experiences from the Open House. The project team asked the following question to start the conversation.

- What strengths and weaknesses did you hear for each scenario at the Open House?

The CWG shared the following highlights:

- Heard skepticism from open house attendees on the costs of scenario 4.
- One heard a city council member express that they did not want an adversarial relationship with the FAA.
- Heard attendees express that they want the airport to be the best version of itself.
- Many attendees expressed that they were not in favor of Scenario 4. The details on this scenario seem light and its not clear if this proposal could be implemented.
- Some attendees mentioned that they do not want to see more hangars built.
- One attendee asked about the different between near-term and long-term action items and what the time component of each would be.
- Open house revealed some gaps in the process and revealed myths and misunderstanding about developing middle-income housing – these gaps may be addressed in future plans.
- Many attendees had comments and questions related to unleaded fuel and noise.
- Some expressed concern that Scenarios 2 and 3 do not address lead pollution.
- One attendee did not like the STEM options
- Many attendees liked the idea of a restaurant or food trucks – however, another attendee mentioned that such a proposal may fail like it did at the reservoir.
- Scenario 3 doesn't seem possible under FAA regulations.
- There is some confusion about the role of the FAA in this process and in future steps.



Public Process Roadmap

The project team shared the next steps in the Community Conversation process with the CWG. The CWG presentation slides are included as **Appendix A**. The next steps include:

- City Council – August 24, 2023
 - All four scenarios advance to City Council
 - Desired Near-Term Action Items
- CWG 5 – September 12, 2023
 - Sharing refined scenarios
 - Seeking consensus on each scenarios' strengths and weaknesses
 - Seeking consensus on alignment with city goals

Evaluation Considerations Review

The project team previewed the evaluation considerations and an initial analysis for the scenarios. The evaluations considerations are included in the presentation slides in **Appendix A**. These evaluation considerations were produced based on feedback gathered from the CWG in meeting #2. The evaluation considerations help the project team understand how each scenario aligns with city goals. The considerations are qualitative and subjective measures. The project team asked the following question of the CWG:

- How well do these scenarios align with City of Boulder goals?
 - Favorable
 - Neutral
 - Unfavorable

The project team will be sending these considerations and a short questionnaire out as homework after the CWG. Initial feedback includes:

- Do the evaluation consider that the airport is not part of city general fund
- Safety is a complicated and can encompass many things, including EMS, flooding, fires, disaster response, safety for neighbors, pilots
- Desire to address lead issue once additional data is available

Desired Near-Items Action Items Exercise

The desired near-term action items are attached as **Appendix C**. The project team reiterated that the near-term action items will not be resolved during the Community Conversations process but will be included in the final report.

The project team guided the CWG through an exercise to narrow down the near-term action items. The responses are included as **Appendix D**.



Meeting Wrap Up

Before the next CWG meeting, will work on refining the scenarios, the evaluation considerations and presenting preliminary findings to the City Council. CWG #5 will focus on previewing the strength and weaknesses of each scenario, consensus building, reflection on the community conversation process and sharing next steps.

- The final report may contain:
 - Community Conversation Process
 - Four Airport Scenarios
 - Strengths and Weaknesses
 - Alignment with City Goals
 - Renderings and Elements
 - Near Term Action Items
- On August 8, 2023, the project team shared a questionnaire with the CWG to gather more feedback on the evaluation considerations shared during the meeting. Members of the CWG are encouraged to share additional feedback.
- The project team will hold additional stakeholder meetings with Vista Village and San Lazaro in August.
- The project team will host an interim gathering for CWG meetings before the final CWG. These details will be shared in the coming weeks.
- The final CWG meeting will be on September 12, 2023.





Appendices

Appendix A. Presentation Slides

Boulder Airport Community Conversation

Community Working Group #4
July 20, 2023





CWG Purpose and Goals

The purpose of the CWG is to **provide input and recommendations to the project team to support the community conversation effort**. The CWG will collaborate with the project team to develop a range of scenarios for the future of BDU. The CWG will be mindful of FAA obligations, preserving and enhancing safety. The CWG will meet five times throughout the project.





CWG Meeting Purpose



- Establish rules and sign participation charter
 - Present baseline facts
 - Share the “why” behind this project
 - Communicate known opportunities and constraints
 - Provide summary of one-on-one interviews
- Develop and discuss vision for the airport
 - Identify evaluation considerations for future airport scenarios
 - Connect project scenarios to city goals
- Introduce desired action items
 - Discuss the initial range of scenarios
- Refine scenarios
 - Share how well scenarios align with city goals
 - Refine desired action items
- Consensus building
 - Conduct reflection
 - Share next steps



Meeting Objectives

- Collect CWG feedback on Open House
- Garner recommendations on the elements of each scenario
- Share how each scenario aligns with City of Boulder goals
- Prioritize and narrow down the Desired Action Item list

These objectives will help inform City Council



Meeting Overview

- Open House Review
- Public Process Roadmap
- Evaluation Considerations Review
- Desired Near-Items Action Items Exercise
- Closing, Remarks and Comments



Open House Review





Open House Review

- Question: What strengths and weaknesses did you hear for each scenario at the Open House?



Public Process Updates

- **CWG 5**
 - Sharing refined scenarios
 - Seeking consensus on each scenarios' strengths and weaknesses
 - Seeking consensus on alignment with city goals
- **City Council**
 - All four scenarios advance to City Council
 - Desired Near-Term Action Items



Evaluation Considerations

- Question: How well do these scenarios align with City of Boulder goals?
 - ✓ Favorable
 - ✓ Neutral
 - ✓ Unfavorable

Scenario 1: EXISTING AIRPORT WITH ENHANCED MAINTENANCE

Goals	Evaluation Considerations	City of Boulder Community Goals
Safe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhance personal and community safety Increase resiliency through mitigation, response, and recovery Comply with airport rules and regulations, Federal Aviation Administration Standards, and Boulder Fire Department 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neutral
Healthy & Socially Thriving	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Create community programming and gathering spaces Minimize Community Exposure to Site Impacts and Noise Provide Educational Opportunity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unfavorable
Livable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diversify Site Uses Balance the needs of airport operations and compatible surrounding land uses Provide amenities for residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unfavorable
Accessible & Connected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase current and Future Multi-modal transportation options Enhance Open Space, Bicycle, and Trail Connectivity Accommodate Goods Movement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unfavorable
Environmentally Sustainable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage the integration of future clean technology Incentivize unleaded fuel for aircraft Minimize impact to wildlife and natural environment and support environmental compatibility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unfavorable
Responsibly Governed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide transparency, equity, and uniformity with compliance in all leases, regulations, and plans for the airport Integrating Community Input (e.g. Community Advisory Committee, Noise Committee) Maintain financial autonomy from city general fund subsidies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neutral
Economically Vital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide diverse tax base and stable tax stream Foster diverse business options 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unfavorable

Scenario 2: AIRPORT WITH AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS


Goals	Evaluation Considerations	City of Boulder Community Goals
Safe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhance personal and community safety Increase resiliency through mitigation, response, and recovery Comply with airport rules and regulations, Federal Aviation Administration Standards, and Boulder Fire Department 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Healthy & Socially Thriving	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Create community programming and gathering spaces Minimize Community Exposure to Site Impacts and Noise Provide Educational Opportunity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neutral
Livable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diversify Site Uses Balance the needs of airport operations and compatible surrounding land uses Provide amenities for residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unfavorable
Accessible & Connected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase current and Future Multi-modal transportation options Enhance Open Space, Bicycle, and Trail Connectivity Accommodate Goods Movement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neutral
Environmentally Sustainable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage the integration of future clean technology Incentivize unleaded fuel for aircraft Minimize impact to wildlife and natural environment and support environmental compatibility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Responsibly Governed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide transparency, equity, and uniformity with compliance in all leases, regulations, and plans for the airport Integrating Community Input (<u>e.g.</u> Community Advisory Committee, Noise Committee) Maintain financial autonomy from city general fund subsidies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neutral
Economically Vital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide diverse tax base and stable tax stream Foster diverse business options 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable, but would not increase diversity significantly and/or opportunities

Scenario 3: AIRPORT WITH NEIGHBORHOOD SERVING USES & HOUSING LITE

Goals	Evaluation Considerations	City of Boulder Goals
Safe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhance personal and community safety Increase resiliency through mitigation, response, and recovery Comply with airport rules and regulations, Federal Aviation Administration Standards, and Boulder Fire Department 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Healthy & Socially Thriving	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Create community programming and gathering spaces Minimize Community Exposure to Site Impacts and Noise Provide Educational Opportunity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Livable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diversify Site Uses Balance the needs of airport operations and compatible surrounding land uses Provide amenities for residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Accessible & Connected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase current and Future Multi-modal transportation options Enhance Open Space, Bicycle, and Trail Connectivity Accommodate Goods Movement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neutral
Environmentally Sustainable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage the integration of future clean technology Incentivize unleaded fuel for aircraft. Minimize impact to wildlife and natural environment and support environmental compatibility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Responsibly Governed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide transparency, equity, and uniformity with compliance in all leases, regulations, and plans for the airport Integrating Community Input (e.g. Community Advisory Committee, Noise Committee) Maintain financial autonomy from city general fund subsidies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Economically Vital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide diverse tax base and stable tax stream. Foster diverse business options 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable

Scenario 4: DECOMMISSION AIRPORT & CREATE NEW NEIGHBORHOOD

Goals	Evaluation Criteria	City of Boulder Goals
Safe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhance personal and community safety Increase resiliency through mitigation, response, and recovery Comply with airport rules and regulations, Federal Aviation Administration Standards, and Boulder Fire Department 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Healthy & Socially Thriving	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Create community programming and gathering spaces Minimize Community Exposure to Site Impacts and Noise Provide Educational Opportunity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Livable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diversify Site Uses Balance the needs of airport operations and compatible surrounding land uses Provide amenities for residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Accessible & Connected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase current and Future Multi-modal transportation options Enhance Open Space, Bicycle, and Trail Connectivity Accommodate Goods Movement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Environmentally Sustainable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage the integration of future clean technology Incentivize unleaded fuel for aircraft Minimize impact to wildlife and natural environment and support environmental compatibility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable
Responsibly Governed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide transparency, equity, and uniformity with compliance in all leases, regulations, and plans for the airport Integrating Community Input (e.g. Community Advisory Committee, Noise Committee) Maintain financial autonomy from city general fund subsidies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neutral
Economically Vital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide diverse tax base and stable tax stream Foster diverse business options 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favorable



Exercise



Desired Near-Term Action Items

- Noise committee update
- Exercise to narrow down items
 - Instructions





Next Steps



Homework

- Review the evaluation considerations
- Interim activity TBD
- **Final Meeting is September 12th**





Next Steps

- Questionnaire closes August 2nd
- Bilingual meetings in August
- City Council August 24th
- CWG 5, September 12th
 - Refined Scenario Discussion
 - Consensus on the Strengths and Weaknesses of each scenario



Closing Remarks and Clarifications



Final Report

- Community Conversation Process
 - Dialogue
- Four Airport Scenarios
 - Strengths and Weaknesses
 - Alignment with City Goal
 - Renderings and Elements
- Near Term Action Items
 - Priorities





Appendix B. Desired Near Term-Action Items



Boulder Municipal Airport Desired Near-Term Action Items

CWG #4 | July 20, 2023

<p>Noise <i>Develop and regulate noise abatement strategies to reduce the impact of noise from aircraft</i></p>	<p>Action Items</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Increase compliance with noise abatement procedures ● Increase noise sensitive areas for Noise Abatement (e.g., S. Boulder and Boulder Res) ● Updated compatible hours of operations (e.g., 9-5pm M-F, 9-4pm Sat-Sun) ● Limit touch/gos and training flights ● Update and modify glider box ● Limit overflights from adjacent airports ● Regularly publish noise complaint data (e.g., Quarterly) ● Automate noise complaint and operational data ● Update helicopter flight paths ● Explore mandates of quieter muffler/exhaust systems ● Use geofencing to support noise abatement ● Reduce club and aviation events
<p>Health and Environmental Impact <i>Incorporate carbon reduction strategies into airport policies and future developments/improvements</i></p>	<p>Action Items</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Expedite use of unleaded aviation fuel ● Evaluate natural features/wildlife habitats ● Expedite the delivery of electric airplanes/eVTOL ● Investigate impacts of leaded fuel ● Publish estimates of BDU lead emissions ● Investigate GHG impact of BDU operations ● Report leaded fuel sales and consumption

<p>Community Relations <i>Increase opportunities for community involvement at the airport</i></p>	<p>Action Items</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Expand airport influence zone and enhance relevance ● Invest in a Flight Management system/geo fencing ● Support ongoing coordination between pilots and neighbors ● Promote community events and aviation programs ● Deliver quarterly noise complaint and operational summary to City Council ● Cease offering special events with flying at BDU ● Introduce programs for underserved communities at the airport ● Create educational space for aircraft mechanic training
<p>Airport Economics</p>	<p>Action Items</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Implement landing fees for both based and non-based aircraft ● Update contract/leasing, rates and fees to cover operational and capital costs ● Create and administer a maintenance plan for aging infrastructure ● Administer an Economic Impact Study for the airport ● Make airport economic and budgetary data easily accessible ● Investigate the potential for transport aviation ● Explore ways to stimulate businesses associated with aeronautics
<p>Safety</p>	<p>Action Items</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Update and administer the Primary Management Compliance documents and mitigate risk ● Comply with FAA Standards ● Eliminate non-conforming runway or enforce no simultaneous use with other runways ● Remove the "Striped fields" training ground flanking Gunbarrel to the East ● Consider requiring pilot insurance of over \$100k ● Modernize runway 8G/glider runway to full FAA compliance, paid by FAA funds, or deactivate/close 8G runway




Appendix C. Desired Near-Term Action Items Exercise

Join at menti.com use code 9227 0993




Noise



Join at menti.com use code 9227 0993



Health and Environmental Impact



Join at menti.com use code 9227 0993



Community Relations




Join at menti.com use code 9227 0993

Open Mentimeter




Airport Economics



Join at menti.com use code 9227 0993



Safety



Questionnaire 2 - English

SURVEY RESPONSE REPORT

12 July 2023 - 02 August 2023

PROJECT NAME:

Airport Community Conversation/Conversaciones Comunitarias del Aeropuerto

FILTER BY:

Where do you live?


Answered : East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder





SURVEY QUESTIONS

Q1 | Where do you live?



Question options


- Central Boulder
 ● Crossroads
 ● Downtown Boulder
 ● East Boulder
 ● Gunbarrel
 ● North Boulder
- Palo Park
 ● South Boulder
 ● Southeast Boulder
 ● University of Colorado/University Hill
- Outside of the City of Boulder, in Boulder County
 ● Outside of Boulder County

Mandatory Question (961 response(s))

Question type: Radio Button Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q2 When envisioning the future of the Boulder Municipal Airport site, how important are each of the City of Boulder goals and objectives (as identified in the Sustainability, Equity and Resilience Framework) to you?




Mandatory Question (961 response(s))
 Question type: Likert Question


Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023


Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder


Q2 | When envisioning the future of the Boulder Municipal Airport site, how important are each of the City of Boulder goals and objectives (as identified in the Sustainability, Equity and Resilience Framework) to you?


Safe

















Q3 We would like to understand what your preference is between the four community-informed scenarios. Please rank your preferences.

OPTIONS	AVG. RANK
Scenario 3: Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Limited Housing	2.38
Scenario 2: Airport with Aviation Improvements	2.40
Scenario 1: Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance	2.48
Scenario 4: Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood	2.74

Mandatory Question (961 response(s))

Question type: Ranking Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q4 What do you like and/or dislike about Scenario 1: Existing Airport with Enhanced Maintenance?

Anonymous

7/13/2023 08:58 PM

least confrontational

Anonymous

7/14/2023 07:19 AM

maintains a vital community asset

Anonymous

7/14/2023 11:57 AM

See below, depends on what is meant.

Anonymous

7/14/2023 12:07 PM

I dislike the airport due to its negative impact on the majority of the community from a noise, environmental pollution, and the inability to use the space to match the city's priorities.

Anonymous

7/15/2023 07:50 PM

Don't need this airport in Boulder.

Anonymous

7/16/2023 08:49 AM

This is more of the same.

Anonymous

7/16/2023 12:11 PM

The current airport is a nuisance and only serves a small fraction of our community. The noise and air pollution are not worth the cost of even maintaining the current airport.

Anonymous

7/16/2023 02:17 PM

the BMA serves <1% of our community, is generally used for needless recreational use, and should be decommissioned as soon as possible

Anonymous

7/16/2023 04:30 PM

The existing airport seems to impact community member with noise and air pollution, with concerns that leaded gas exhaust being disbursed by overflight of planes over neighborhoods.

Anonymous

7/16/2023 05:11 PM

It is important to NOT expand the airport, due to excessive social and environmental impacts.

Anonymous

7/16/2023 05:13 PM

The airport as it stands is not acceptable.

Anonymous

7/16/2023 08:01 PM

Dislike: hard entry for most public. Seems just an airport used by a few rich as a hobby. Maybe a drone facility would allow easier accessibility (lower barrier to enter)

Anonymous

7/16/2023 08:30 PM

The noise and additional pollution is already enough to make me want to move. I've lived in the flight path for 5 years

Anonymous

7/16/2023 11:13 PM

Private recreational flying is not compatible with climate action

Anonymous

7/17/2023 05:32 AM

4 "choices" here suck! KBDU mostly works. However, current "airport management" seems deaf, unresponsive, & lacking, if not outright hostile to airport users, & requires remedy!

Anonymous

7/17/2023 05:38 AM

The airport remains as a community resource for vital services (emergency and firefighting) and recreation. Dislike: this plan fails to optimize the airport for aviation, recreation and community use

Anonymous

7/17/2023 06:33 AM

Maintaining operation of the airport

Anonymous

7/17/2023 08:01 AM

Would provide necessary maintenance/replacement to airport infrastructure, which would create a safer environment for the airport

Anonymous

7/17/2023 08:18 AM

Aviation is so important to the world and it would be wonderful to see BDU become a training center for people whose identity and passion is in helping, working, serving, and leading in aviation.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 08:54 AM

I like having it as now

Anonymous

7/17/2023 09:35 AM

This allows RMMA, who has already shown a disregard for the health and safety of our community, to continue as is-Poisoning us with lead and noise!

harmsalt

7/17/2023 09:39 AM

n/a - this doesn't really change anything about the airport

<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 10:18 AM</p>	<p>I do not prefer this scenario</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 12:28 PM</p>	<p>this only serves the people that can afford to store/fly aircraft at the airport. Doesn't help my neighborhood at all.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 01:16 PM</p>	<p>The current airport uses now serve only a few members of the city. Land, especially areas where the city can build affordable housing is in short supply.</p>
<p>dundorf 7/17/2023 01:40 PM</p>	<p>Will serve existing needs but doesn't help achieve the airport's full potential</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 03:06 PM</p>	<p>This sounds like a Do nothing scenario with the least investment but keeping up with regulations.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 03:36 PM</p>	<p>There would not be significant improvement to the area, but it would cost less.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 03:50 PM</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:15 PM</p>	<p>It does not address the housing needs nor the environmental / noise issues with the Airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:20 PM</p>	<p>The existing airport mainly benefit its users and not the community. Meanwhile it generates inordinate amount of noise and air pollution.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:24 PM</p>	<p>The airport is a major source of air pollution including deadly toxins poisoning our community for the leisure enjoyment of a privileged few. Housing is so much more important for this site!!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:03 PM</p>	<p>Airport is a critical piece of local economic and emergency response infrastructure.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:23 PM</p>	<p>The land can be put to much better use than as an airport. We need more housing.</p>

Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:33 PM	Bad location for an airport.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 07:14 PM	N/A
Anonymous 7/17/2023 07:23 PM	I like that it maintains the airport.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 07:42 PM	Airport is great and should be maintained so other can enjoy in the future.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:36 PM	I like that the facilities would be brought to a state of good repair
Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:54 PM	The airport is extremely important for lifesaving flights, disaster preparedness and management, wildfires, and emergency and backup transportation. It would be foolish to get rid of it.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 09:20 PM	No more people and congestion
Anonymous 7/17/2023 09:43 PM	Like keeping the airport- makes our city unique, accessible and offers fun viewing for community watching gliders
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:12 AM	Remains status quo
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:18 AM	.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:18 AM	Dislike maintaining something that continues to be a source of noise.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:20 AM	It serves the boulder communities aviation needs.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:25 AM	Needs to keep up on maintenance for sure

Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:43 AM	airport seems useless to the average boulder citizen
Anonymous 7/18/2023 07:07 AM	I think the airport needs to be here. I've been here 43 years and it's part of our community. Especially during disasters and emergencies.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 07:38 AM	everything
Anonymous 7/18/2023 07:57 AM	I like the airport and the open spaces it provides. I enjoy watching the planes, and people enjoying their passions. The airport does need some love!!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:01 AM	Unsure what enhanced maintenance would entail
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:02 AM	Very little. There's too much "recreational" aviation. High noise, high emissions glider takeoffs for sightseeing. Nice, but with Front Range ozone, leaded fuels, and GHG emits, phase this use out.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:06 AM	It is nice to have an airport in town.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:07 AM	I would like to see the airport continue to exist as it's super important to our family
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:22 AM	While I don't have a fly, or intend to, I love watching the planes come and go. Resources like this, is what makes Boulder such a special place to live
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:43 AM	The airport takes up a lot of space and serves a tiny proportion of local residents along with adding noise and air pollution
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:43 AM	Dislike: We continue to invest in an exclusive and environmentally irresponsible facility.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:50 AM	There is no need for the airport. It's just a playground for plane enthusiasts.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:01 AM

The airport would still be using leaded fuel and that space would be better used for housing.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:24 AM

The amount of improvements to the existing infrastructure and buildings on the airport to bring them to existing code and sustainable can not be accomplished without additional City funding.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:34 AM

Because the airport is already there and being utilized

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:45 AM

I see no reason to change something that , for the most part, is working.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:47 AM

Dislike in general

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:49 AM

keep the airport thriving that's all i care about!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:59 AM

Please improve and maintain

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:00 AM

Maintains what was built and keeps air traffic away from Rocky Mountain Metropolitan Airport which is already doing more and more flights directly over homes in Louisville/Superior

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:02 AM

The airport has been neglected. It needs to be made part of the larger community.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:07 AM

I think the enhanced maintenance area will benefit the airport greatly!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:07 AM

The core of the airport is working well for the community it serves. If it isn't broken, don't fix it.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:14 AM

Keeps the airport and improves upon it to make it more useable

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:14 AM	Boulder has a housing problem, and small private aircraft are not a necessity.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:16 AM	I like that the airport exists and that the facilities are better kept, I dislike that there are no improvements for the current tenants.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:17 AM	I like the airport, maintenance is okay
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:19 AM	By simply enhancing the maintenance of existing airport facilities, this is the most budget friendly option that still provides a benefit to the community.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:24 AM	Provides a good environment for our community youth to learn and grow free airplane rides through young eagles is awesome. Maitnace helps develop the airport
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:25 AM	I just don't want the airport to get bigger, or busier. And I DON'T WANT more JETS to be allowed to land/take off
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:28 AM	The airport has is vital to a growing community and to education.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:33 AM	No comment.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:35 AM	The airport is vital for aviation students in the area. I think it is a little outdated as a whole -coming from someone who is there a couple times a week
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:38 AM	Needs overhaul not just maintain
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:40 AM	dislike: doesn't actually do anything
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:41 AM	Keeps the airport as a public use airport

Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:42 AM	I like the idea of having an airport. If we are to have a safe airport, I believe aircraft maintenance is important to keep aircraft safely flying.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:44 AM	This is fine but just doesn't solve any issues.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:48 AM	It's a fine solution - the airport is vital and could use some improvement.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:50 AM	The airport has reached it's capacity and needs more new aviation-related development (e.g. Hangar space and meeting rooms)
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:52 AM	I like the airport
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:53 AM	Scenario 1 is unacceptable because the city has no authority to regulate negative impacts so long as you continue to accept FAA grant monies. Unregulated aviation pollution is unacceptable.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:55 AM	The airport is already pretty good
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:57 AM	Airport definitely needs to be maintained in safe, up-to-date standards. Fuel farm replaced.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:02 AM	From a safety perspective scenarios one and possibly two are the only ones that make sense. In case of major emergencies it is absolutely mandatory.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:03 AM	Boulder airport requires some updating and expansion on the CURRENT hangar side. Specifically, it needs more space to house general aviation aircraft and needs unleaded fuel.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:04 AM	Allows this valuable resource to continue, but with nice enhancements
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:04 AM	Good idea

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:10 AM

It's good. It is important to keep the airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:20 AM

There's really no need for an airport in Boulder

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:24 AM

Disagree with the air pollution and noise from the airport, especially near surrounding neighborhoods

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:30 AM

The airport supports the aviation community both locally and nationally as our need for a pilot training pipeline increases, not decreases.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:30 AM

I enjoy the airport and people who enjoy using it. It's also profitable to the city

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:35 AM

The most common good community use for that land is affordable housing. This scenario does not make significant strides in that direction.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:36 AM

Improvements are always good

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:36 AM

SAFETY

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:51 AM

Seems good.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:00 PM

Ho hum. The airport needs some level of investment to continue to thrive. More hangars and tie downs would be GREAT.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:07 PM

The airports is a part of our vital infrastructure. Enhanced maintenance would make it safer for everyone.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:12 PM

Keeps aviation opportunities available for pilots and visitors

Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:52 PM	Don't fix wheat isn't broken.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:26 PM	the airport has functioned well as is for years
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:34 PM	General aviation is filthy and disgusting! The FAA is group of turds waiting for their pension and they are completely impotent at managing one plane let alone many. That sport is a shit stain
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:35 PM	We need the airport for tourism and recreational use.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:36 PM	Like not fancy.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:39 PM	It is a vital airport location for county-wide emergency situations - fire, flood, etc.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:40 PM	The airport does not contribute much to my life here in Boulder. The maintenance is not a concern for me.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:41 PM	I think it has minimal cost but preserves the facilities current use which is important for its multiple uses and could be important in the future
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:52 PM	The airport should stay! Maintenance seems necessary
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:59 PM	The current airport appears worn down and could use enhancements.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:01 PM	leave them alone
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:04 PM	Lower cost. Airport not needing any major changes
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:10 PM	Safety from floods with emergency services available in a reasonable proximity especially with high fire danger. Support for jobs and

existing airport facilities.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:10 PM

I like that I can continue using the airport in this plan.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:25 PM

The Airport needs enhanced maitanance - good thing. Bad thing is that the airport needs some work!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:29 PM

I firmly support the continuation of KBDU. I'm glad to live in a town with its own small airport with not too much traffic. It provides a safe, effective, and beautiful area to train, explore, and fly

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:30 PM

essential for natural disaster support

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:35 PM

Necessary

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:38 PM

There is opportunity to make it a very nice little airport. The glider tow planes have worn out their welcome. The area has grown enough that the noise issues outweigh the opportunity glider business.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:39 PM

If work is going to happen on the airport - this seems lacking. After all these years more that just basic improvement needs to be done.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:41 PM

As a student pilot I highly value the convenience and easy access to the airport, I'd like to see some modernization though.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:47 PM

This is a high preference, but I think the airport and city could be smart about enhancing the airport and its use in the community.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:06 PM

Airport isn't needed. Airport to south and north. Stop pandering to the NIMBY

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:14 PM

Keeps Aviation community thriving and allows flight schools an opportunity for mx to be done here rather than broomfield

Anonymous

.

7/18/2023 02:15 PM

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:18 PM

I like that the airport remains. I dislike that the airport won't be used to its full potential.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:35 PM

improved maintenance important

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:39 PM

As a pilot, I would like to see enhanced maintenance, especially in the winter. I've had multiple lessons as a student cancelled because no one plowed the runways, which is extremely frustrating.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:47 PM

I think it's important to keep the airport as it helps during emergencies such as wildfire season

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:55 PM

See no need for airport here

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:03 PM

Boulder needs housing, especially affordable housing, not a hobby airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:09 PM

I do not like that the airport will continue. The planes contaminate the area with lead (from leaded gas) and are very noisy.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:31 PM

It's a fair scenario but leaves a lot to be desired.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:40 PM

The airport is just a play area for those with money

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:41 PM

The existing airport is noisy, pollutes and serves a tiny minority of the population. Keeping it as-is doesn't benefit Boulder.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:45 PM

If we aren't going to reimagine this space, then I would prefer that we minimize our investment in this space.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:45 PM

Not a solution to any of current problems (noise, noise, noise) and keeps it open.

Anonymous 7/18/2023 03:50 PM	Only rich people use this airport and it causes noise and planes fly right over head when you are on the trail
Anonymous 7/18/2023 03:57 PM	We keep an airport asset
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:04 PM	The airport is part of a vital national infrastructure and needs to be maintained in good operating condition. Enhanced Maintenance is required to correct infrastructure deficiencies.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:20 PM	The airport needs some work to make it better. Let's do it
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:21 PM	The airport is one of the oldest in Colorado. Leave it alone and encourage people to visit boulder by using the airport. Z
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:35 PM	Noise and air pollution. At least one bored pilot rudely buzzed hikers in Indian Peaks Wilderness. Elitist.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:42 PM	seems fine, so long as fire-fighting / emergency aircraft have access in perpetuity
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:54 PM	Nothing. We need more affordable housing, not an airport.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:59 PM	If fails to optimize the value of the airport as an economic and technological asset
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:09 PM	We NEED an active and vibrant airport. Improve the aviation infrastructure and make the site more family and community friendly - parks, trails, etc
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:18 PM	The existing airport use does not provide any significant community-wide benefit, and without federal subsidy it loses money. Benefits a small clique and shifts the impact to the general population.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:37 PM	I am a resident of Boulder but could not get a hangar for my airplane. Need more hangars.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 05:43 PM

Safety is important for fires flood to get equipment help in

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:09 PM

It's nice because it keeps airport operations, but I do not like the fact that it does not improve the hangar situation and does not add additional facilities to make the airport more useable.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:11 PM

Like: no increase in air traffic (i.e., noise). Dislike: no decrease in air traffic. The noise from the planes (particularly the glider tow planes) has increased substantially over the last decade.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:11 PM

Airport doesn't serve very many people - waste of space

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:29 PM

Nothing wrong here, but enhancements could increase safety and use

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:33 PM

Dislike status quo of unnecessary airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:48 PM

I am opposed to this. It does not address noise and lead pollution, and keep a status quo that is already unlivable, unsafe, environmentally unsustainable, and economically unsustainable.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:56 PM

I dislike that it keeps the airport and noise and lead pollution. I like that it doesn't make it bigger.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:40 PM

The airport is a source of noise and lead pollution serving flight schools and tow planes. Very little transportation or Boulder citizen benefit

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:46 PM

This would make the airport safer and more enjoyable to spend time at.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:57 PM

Like: keeps airport, Dislike: Airport needs overhaul

Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:05 PM	i like the chance at a cleaner better maintained airport, it would benefit the community and the workers who work there to have better maintenance.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:35 PM	The airport produces too much pollution and noise.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:12 PM	I dislike that the airport sells leaded fuel, and then flies over residential areas all day long.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:37 PM	Not enough done
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:37 PM	The airport 1) increases lead pollution, 2) increases noise pollution, 3) is mainly used by glider/recreational pilots. There are 2 other airports within 20 mins that can also serve these functions.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:57 PM	The airport serves no purpose to ordinary townfolk
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:40 PM	This is OK, especially if minimizing costs is important. But we really could use more hangars, and they would probably pay for themselves.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:20 PM	Preserves the airport which I view as a vital community asset and makes some needed essential improvements.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 12:17 AM	I think the airport should be decommissioned. With the expansion of Boulder living space, it is not too close to residents
Anonymous 7/19/2023 12:25 AM	Maintains the useful facilities that we have, while hopefully not too expensive
Anonymous 7/19/2023 03:16 AM	I like that less money is spent. I'd like the airport shut down due to noise and air pollution.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 05:08 AM	I think it is missing an opportunity to draw in more interest groups in our community. There are a variety of ways the community can be more engaged and understand the niche filled by the airport.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 05:26 AM

I would be fine with it, but I think the airport has a ton of potential that this outcome would not use.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:03 AM

Does nothing to enhance affordable living. Economic impact relatively small. Some middle class employees, but this space caters to the rich with planes.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:16 AM

Airport needs upgrades and additional hangars

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:23 AM

I like that the airport is preserved and dislike that the city does not invest in maintaining upgrading or even improving infrastructure at the airport

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:37 AM

It is in the wrong place. It would not be sited here today given surrounding land use.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:49 AM

I use the airport as a glider pilot. I would prefer the airport to stay open. enhanced maintenance would help our community.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:50 AM

does not provide for long term airport use

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:55 AM

Not a good site for an airport.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:58 AM

Preserves the status quo which is not good but better than adding more planes

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:07 AM

The planes are too loud and lead pollution

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:12 AM

It's essential to keep the airport open.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:13 AM

Missing an opportunity to improve the gravel strip on the north side and some of the open lots.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:17 AM

Airport needs more than just maintenance, it needs updating.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:24 AM

Existing facilities provides good recreational opportunities

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:29 AM

Airport is a vital part of our community and requires greater maintenance.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:37 AM

In general I believe Boulder needs housing more than Boulder needs an airport. There is an airport not too far from here that can be used for the small subset of the Boulder community that flies

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:37 AM

Boulder Airport is a very strong resource for Boulder and should be supported in a similar fashion to the last 90 years!

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:53 AM

There is nothing wrong with the airport. All of this talk about needing "affordable housing" would NOT be an issue if the city stopped taking bribes (cash in lieu of affordable housing) with every dev

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:54 AM

Nothing. Very few locals use the current facility.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:11 AM

It is important for our community safety to have a working airport!

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:16 AM

Keeps the airport, which is a vital community resource, but improvements and additional facilities are needed.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:27 AM

The airport only serve a small segment of Boulder residents. Meanwhile it produces significant air and noise pollution.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:52 AM

The area needs more accessible aviation services

Anonymous

7/19/2023 09:02 AM

Keeping the airport and runways open is important, however, may not encourage aviation growth.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/19/2023 09:06 AM	I like that this would cost the least, but the airport needs a bit of a face lift.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 09:24 AM	The airport is an amazing resource for the community and people are able to learn to fly and glide close to home
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:17 AM	Like ability for new pilots to train
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:20 AM	Keeping the land open
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:31 AM	I don't like that airport operations, including substantial noise and pollution, would continue in Scenario 1. This doesn't address any concerns at all.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:40 AM	Not good enough
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:43 AM	The Boulder Airport is significant asset to Boulder County. This option at least maintains the service to the community. This option however doesn't enhance the community.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:46 AM	Way too much noise for residents
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:56 AM	The existing airport serves its purpose as the saying goes "if it ain't broke don't fix it." Some maintenance or improvement are need sure, but short of that leave it alone.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 11:12 AM	This continues to isolate the airport from the community while not providing a path to supporting future aviation
Anonymous 7/19/2023 11:50 AM	It works well s it is.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 12:21 PM	Like: appreciate more maintenance. Dislike: doesn't take advantage of the live/work/play opportunities the airport could provide to local kids and adults.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 01:02 PM

The airport is fine as is and could use updating

Anonymous

7/19/2023 01:11 PM

The airport is a valuable community resource which should be maintained and preserved. Improvements would be great but should not come at the cost of losing what is in place.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 02:22 PM

What I like: Boulder Muni Airport is an important community asset. it provides unique transportation and recreation opportunities. A good airport is an essential characteristic of any great community.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 02:26 PM

Still too much noise and leaded fuel still being used for the next 5 years.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 04:36 PM

Like = rural simple feeling

Anonymous

7/19/2023 04:39 PM

Too noisy and disruptive with Boulder exploding in growth.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 04:45 PM

Take your fossil fuel toys elsewhere.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 04:50 PM

updates airport

7/19/2023 05:24 PM

Maintaining our infrastructure is, of course, a key role of government. The assets are owned jointly by the community lack of maintenance serves no one.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:17 PM

Number 2 Choice

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:35 PM

Rejuvenating the facilities preserves the aviation community within boulder, saving current residents money rather than costlier facilities elsewhere. Additionally, preserving local aviation economy.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:51 PM

The airport is too noisy and lead pollution is dangerous to our children and pets.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:04 PM

Like : airport in Boulder, community and educational opportunities, enhanced maintenance is needed. DISLIKE: the airport is a resource that could benefit the greater community more with additions

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:04 PM

It is unnecessary. There are too many airports in the Front Range.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:11 PM

The airport needs to go.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:37 PM

I do not want the airport any longer. Planes are noisy and their fuel is dangerous to our health so this is not an option that works for those in the flight path.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:40 PM

Upgrades are always nice

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:56 PM

Too many loud planes already.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:22 PM

Keep it as is, won't increase traffic by building new housing. This is what I like about it.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:32 PM

I like that Boulder will still have an airport. The cost of enhanced maintenance.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:40 PM

I would like the airport to remain in operation and improvements would be nice. The airport has been the most influential thing in my childhood.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:39 PM

I want to keep the airport, but further enhancement is needed

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:47 PM

This airport could use some updating. Possibly upgrade? Easier accessible. MOST of all, this airport could be considered as recreation. Have you ever taken a glider ride? Flown as passenger from there

<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:47 PM</p>	<p>The status quo is acceptable, if we can change aspects like the sale of leaded fuel. It's a "things don't get worse" scenario, but feels like settling when we can do more.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:21 PM</p>	<p>Noisy</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 01:22 AM</p>	<p>I don't like the pollution over my house, and the risk, and secondly I don't like the noise.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:21 AM</p>	<p>Keeps airport small and low profile.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:35 AM</p>	<p>Our airport is a useful and thriving part of our city. Taking away cities airport to put in more housing is a TERRIBLE idea. We don't NEED more housing! Our airport is a valuable asset to the city!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:36 AM</p>	<p>Since the city cannot set fly over heights, we need to decommission the airport since it attract out of state planes that are noisy and disruptive.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:48 AM</p>	<p>The airport is loud and spews lead pollution all over our houses and open space.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:03 AM</p>	<p>The noise, especially from the tow plane and planes from out-of-town is so disruptive. My neighbor and I has to pause our chat due to an overhead plane. Even at 5:30 in the morning there are planes.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:26 AM</p>	<p>Dislike noise, pollution, poor use of land.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:32 AM</p>	<p>Noisy! Lead pollution!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:32 AM</p>	<p>The premise that something needs to be done to the airport, is a solution looking for a problem. I drive Independence Rd. serverl times a week. People go there for peace + beauty of gliders + planes</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>Maintenance should be a part of any airport already. This scenario</p>

7/20/2023 08:45 AM

also doesn't mention limiting flights, reducing noise, and making space for emergency helicopters and fire fighting planes

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:02 AM

Retaining the airport with improved maintenance keeps the features that I enjoy in the airport. Consider this to be the status quo.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:03 AM

Boulder needs more housing and less airplane traffic.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:17 AM

There is no justification for keeping the airport when it does nothing to serve the wider community and actually does harm to human and wildlife wellbeing.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:18 AM

I work from home and 10 plane have flown over since i started today.It disrupts my thinking and phone conversations. I hate the idea of all the lead pollution be spread everywhere.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:21 AM

Need improved facilities for aircraft maintenance.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:42 AM

There is too much air traffic now, and city efforts too reduce noise are not working.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 10:06 AM

The city had very limited governance over air traffic which is loud, excessive and polluting. Decomission it.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 10:50 AM

Continued noise and pollution. No opportunity for affordable housing.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:03 AM

Housing is more needed. The leaded fuel used at the airport is dangerous to our health.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:41 AM

I don't see the value to the community, but housing has critical value.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:46 AM

I don't think an airport is the best use of this land

Anonymous

This airport served as an important refilling area during the fire.

7/20/2023 11:49 AM

Anonymous

7/20/2023 12:01 PM

I have no use for the airport and see little public value. The planes are really noise at our altitude.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 12:43 PM

I hate the noise. I can't garden or walk without hearing airplanes. I monitor FlightAware so I know many are using the Boulder airport.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:04 PM

Anything to keep the airport operating and thriving and also increase harmony with the City and neighborhoods.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:06 PM

I like that the airport operations will be preservers, but feel that more improvements need to be made to attract additional revenues to the airport. Currently, other airports prove better services

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:11 PM

The city needs to do more to update the airport and make it viable.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:20 PM

I like this because it seems to be the most likely of the three existing airport scenarios to have the same or preferably lower take-off and landings. I prefer add a limit to training uses

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:58 PM

I like it

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:05 PM

We need the airport for wildfire services. Flight for Life is at the airport. Enhancing maintenance will ensure we have safe and quickly accessible aircraft to save lives.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:22 PM

This keeps the airport viable for small light aircraft, and does not create a commercial airport with larger medium sized airplanes.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:25 PM

The airport needs a little work - paving and such - let's do it!

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:31 PM

I live within easy walking distance of the airport. This is entirely a hobbyist airport as far as I can tell that does not really interconnect Boulder with any other place.

Anonymous 7/20/2023 03:39 PM	Housing is more important and more equitable than an airport.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 03:50 PM	So much more can be gain by investing in the airport and providing other community benefits that haven't been explored that this is my lease favorite retaining airport option.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 04:02 PM	Makes no sense to give a small group of wealthy people prime public resources to generate heavy metal, carbon, and noise pollution
Anonymous 7/20/2023 04:12 PM	It is fine too
Anonymous 7/20/2023 06:18 PM	There is so much air traffic in North Boulder that it ruin my ability to use my deck.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 06:28 PM	I do not use the airport or see any benefit in it. We have too many airplanes and too many airports in the front range.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 06:45 PM	Boulder airport
Anonymous 7/20/2023 06:45 PM	The airport generates a lot of noise, and I am very concerned about the lead planes produce since I have small children.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:10 PM	There is too much noise from planes. The airport does not serve the general public. Air traffic is getting really bad and many people who use it do not live in Boulder or contribute to the sales tax.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:22 PM	It keeps Boulder - Boulder
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:10 PM	It helps maintain the existing urban heat and maintains the existing airport.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:40 PM	Too loud, too toxic, served too few people. Disruptive to people, pets, wildlife.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:41 PM	I like #1 because I am strongly in favor of retaining the existing airport which needs enhanced maintenance and I
Anonymous 7/21/2023 05:03 AM	Kinda boring bit should happen regardless
Anonymous 7/21/2023 05:49 AM	The existing airport is such an asset to the City. Watching the gliders above town is beautiful and an honor to be in a town that has such options.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:10 AM	cheapest
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:14 AM	Love having the airport there, have gone and watched the planes and gliders with the kids many times.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:51 AM	This serves only a small slice of the Boulder community
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:54 AM	na
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:08 AM	Tired of the noise of planes going over our house
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:08 AM	We need more hangars
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:17 AM	If we have an airport, it should probably be up to normal maintenance standards.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:24 AM	I've never used the airport and I don't know anyone who has, so any money into it seems like it's being spent for a very small population.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:27 AM	I like that gliders and small plans could still use the airport but it wouldn't really expand and get much bigger.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:33 AM	The airport is an important venue for people learning to become pilots. Most pilots learn first as small engine pilots before they invest in

the longer education. We need pilots as the shortage grows!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:33 AM

I do not live near the airport, but it seems to serve a purpose.
Continue the airport with enhanced maintenance.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:38 AM

Airport used by a small sector or community. Miantance to any
infrastructure is an expectation

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:52 AM

I like the low-cost approach of this method and feel it is the most
fiscally responsible. I don't think it will satisfy parties that are
particularly polarized on the subject, though.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:01 AM

Prefer shutting sirport

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:11 AM

I like the scenario because I do not perceive any pressing need to
change the current situation at the Airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:14 AM

The airport serves a value use for emergency, education and
economic purposes. We should enhance those.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:22 AM

least amount to be done. do not attract more flyers with
improvements. our local sky is already to noisy and affects thousands
of local residents to the advantage of a very few.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:30 AM

Maintaining what we have should be first priority before considering
improvements. Somehow Boulder has forgotten how to maintain its
public improvements. Witness the roads and medians tgat are in the.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:36 AM

Boulder needs an airport better managed with plenty of new hangers,
a restaurant or food truck, north side improvements for the soaring
community.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:52 AM

Maintaining things are good.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:06 AM

Airport Noise is still prevalent

Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:06 AM	I think housing is a more important issue. We need to take action on climate and the airport is a huge emitter of ghg emissions and only really serves the wealthy.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:07 AM	N/A
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:17 AM	Lead, Noise from planes. A lot of space for a few rich aviators. Environmental disaster.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:21 AM	most economical
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:24 AM	I like that it is cost effective. Do not like there are still only so many hangar spaces.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:27 AM	Too many small, noisy planes flying overhead serving a small number of affluent residents
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:34 AM	What is defined as "enhanced maintenance"...maintenance of airport itself?
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:38 AM	The airport is a detriment to 99% of people living in Boulder. It could be put to better use.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:40 AM	not fiscally wise to spend community money for something of such limited community value
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:49 AM	Don't like that there is no change.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:58 AM	Dislike: this will just encourage more airplanes in and out of the airport. Plus the extra cost to us citizens to pay for it.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:14 AM	Dislike continued airport due to lead gas, noise, land could be use much morre constructively

<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:28 AM</p>	<p>Housing is a higher priority</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:29 AM</p>	<p>sticks us in the same old outdated use of this land</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:38 AM</p>	<p>The is an excess of air traffic and the airport attract much of it. Meanwhile it provide little value to Boulder's community.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:45 AM</p>	<p>I hate the number of planes that use the airport. They are loud and spread lead over my neighborhood and hiking trails. Pilots do not care.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:46 AM</p>	<p>Seems like a minimal effort. If we're identifying the airport as a place that has more potential that is not being used then let's actually make some real changes.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:56 AM</p>	<p>very few individuals use this airport. Sad about the gliders but always pros and cons.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:03 AM</p>	<p>I have been complaining about the noise for years, but the city has very limited influence regarding no fly zones, hours of operation, or flying height. The airport needs to leave.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:31 AM</p>	<p>I like that it keeps the airport but would like it to have enhanced community amenities. We visit it often as a family and love being able to see / learn about planes and aviation.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:31 AM</p>	<p>supports airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:33 AM</p>	<p>I am tired of airplanes waking me up, and no one should be able to crop dust lead over my neighborhood. That is just wrong!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:36 AM</p>	<p>The airport is fine now, though I could wish we had the N-S runway back. I hope we don't enhance and raise hangar rents.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:43 AM</p>	<p>I have lived in Boulder for 40 years. The air traffic has gotten really bad with the noise and pollution of over the past 4 years. I have never</p>

once gone to the airport know how it serves Boulder.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:45 AM

We need better airport. Scenario 1 does almost nothing.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:48 AM

Keeps vital infrastructure

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:11 PM

I like the idea of enhanced maintenance at the existing airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

I work from home and planes are constantly interrupting my work. I think the airport should be decommissioned due to the intense noise and air pollution.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

Enhanced maintenance is desirable, needed and a good investment for the future, but this just maintains the status quo.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:54 PM

The Airport cannot be replaced, once it is gone. Improving the airport is always desirable.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:55 PM

best use of airport

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:00 PM

the status quo does not address the intense housing needed pressure

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:05 PM

I dislike that its basically leaving everything the way it is.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:07 PM

improvements are needed to the infrastructure of the airport beyond maintenance

c2

7/21/2023 02:08 PM

The airport is a vital resource to this city. FAA has emphatically stated that the airport will not close, so make the airport operations better.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:10 PM

I find that the noise from airplanes to be intrusive. There is a plane passing overhead every 8 minutes in my neighborhood. It's too much.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:18 PM

The airport adds to the excessive air traffic which produces unhealthy noise pollution and leaf pollution at all hours of the day. It is not an asset to the community and costs too much to run.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:28 PM

What a waste. We should use this space for building badly needed housing.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:33 PM

Probably lowest cost

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:54 PM

The airport adds relatively little value to our community and exists to serve a small amount of people.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:57 PM

I don't like it. The airport was fine 22 years ago, but the noise is terrible now and incessant.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:01 PM

Like: At least the existing facility would be maintained

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:03 PM

The status quo is not acceptable. Planes using leaded fuel and plane noise are large problems!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:09 PM

It does not solve the problem of excessive general aviation noise

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:16 PM

Too many airplanes and airports in the area. There is noise all the time from planes. I get no benefit from the airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:18 PM

Continues to provide airport space

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:21 PM

I definitely want the airport to continue, so I'd be OK with this scenario; however, I think airport enhancements would be better.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:22 PM

This option ensures the airport is kept in a safe and running order so it can be utilized.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:25 PM

Dislike: pollution, noise, serves very few Boulderites

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:48 PM

I find no value in the airport, but it soaks up city resources (land, staff, taxes) and is the biggest source of lead pollution and a significant source of noise pollution. The costs are not worth it.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 04:24 PM

The airport is good as it is but enhanced maintenance never hurts

Anonymous

7/21/2023 04:59 PM

I don't use the airport and don't know anyone who does despite living here for 35 years. Meanwhile the noise is getting really bad and I am disgusted that lead fuel is still being used.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:09 PM

Better than closing it down.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:40 PM

Since the city cannot effectively control the noise generated by the planes using the airport, the city should not fund or maintain the airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:01 PM

The airport is good.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:01 PM

I like the airport and the opportunities it creates to be active in general aviation.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:30 PM

Airports are assets to the community, providing education and access. I am fine with the airport as it is but would love to see encouragement of more sustainable travel

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:44 PM

better fuel service

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:07 PM

It looks to be the most cost effective (cheapest)

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:12 PM

The airport has been getting louder and busier- what will change here?

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:20 PM

I dislike that it seems like nothing here will help existing GROWING conditions.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:52 PM

This airport has gotten so bad in recent years and we've had no say in this. I'm not sure what this scenario helps other than the pilots.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:25 PM

The airport currently functions well enough. Bringing the airport more up to date would be helpful to those who use the airport, without spending excessive funds

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:42 PM

UnAmbitious

Anonymous

7/22/2023 06:19 AM

City doesn't need an airport

Anonymous

7/22/2023 06:55 AM

I monitor FlightAware because of the loud noise that overhead planes generate. Many of these take off and land at the Boulder Airport. Our thin, dry air intensifies sounds and airplanes are very noisy

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:09 AM

Nothing in particular

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:31 AM

I have been a longtime renter in Boulder. The airport has never been of use to me, and the planes are loud and numerous. The competition for housing and rent us only increasing.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:52 AM

The noise and lead pollution make the airport a problem for NoBo residents. The air traffic has grown over the last ten years that I have been here and I don't see the value.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 08:31 AM

Lowest cost and preserve airport use.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 08:33 AM

Enhanced maintenance. Current airport mgmt isn't even doing this.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:20 AM

I cannot hike peacefully in Open Space without hearing a plane every 8 minutes. The tow plane is really bad. Since the city can't control

traffic, we need to stop attracting it with the airport.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:29 AM

Airport must remain, any improvements welcome.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:37 AM

I hate the constant noise. Pilots don't seem to care that people live here. And that city can't stop it. I also can't believe plane use leaded gas. Another example of no consideration for residents.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 10:04 AM

I've never been to the airport and do not have enough info to contribute further

Anonymous

7/22/2023 10:16 AM

I can't hike or walk my dog without the constant sound of droning aircraft. It sickens me to know that the planes having been dispersing lead all over Boulder and there is no local control of our air.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 10:25 AM

I work neat Center Green and run the Cottonwood. The planes are really loud and the lead pollution is gross.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 11:32 AM

I never use the airport but I hear planes all the time especially on weekends. I don't like having the airport nearby.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 11:39 AM

I don't think the status quo is working well and this would only continue the current operations/friction/disconnection with the larger community.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 12:25 PM

I love the Boulder airport and would like it to stay in use for pilot training and supporting the glider businesses. My son plans to do training flights there and it is so convenient

Anonymous

7/22/2023 12:45 PM

No change from status quo

Anonymous

7/22/2023 01:41 PM

The airport is the reason we have so much air traffic noise and pollution. Lead emissions in air is a serious threat to public health and our environment.

Anonymous

Seems like more of the same situation - no improvement for the

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/22/2023 02:31 PM

people in the community or users of the airport - just kick the can further down the road - but it is the cheap way

Anonymous

7/22/2023 02:33 PM

I like that this plan keeps current usage as close to the same. Requires lower investment. Does not introduce housing. Dislike that it doesn't meaningfully improve facilities.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 03:14 PM

LIKE: As a City property, assets need to be in good repair. Does this mean that currently they are not?! Airport needs to stay for so many community/safety reasons!

Anonymous

7/22/2023 04:49 PM

I dislike this. We do not need an airport. It benefits a very small number of people at the expense, in terms of noise, pollution, and money, of the majority of citizens of Boulder.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:11 PM

We need an airport, let's add improvements to make it better.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:42 AM

Sunday 7:40am-8:20 six small planes flew overhead. This is an intolerable amount of noise and pollution. The FAA and the city will do nothing to reduce the impact so decommission the airport.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:53 AM

Airport primarily benefits people who fly hobby planes or have a private jet, leaded fuel rains down on public.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:05 AM

Houses not planes. Neighborhoods not airports. Eliminate the airtraffic noise and lead pollution.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:15 AM

I'm slightly in favor of the airport, but I don't want to see it expanded/enhanced for two reasons: noise and lead pollution.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:39 AM

I am ambivalent about this scenario.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:48 AM

Decommission it. We have to adapt to the fact that there are more people living in NoBo and more airports on the Front Range that when the airport opened. It would be built here today.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/23/2023 08:50 AM	I don't like that taking funds from the FAA gives the FAA control for years. I don't like that it could lead to more leisure flights polluting the air and creating SO much noise pollution.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 09:33 AM	Keeps an important diverse aspect of Boulder. Allows corrective elements as technology advances
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:07 AM	Seems fine
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:21 AM	Housing is more important than airport services for me and my family. I have never used the airport, but I hear lots of planes all the time. I didn't even know the city manages it.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:48 AM	This is probably the least costly option.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:54 AM	I would like to enjoy my deck for one Satday without having my conversation drowned out by overhead planes. It needs to be decommissioned.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 11:17 AM	The current airport attracts too many non-local fliers and the resident fliers overuse it. There are too many people living in the flight paths for it to operate safely and the noise is bad.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 12:28 PM	The airport needs maintenance as everyone knows. I don't personally fly buy I like knowing if a friend wants to they can. People who buy a house next to an airport because that's the only thing they c
Anonymous 7/23/2023 12:32 PM	Needs to remain an airport.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 01:11 PM	I like keeping and maintaining the airport.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 01:21 PM	I think the airport currently is a great resource for training new pilots, I know a number of commercial pilots that learned here. Would rather more new investment into the airport.

Anonymous 7/23/2023 01:55 PM	lowest carbon footprint
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:13 PM	Noise pollution. Lead pollution from aviation fuel
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:17 PM	Nice to have a local airport - would like to see that unchanged.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:26 PM	This would be my second choice. I think it's preferable to have some broader benefit to the community, such as a park and a limited amount of housing. This could be transitional housing for unhoused.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:49 PM	Great airport that could be improved.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:55 PM	Commercial aviation is bad for the environment. Boulder does not need an airport. The Boulder airport is not a good use of resources.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 03:41 PM	I don't like it
Anonymous 7/23/2023 04:25 PM	There is too much noise/lead pollution from the airport. It disrupts the lives of thousands of citizens. Please read up about noise pollution and the effect on people/children. Please shut it down.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 04:47 PM	Certainty around impact.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 05:12 PM	I like decommissioning the airport to reduce to number of plane and the noise. I also don't like the air pollution they generate.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 05:29 PM	If housing is an option, then it needs to be the priority. It seems like a lot of non-local use the airport or atleast I don't know ow anyone who uses it. It just seems busy with tow planes & gliders.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 05:36 PM	We don't need more private planes polluting our air. The airport benefits few compared to other uses of the property

Anonymous 7/23/2023 06:35 PM	Housing would serve the basic needs of more people than the airport does. Meanwhile, the airport generate noise and lead pollution.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 06:39 PM	No community enhancement
Anonymous 7/23/2023 07:00 PM	I have co.plained to the city several times about the noise, but the voluntary program is not providing any relief. I am also appalled that airplanes use lead gas. Lead in the air is very toxic.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 07:13 PM	It leaves the open space, preserves the rural nature of the space.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 07:23 PM	I don't see how the airport significantly benefits the city. The loud planes annoy me all day. I'd rather have housing so my kids could move back.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 08:05 PM	Minimal change or improvement for any group
Anonymous 7/23/2023 09:58 PM	Minimal impact
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:21 PM	Expensive for limited use
Anonymous 7/24/2023 05:56 AM	If the airport is left, I see no good reason to invest in it
Anonymous 7/24/2023 06:14 AM	we have much bigger issues than the airport, like schools and lowering property tax
Anonymous 7/24/2023 06:36 AM	Lead based fuel use, big noise effect for just a few people, does not contribute to City of Boulder.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 07:37 AM	Doesn't solve the problem. Band aid.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 07:43 AM	Keeps meddling city council and west Boulder out of aviation community's business (like). Dislike, no improvemenr of facility or for

quality of life for airport's immediate neighbors.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:01 AM

Dislike noise pollution. It's an eye sore.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:26 AM

Boulder needs housing not an airport. Replacing the airport with neighborhoods will increase affordable housing, reduce commuter traffic and reduce greenhouse gazes and lead pollution.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:41 AM

I would like to buy a place in Boulder, but can't find anything. I have never needed to use the airport but the planes are annoying because there are so many of them and they are loud.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:46 AM

I like the facility as is. Would like Enhanced Maintenance if cost to taxpayers was kept in check.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:52 AM

I have lived in Boulder for 35 yrs. The air traffic and road congestion along with air pollution is the worst ever. Replacing the airport with housing would improve all of these conditions.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:00 AM

Enhanced maintenance means a safer airport for pilots and local residents--this is crucial.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:09 AM

Dislike, it's a hobbyist airport. The land has better uses.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:17 AM

Planes are loud and pollute the air. Too many people live next airport, and the airport needs to be decommissioned to improve the health and livability of Boulder.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:19 AM

The noise, high flight traffic and leaded fuel contamination. Wasted funding that could be used for the betterment of the community.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:22 AM

This needs to be incorporated.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:46 AM

Denver already has an airport

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:58 AM

The airport is committed to selling leaded fuel, which is poisoning our children. The airport adds nothing to the Boulder community.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 10:27 AM

There are too many planes and not enough housing in Boulder. The airport attracts ND support loud, polluting plane while people have to commute into Boulder to work since they can't find housing.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 10:30 AM

The airport needs to be a better version of itself with better airport users aware of the noise issues they are causing.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 10:35 AM

I question the value of continuing to operate this airport

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:00 AM

I don't like the airport because of the planes. The noise and lead pollution is bad. It cost the tax payers money but does generate tax revenue.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:02 AM

I find the airport to be a nuisance

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:13 AM

I work in NoBo and I walk the Cottonwood trail and bike to work most days. I really hate how loud the planes are and I also know the impact the lead has on public health.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:29 AM

I just want to walk Cottonwood without all of the plane noise. I jump out of skin each time one flies low overhead. It is not pleasant.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:28 AM

Doesn't go far enough to update the airport

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:36 AM

Airport provides a useful function in the community and improvements in the facility would be beneficial. No sound reason for major changes.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:46 AM

The noise from air traffic has been brutal this summer and has grown worse over the years. The airport may be convenient for a few people, but it generates lots of noise and pollution for the majority

<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:12 PM</p>	<p>Please get rid of the airport. It's in the middle of a heavily populated area and only benefits a small minority of people.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:20 PM</p>	<p>Once it's gone it's gone forever. Near-term aviation technology advances will make having an airport extremely desirable as a sustainable, equitable transportation hub.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:43 PM</p>	<p>I like the airport as it is, but it's important that they stay current to environmental standards. It's a vital asset to our community and could be very important in the future.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:34 PM</p>	<p>I think it is fine to leave as is. The airport gives young people aviation opportunities, employs 400 people in the community and it central for live saving measures. We need and value the airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 02:04 PM</p>	<p>The planes are too loud generally and the pilots frequently fly too low. It is no longer appropriate to have an airport with so much residential development around it. Please close the Airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 02:29 PM</p>	<p>I use the airport regularly and have built a plane that lives there, so this solution feels like the steady state and is fine with me.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 02:42 PM</p>	<p>dislike that it keeps the airport operational, polluting, with noise and unequitable land use.. btw, the pdf isnt very descriptive "enhanced maintenance of existing facilities"</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 04:03 PM</p>	<p>Existing airport mainly seems to benefit wealthy plane owners</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 04:17 PM</p>	<p>It does not address the noise pollution problem</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 07:40 PM</p>	<p>Can still be used for flights</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:28 PM</p>	<p>The airport has a negative impacts on the health, livability and safety of Boulder and it has little value in our community.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>I like no additional airport growth with option 1.</p>

7/24/2023 10:13 PM

Anonymous

7/25/2023 12:20 AM

The airport is fine as it is, small and local. Do not upgrade it just to accommodate all the new high tech companies moving in to Boulder. Keep the airport small and local for the citizens.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 02:09 AM

Other parts of the airport need improvement and upgrading

Anonymous

7/25/2023 04:32 AM

Leave it as an airport. DO NOT build high density housing there.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:30 AM

No improvement

Anonymous

7/25/2023 06:37 AM

The airport is an asset and needs to be maintained.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 06:59 AM

Boulder has out grown the airport site. Air traffic has become unbearable. Council needs to make better use of the land and affordable housing makes the most sense.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 07:02 AM

It keeps a functional airport in the city.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 07:21 AM

I don't understand how the airport benefits Boulder especially compared to housing. Just building the houses would be a bigger economic boost than 20 years of airport services.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 07:34 AM

I am at my wits end with air traffic noise. Boulder doesn't generate it all, but it contributes a significant amount. The CO2 and lead pollution is also bad.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 08:40 AM

The airport is an outlet for many and it would be sad to see the gliders go! It's s staple in the boulder area.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 08:53 AM

Simple. Preserves existing uses. Dislike: airport needs to keep up with growth in the area (eg hangers).

Anonymous 7/25/2023 09:11 AM	Prefer to shut it down
Anonymous 7/25/2023 12:03 PM	I like it because the status quo is good. I don't think any changes are necessary
Anonymous 7/25/2023 01:34 PM	Keep the airport up to date and safe
Anonymous 7/25/2023 01:51 PM	Existing airport needs facility modernization
Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:00 PM	It's still a polluting noisy airport that does not represent the quality and environmental sustainability of what Boulder stands for.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:47 PM	The airport is a menace and a scourge to city and county of Boulder. The tow planes / glider business should be discontinued NOW. Stop taking FAA grant money NOW. Decommission the airport NOW. stopnow
Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:58 PM	Looks like more of the same. Seems like a waste.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:02 PM	N/A
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:11 PM	Not much to like, the airport is too much now!
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:17 PM	Looks like more of the same? Not a fan as we need changes here.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:27 PM	We need to tackle climate change. Boulder should not aid and abet small aircraft which emit a disproportionate amount of CO2 and lead. Decommission the airport.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 04:05 PM	IT IS NOISY HAVING PLANES FLYING OVER OUR CITY!
Anonymous	The airport functions well currently. It is important to keep it as an

7/25/2023 04:16 PM

asset for the Boulder community.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:31 PM

Close the airport! Recent years have seen this get out of control.
We're told what is tantamount to pilots are untouchable.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:38 PM

tradition

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:58 PM

I'm glad county can give input bc we're impacted too. What I learned
is there's nothing that can be done so I vote close it.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 07:01 PM

Like maintaining the preexisting layout with improved preexisting
features, dislike theres no added hangar developemnt

Anonymous

7/25/2023 07:53 PM

didn't see a need for change

Anonymous

7/25/2023 08:14 PM

Like: No expansion.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 09:28 PM

Minimal change to existing area, no impact on local vehicular traffic
for residents of neighborhoods north of airport

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:38 AM

The airport needs to change. It's gotten too busy.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:45 AM

The airport is a waste of space in a city with a housing affordability
crisis. Why waste time and resources improving airport maintenance
when we could work toward tackling the housing crisis.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:18 AM

There is too much air traffic and the airport is a major source of it.
The noise and air pollution that is generated by planes goes against
livability, health and sustainability goals. It must go.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 08:47 AM

Airport needs to be closed.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 09:21 AM

Studies show the aircraft noise has a detrimental effects on our health
which include disrupting sleep, adversely effectiveness children's

academic performance and increase cardiovascular disease.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 10:45 AM

Several mornings each week I am woken up my plane. I'm tired off it. I am sure it is taking a toll on my health. I can't even walk in my neighborhood without hearing planes.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:28 AM

Pragmatic. Would be better with goals of unleaded fuel and noise caps.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:38 AM

Only if noise reduction happens.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 12:36 PM

Unbearable Noise and leaded gas pollution--benefits only a select few. The environmental burden on residents and community is huge.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 01:33 PM

I like having an airport in town, even though I never use it.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 02:16 PM

The current lack of available hangars for lease is problematic. The demand far exceeds availability. Status Quo is OK, but there is real potential with a little TLC to enhance Aviation opportunities

Anonymous

7/26/2023 03:02 PM

Planes pollution the air with co2 and lead, and they are really. Both facts run afoul of Council's goals for livability, heath, sustainability, and safety. More housing would meet those goals better.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:51 PM

In my experience the airport has been getting louder, longer and overall busier as it is. I can't support this if we can't get significant control here.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:01 PM

The writing has been on the wall for years to do something about this airport. I am disappointed it took this city this long. We've been disrespected by this airport for years. The culture is a bully.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:29 PM

Not enough progress to give aviation the space to go ow.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:59 PM

Maintaining an important and familiar feature of Boulder without expanding into the prairie fields and conserving nature

Anonymous 7/26/2023 08:33 PM	Dislike noise from the airport and the city would benefit from more housing
Anonymous 7/26/2023 11:46 PM	It does not provide for every community member and is skewed towards those with money
Anonymous 7/27/2023 05:11 AM	Updating and maintaining the existing facilities should already be on the City's agenda, isn't that the City's job?
Anonymous 7/27/2023 06:11 AM	This at least maintains a valuable economic asset.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 06:30 AM	The past few years has morphed our area into a daily aviation park. I can't support "same" or "growth"
Anonymous 7/27/2023 09:31 AM	This is vague. What is proposed to be "enhanced" and who's going to enforce it? Where's the money coming from to also enforce it?
Anonymous 7/27/2023 10:19 AM	I don't like this scenario. Invest in affordable housing that REDUCES emissions, not airports for the wealthy that INCREASES emissions.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 10:47 AM	The Airport is mostly ignorable and out of the way
Anonymous 7/27/2023 10:51 AM	I don't like it at all.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 11:10 AM	If we have to keep it, keep it small / NO GROWTH so that we can reconsider decommissioning later. I dislike any airport. The city can't regulate the noise & pollution and it serves so few people.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 11:11 AM	Safety Connection
Anonymous 7/27/2023 11:32 AM	An extremely small group of private aircraft owners get to occupy a large portion of the City with no public benefit.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:00 PM

Okay, but increased income could be realized by expanding hangars, parking and business facilities

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:18 PM

scenario 1 does not benefit the public, although its government owned only a few people can enjoy/ use it.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:27 PM

As the airport is, there is already non-stop, all day noise and air pollution from tow planes and touch-and-go training flights. It is obnoxious and makes our neighborhood almost unliveable.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:05 PM

Needs attention

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:10 PM

The elite dominance of the resource by aviation culture

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:15 PM

The airport is a waste of space

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:19 PM

We need a local airport

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:25 PM

like maintaining aviation use - future aviation uses are developing - important to preserve optionality

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:28 PM

Aviation is a high GHG emitting activity, very much contributing to climate injustice. Wealthy folks spewing pollution on poor people.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:37 PM

It's a ton of underused land. Build houses!

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:38 PM

Boulder needs acres of housing, not acres of hobbies

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:53 PM

Brings existing asset into state of good repair.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:55 PM

Very little

Anonymous

7/27/2023 02:12 PM

The current airport is serving a very small, privileged, wealthy population on a very valuable piece of land. The wider community would benefit much more from more housing.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 02:23 PM

I have used the airport fo business charters.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 02:38 PM

Too much noise pollution

Anonymous

7/27/2023 02:39 PM

I do not see a need for an airport serving Boulder given that DIA is so close.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 02:46 PM

The airport doesn't serve many people, but maintaining it better might improve that.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 02:53 PM

The airport is a vital service, but the current ramp and FBO building are in need of repair/improvement.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 02:53 PM

Reduce airport noise over current neighborhoods

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:17 PM

Airport is not a good use of land in Boulder. Unfair to provide so few with use of this land with no taxation.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:19 PM

CU was founded in 1876. In sick of being told the airport was here 1st. Don't move near a college I'd you didn't want to deal with parties and college parking. What a stupid thing to tell people here

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:26 PM

Doesn't cost much

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:29 PM

Do away with the airport

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:46 PM

no new housing or population, road and traffic maintenance can be considered first

<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 03:48 PM</p>	<p>Airplanes can fly! They can easily use other airports. There are plenty of them around! And all that land, owned by the city, could be far better utilized for far more residents by building</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 03:49 PM</p>	<p>I don't think the airport is in the best place</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 04:01 PM</p>	<p>The airport is well run and a good asset for both pilots and visitors</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 04:03 PM</p>	<p>I'm interested in current use of airport for training and health/safety applications. I don't have enough information about the current main airport conditions to judge.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 04:25 PM</p>	<p>Boulder loses an opportunity to provide reasonably affordable housing for thousands.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 04:30 PM</p>	<p>Airport not compatible with community. Needs to close. Better options and opportunities for land use.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 07:05 PM</p>	<p>Inefficient land use</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 07:34 PM</p>	<p>It would contribute nothing toward housing and maintain the existing environmental issues (lead, CO2, noise) coming from the airport today.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 08:13 PM</p>	<p>Why does Boulder need an airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 08:24 PM</p>	<p>My least favorite option because it doesn't have much vision. I would like to see us take full advantage of available space.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 08:41 PM</p>	<p>I like that the airport would only be maintained, not improved. I don't like the noise from the airport and don't think airports for private planes are good for the climate.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 09:18 PM</p>	<p>like to maintain status quo</p>

Anonymous

7/28/2023 05:55 AM

Minimum cost, keeps airport as important city infrastructure and emergency facility. Keeps open the possibility of future transportation uses such as for eVTOLs.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 06:29 AM

There is too many planes in the air, and the lead pollution and noise are really bad. Get rid of the airport to make Boulder a healthier place to live.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 06:48 AM

Does absolutely nothing to serve the Boulder community at large. This scenario protects the interests of an extremely small minority of ultra wealthy residents.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 06:49 AM

N/A

Anonymous

7/28/2023 07:29 AM

Increased upkeep without negatives related to prolonged construction and expenditure. (Question 3 should specify what rank is being viewed as best/worst. I used 1 as best)

Anonymous

7/28/2023 07:30 AM

It is important for our community safety to have a working airport!

Anonymous

7/28/2023 07:47 AM

Airport serves needs of few, creates noise and leaded gas pollution for many. Housing is much better for Boulder's future

Anonymous

7/28/2023 08:00 AM

This option doesn't cost more and is better than putting money into more airport

Anonymous

7/28/2023 08:55 AM

The airport is critical to the region whether the opponents like it or not.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:25 AM

That the airport gets the support it needs to enhance it's economic capabilities

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:29 AM

Dislike Boulder residents subsidizing (with money and their health) a small group of wealthy airport users.

Anonymous 7/28/2023 09:31 AM	No benefit to me.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 09:38 AM	Love the airport as is. The open space. The lack of congestion. The clean air.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 10:10 AM	I live next to the airport and am very upset about helicopter noise and leaded fuel being used
Anonymous 7/28/2023 10:25 AM	Currently the airport serves 125 regular users. It doesn't say how many live in Boulder, but my guess is many live outside the city. The land should be used for more people who live in Boulder.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 11:47 AM	I see value in having a small airport, especially if we can encourage EViation.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 11:55 AM	Very noisy overhead air noise --seems like it's worse than in previous years. Entire city has to put up with noise and fossil fuel pollution by a very few private-pleasure airborne people.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 12:01 PM	If the airport site can be used for housing, it should be used for housing. The airport makes Boulder less livable and less healthy due to the noise and air pollution which includes CO2 and leads.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:11 PM	I like that it will can be maintained as another way for transport into Boulder and that private aircraft are supported in our community.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:20 PM	Dislike load airplanes over my house
Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:27 PM	The current airport is viable, safe, and functional as is, but some enhanced maintenance would be welcome
Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:30 PM	Airport's carbon imprint and pollution. Doesn't serve a need for most in the community.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:41 PM	We need housing, not privately owned airplanes. City-owned land offers affordability.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 03:35 PM

The current airport model serves a vital need and needs to remain.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 04:35 PM

it does not address the problem of numerous noisy planes flying overhead seemingly constantly; it does not address aircraft fuel spewing lead overhead

Anonymous

7/28/2023 05:21 PM

I have lived in Boulder since 1982 and I have never been to the airport. Meanwhile, Boulder has never had enough affordable housing which is needed. Boulder need to prioritize housing.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 07:40 PM

This is just okay. Enhancing maintenance is find, but the airport can be so much more than that.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 08:52 PM

I like the airport as is but not much has been done in recent years to improve. Feels a bit run down.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:39 PM

The airport results in noise and air pollution in our city. This option may increase plane use less than expansion options.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 05:19 AM

i like it

Anonymous

7/29/2023 05:39 AM

status quo. do the job expected of a municiple airport. try to be the best. What do other small airports do. what do the airport users want.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 06:02 AM

This would be very nice. The airport has is a great facility that has fallen into disrepair. It's location attracts many pilots to visit the Boulder area

Anonymous

7/29/2023 09:12 AM

Affordable housing is more of an asset to Boulder than an airport. Only the elite can use the airport which generate little economic boost to our economy. Housing brings more net revenue to the city.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 10:09 AM

if we keep the airport, this seems to be the lowest cost option

<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 10:34 AM</p>	<p>I like the proximity and convenience to use the airport. Recreating and teach our youth aviation. The airport and my hangars are poorly maintained. There is a big lack of available hangars.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:20 AM</p>	<p>The airport caters to a very small number of residents. I don't believe taxpayer money should be utilized for an airport that is primarily for recreation and private use.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:34 AM</p>	<p>For over thirty years, I've enjoyed living near the existing airport, and a little fixing up would be nice; however, the scenario is less likely to bode well for continued existence in the long term.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:43 AM</p>	<p>Planes are noisy and create pollution in the air we breath. Nothing is worth polluting our air with a toxic metal.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:48 AM</p>	<p>I'm not an expert, of course, but I find the arguments convincing that relatively few people are creating a large amount of pollution and noise with limited benefit to the community.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 12:04 PM</p>	<p>Improve the local airport is cheaper than building a new one. People chose to live by the airport, it was there first. Building housing on the site further densiifies the area.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 12:22 PM</p>	<p>The airport facilitates the loud planes that pollute our air space with lead & greenhouse gases. Aviation businesses have constant flights taking off from the airport that makes Boulder less livable.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 12:57 PM</p>	<p>Continued noise pollution. Serving the needs of very few</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:03 PM</p>	<p>Airport is fine the way it is.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:05 PM</p>	<p>I dont think boulder needs an airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:36 PM</p>	<p>Extremely wealthy playboys with toys are able to spew noise pollution and lead for their own selfish enjoyment when they could drive to Broomfield airport</p>

Anonymous

7/29/2023 01:37 PM

Close to what we have now ... minus the maintenance. I DO NOT SUPPORT Scenarios 3 and/or 4. Take pride in what what we have now! Not much effort required but a great payout.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 02:02 PM

Dislike the airport due to noise, pollution, safety, and limited use by broader Boulder community. Also there is an important need for more affordable housing in Boulder.

zenos_dog

7/29/2023 02:09 PM

Pilots can't stay the heck out of the neighborhoods west of the airport and it should be shutdown.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 02:54 PM

The airport is TOO noisy to be so close to a residential area. We've live in Palo Park for 24 years -- the noise is awful. Would like to see the airport closed.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:24 PM

The ability to keep what we've got!

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:31 PM

I enjoy our airport but it needs to have improvements so it remains a safe place to use. I work with Boulder County when there are fires, floods, & the airport is essential at these times.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:44 PM

I really hate the noise and pollution from the planes using the airport.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:52 PM

The airport is an important community resource that can never be replaced once removed. The minimal cost of improving it compared to the economic benefits are clear

Anonymous

7/29/2023 05:11 PM

fewest changes except to increase safety decrease noise and pollution. fewest people

Anonymous

7/29/2023 07:18 PM

Unnecessary burden

Anonymous

7/29/2023 08:37 PM

Indifferent, really.

<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 08:53 PM</p>	<p>dislike: no housing; like: compared to 2, no funds being taken away from housing</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 05:53 AM</p>	<p>Over the past 24 year the noise and related air pollution have increased. Existing airport as is is not good.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:06 AM</p>	<p>We need more hangar space.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:15 AM</p>	<p>Maintains a viable resource and access to the National Airspace System while taking care of the poorly maintained facilities.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:17 AM</p>	<p>It is Sunday at 7am and 3 planes have flown over since 6:30. I can't even catch up on sleep due to buzzing planes. There is NO way to know where these planes are from and that is a big safety issue.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:37 AM</p>	<p>I don't have any complaint with the airport but if there is an opportunity to change a bit, I'd support that.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:40 AM</p>	<p>Likely the least investment required.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:44 AM</p>	<p>The noise is bothersome.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 07:14 AM</p>	<p>continued noise and air pollution</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 07:18 AM</p>	<p>Current noise from the airport detracts from healthy living in East Boulder. The gliders are especially awful.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 07:21 AM</p>	<p>Does not follow the master plan for city</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 07:21 AM</p>	<p>Airport can improve but is pretty good as is</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 07:47 AM</p>	<p>It's a small airport and makes our community nicer and safer</p>

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:33 AM

An airport for wealthy residents is not needed

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:35 AM

Boulder does not need an airport, but it does need housing and the need for housing will increase over the next century due to climate change.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:37 AM

Keeping and improving the Boulder Airport

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:40 AM

I hate the airport.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:56 AM

Not good use of land

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:59 AM

Poor use of money and land. The noise and pollution are a community negative.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:03 AM

I have lived in North Boulder and never have used the airport and I don't know anyone who does. I hate that my tax money subsidizes the rich people's.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:03 AM

Airport needs additional maintenance and better management

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:16 AM

I like that the airport remains. I dislike that the airport cannot modernize its operations.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:30 AM

Like: existing airport has served the general aviation and limited government/commercial community well for many years. If electrification becomes more prevalent in aircraft enhanced mx may be useful

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:37 AM

Preserves the airport for its current users, especially silent gliders, without adding significant additional air-traffic

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:38 AM

The Boulder Airport, especially with its support for green aviation like soaring, fits perfectly into Boulder's whole supporting a diversity of

interests.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:48 AM

Small town airport maintained.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:01 AM

Significant improvements don't feel like a priority for city funds

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:03 AM

This is a good option, but I believe more needs to be done.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:16 AM

Keep the airport a clean and organized place.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:21 AM

Seems like the least expensive solution while maintaining the existing airport

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:25 AM

Don't like that the noise and pollution remain. Like that there would be less aviation enhancement than under scenarios 2 and 3.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:32 AM

known entity; no increased noise

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:43 AM

This scenario is a compromise between scenario #4 and scenario #3

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:51 AM

The existing airport has function very well for decades and while some infrastructure improvements are sorely needed, it ain't broke so don't fix it.d

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 AM

Increase safety to encourage access for visitors, rescue services, and fire fighting

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:01 AM

There are too many planes and not enough houses in Boulder. There is too much noise and pollution generated by planes using the airport and after 100 years, it is now in a bad location.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:08 AM

inadequate

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:13 AM

Maintaining Boulder airport w/ enhanced maintenance keeps vital transportation and emergency response infrastructure available. The airport is a key staging location for wild fire air response

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:19 AM

Aviation is vital for wildfire and air ambulance

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:23 AM

Status quo, lower costs, does not disrupt the apple cart - does not improve facilities that could use some work

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:24 AM

Take care of the airport up to FAA standards and comparable to other small airports in the US.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:25 AM

The airport is functioning just fine. Enhanced maintenance will make it better.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:26 AM

Anything that includes enhanced maintenance that includes an entity such as an airport always sounds like a good idea to me!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:34 AM

Need to get rid of leaded gas and be more responsible to the noise over already existing neighborhoods

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:39 AM

Seems like this large amount of prime land could be better used than for an airport. Broomfield and Longmont airports are enough for our area.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:46 AM

Improving a valuable city asset

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:58 AM

I like this scenario because the Boulder Airport has a lot of deferred maintenance items, including the structures and the paved aircraft parking aprons. More reliable grass cutting and snow removal.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:00 PM

The FAA won't protect the Boulder residents from excessive loud noise or the lead pollution generated by planes, and Council has no control of Boulder's airspace. There is no governance for residents.

<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:02 PM</p>	<p>I won't like it unless noise from glider tow planes is reduced over my house near 55th and Arapahoe. Tow planes are extremely loud in my neighborhood, and there are more than when I moved here in '84</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:07 PM</p>	<p>I want planes to stop the noise over residential neighborhoods. The noise has increased from more planes.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:07 PM</p>	<p>The airport provides no value to the people I know in Boulder, bur everyone complains about the noise.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:15 PM</p>	<p>Cheaper of the 3. I would only vote for decommissioning if that was choice.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:25 PM</p>	<p>I want the airport gone. I've lived in East Boulder for 22 years and the quality of life is ruined for everyone, just for a few people who knowingly fly over our homes and don't quiet their planes.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:54 PM</p>	<p>The current airport is fully capable of serving its place in the National airspace plan referenced in the FAA LETTER THAT STATES ITS IMPORTANCE. enhanced maintenance would preserve facilities.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:55 PM</p>	<p>Still an airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:55 PM</p>	<p>The airport needs more hanger space. The first, best step is to just fund and execute the existing airport master plan.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:58 PM</p>	<p>Less traffic. I like it how it is.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:11 PM</p>	<p>not sure what this means, sounds like more noise</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:12 PM</p>	<p>The airport works well (safely and efficiently) for multiple aviation interests today. There is nothing I dislike about the existing airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:14 PM</p>	<p>More maintenance to airport sounds nice</p>

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:16 PM

It is a known quantity and preserves soaring.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:31 PM

I don't trust new housing will be under 150K

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:31 PM

Doing nothing now--except for encouraging use of unleaded fuel--preserves future options.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:04 PM

I have lived in Boulder for 60 years and want to keep the airport to perpetuity. Boulder should have its own airport, and we do NOT need to kill anymore prairie dogs or sacrifice open space

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:16 PM

The airport has been operating safely for many years having gliders landing north of the main runway.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:21 PM

I like this scenario and feel like if "it ain't broke don't fix it".

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:36 PM

Low cost, retain airport as transportation and training center.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:45 PM

It is not healthy to have fossil fuels continue to be used.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:13 PM

This seems to be the current scenario. It appears to be working but is unsightly, which brings a perception that the land could be used better for other purposes.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:29 PM

Retains the soaring capabilities.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:30 PM

If you are going to have an airport for small planes, it should be safe and welcoming. Planes should strictly follow the flight paths, vertically and horizontally, and noise regulations. Few users.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:30 PM

I'm pleased the airport remains but I think we can do more for the future than the status quo.

<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:36 PM</p>	<p>Dislike that it changes nothing. Very loud planes still flying frequently with leaded fuel.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:41 PM</p>	<p>The airport isn't fine, but improving it will drastically increase revenue</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:58 PM</p>	<p>LOL - the city of boulder does not maintain anything. Look at our roads and the south boulder rec center. "Enhanced" maintenance - give me a break</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 04:03 PM</p>	<p>We have a great airport that is right-sized for Boulder, but hangars are limited and the glider runway could use maintenance. This is a good, inexpensive option for Boulder</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 04:05 PM</p>	<p>To much noise pollution, air pollution.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 04:09 PM</p>	<p>The future of passenger aviation is smaller, slower, and more fuel efficient aircraft. Boulder is going to be economically behind without this airport. My opinion: keep it as is and don't overspend.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 04:45 PM</p>	<p>The airport should stay as an airport . This land should never be developed into housing. It is unsustainable and requires too many resources that we don't have to support more humans in boulder. Mai.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 04:57 PM</p>	<p>Boulder Airport is a very strong resource for Boulder and should be supported in a similar fashion to the last 90 years!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 05:03 PM</p>	<p>do not want the airport at all</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 05:19 PM</p>	<p>Low cost, takes advantage of existing infrastructure</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:07 PM</p>	<p>I do not want the airport turned into housing.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:38 PM</p>	<p>enhanced maintenance would be good</p>

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:25 PM

Times have changed since 1928 - it's no longer in the right place for the limited number of people who benefit from the amount of available acreage.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:39 PM

Considering the other choices, this only makes sense to keep it safe and simple.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:19 PM

This doesn't exist now... would like to see this happen

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:39 PM

Airport is fine and we don't need to develop it further.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:59 PM

This doesn't add more capacity. It still allows for a few people to play with their toys at the expense of our carbon footprint, constant noise pollution, and spewing lead exhaust into our community.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:37 PM

Not in favor of this. This will likely allow for increased air traffic which causes noise n lead based air pollution

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 PM

Minimal changes, won't disturb neighborhood (where I live) more than it does now.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:40 PM

Dislike the noise, and the carbon emissions associated with the airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:40 AM

I want Boulder to have an active airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:14 AM

Like: not increasing number of aircrafts flying over our homes

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:13 AM

I enjoy the current setup. I believe the airport offers just what it needs to glider lessons and small plane lessons in our town.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:55 AM

It's the least commitment to a poorly utilized and low productive use of city owned land.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:01 AM

We need all the development appropriate space we can get for a more livable community. Investing here in housing and retail will make all of Boulder more diverse and dynamic.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:06 AM

Current airport is a vital part of our community. Keep it, and run it effectively. Make it attractive to users outside of our area.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:23 AM

Airport is fine as is, just needs some maintenance and upkeep

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:36 AM

Need to keep emergency services

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:52 AM

Like: Respects the people who live here in this community

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:06 AM

We should have an airport and it should be state of the art.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:24 AM

The airport serves a very small subset of Boulder, but creates noise and air pollution that affect almost all of it.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:34 AM

The airport could use upgrading.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:58 AM

We don't want an airport that gets bigger and better improve so that more airplanes are flying over our heads

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:04 AM

No housing

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:05 AM

It is okay; I would like to know the cost of each option

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:17 AM

dislike the noise and pollution of having an airport in Boulder, especially one for the use of a small number of typically/likely wealthy individuals. the City should not be spending any money here.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:19 AM	Having access to general aviation is a part of Boulder History and should be maintained. In addition, the glider port is a very important part of the airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:24 AM	lowest cost
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:26 AM	Status quo. I'm OK with this approach. Airport is functioning well at this point in time.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:26 AM	it keeps the airport functioning with little added expense to the city.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:27 AM	The airport is a useful and valuable amenity. We should care for it like any other. I hope air traffic here will continue for long time.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:45 AM	This is fine. Doesn't take advantage of how great aviation is
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:54 AM	If the airport continues to operate, there will always be challenges connecting multi-use paths in the area that would serve many more people in our community.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:00 AM	Serves very few; does not serve actual community needs
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:08 AM	It's ok
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:22 AM	Dislike. Does little to address problems and impacts of the airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:24 AM	Upgrading hanger space/FBO is much needed and will improve Boulder airport
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:25 AM	All airports need enhanced maintenance
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:37 AM	I believe that the airport is a vital economic center and public utility and the city should be maintaining it and investing as it does all of its

public goods

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:43 AM

Continues as airport with enhanced maintenance

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:45 AM

The airport should remain and airport. It is unfair to make surrounding communities absorb the air traffic

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:54 AM

The airport seems to be a rich man playground, funded by taxpayers.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:30 AM

All of the airport land will stay designated to the airport. Future aviation improvements are possible. Enhanced maintenance is currently needed in several locations.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:31 AM

Maintains airport as a community asset

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:43 AM

Air traffic over Gunbarrel is getting heavier and more obnoxious every week. Right now, we are being repeatedly buzzed, since the pilots face no consequences. How many airports do we need, anyway???

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:47 AM

This improves safety and keeps an important airport for transportation, fire safety, and medical emergencies.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:51 AM

Keeps the airport without housing

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:52 AM

I really like 1 since it will keep the airport open and also keep the glider runway open. Gliding in Boulder is world class and allows entry into the aviation world in a different way.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:53 AM

The airport, although providing some value as a staging ground for wildfire response, only benefits a limited number of residents. Most of the traffic, visibly, is composed of the same 4-5 planes.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:54 AM

The airport is great. I learned to fly there in the mid-1970s. I think the glider operation, while I don't use it, is unique and should remain.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:58 AM

While the current facilities are okay. There is so much opportunity to transform this into a truly special airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:59 AM

Continued use of airport and the enhanced maintenance. I do not like that it does not include any plans to improve upon the existing facilities.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:13 AM

Flying is an amazing activity and having access to it in Boulder is one of the many things that makes Boulder special. Having an airport is important. t

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:17 AM

I would prefer not to have an airport. Noise pollution is a big problem for southwest Boulder. Also, inequities: The airport is primarily used by private planes/the very wealthy.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:22 AM

The airport is vital infrastructure and requires maintenance beyond what it is currently receiving.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:34 AM

The airport keeps running which is good, the city could make it a better place for aviation and getting the community involved in aviation

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:36 AM

I am happy with the airport as it is today. It would be nice to improve it some, i.e Scenario 2.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:43 AM

We need more hangars and updated hangars on site. Also a restaurant

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:47 AM

It keeps the airport but doesn't do much for the bigger community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:53 AM

Airport could use some basic upgrades, but it's potential value to the community would be modest

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:53 AM

The Airport is imortant and needs to be maintained to remain fully functional

<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:56 AM</p>	<p>Cheaper to fix it now than continue to let it deteriorate, but the current users should be primarily burdened with the cost of that maintenance (in the form of gas taxes, hangar fees, etc)</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 12:35 PM</p>	<p>Offers much to too few. Many residents are adversely affected by noise and pollution whereas -besides use in emergencies- it is a small group of residents who benefit relative to the population.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 12:57 PM</p>	<p>I like this because a lot of the airport is in need of repair. But this does not at all address the hangar shortage issue.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:17 PM</p>	<p>Planes are literally killing us.noise pollution, air pollution. You can't go outside anymore in Gunbarrel and Niwot without being bombarded with all noise pollution.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:19 PM</p>	<p>I don't want to see aviation grow at the existing airport. However, if it is to continue, better maintenance is always better.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:21 PM</p>	<p>More noise in air pollution</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:43 PM</p>	<p>Keeps this vital community tool intact! Rember the Flood of 2013! This airport was vitally important to this community during rescue operations for many of our neighbors!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:52 PM</p>	<p>The airport does not add the critical issues at hand for Boulder which is affordability and diversity. The airport is a nice amenity but serves a limited group of people.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 02:13 PM</p>	<p>I'm not sure how anyone taking this survey could know how this would affect the neighborhood</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 02:29 PM</p>	<p>No enough covered in this option. There needs to be more than just maintenance, needs to be better transit to the airport as well as a deeper connection economically when deciding how to proceed</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 02:33 PM</p>	<p>The aviation community is a great asset to the Boulder community as a whole and we should support it.</p>

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:34 PM

I like that the airport would remain and maintenance enhanced.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:44 PM

Anything that enhances the airport is good

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:11 PM

The airport is a vital resource to this city. FAA has emphatically stated that the airport will not close, so make the airport operations better.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:13 PM

This does not mitigate ongoing environmental (noise, lead) pollution

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:53 PM

Boulder needs and airport, cheapest option

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:00 PM

Additional flights is a bad idea

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:01 PM

Having Better services will provide a better experience

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:25 PM

blank

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:55 PM

Low impact to operations and neighboring communities.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:00 PM

The airport is kinda dumpy right now, polishing it up would be a great way to showcase an important part of the city

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:04 PM

Depends on what "Enhanced Maintenance" means. General speaking I like that it allows access to continued high value flight training with enhanced safety & efficiency.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:23 PM

I like the size and utility of Boulder airport but compared to GA airports in even much smaller communities across the country Boulder airport has an unkempt appearance like we don't care.

Anonymous

Maintenance is important to the function of any infrastructure

7/31/2023 05:17 PM

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:26 PM

I like the airport. You don't hear the airplanes after awhile and my grandsons love going there and watching the planes take off and land.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:26 PM

Doesn't resolve issues

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:28 PM

It keeps the airport for future needs like firefighting.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:39 PM

like keeping gliders.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:40 PM

It keeps the existing airport, at the current level of costs.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:46 PM

Not enough change

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:54 PM

I like that it keeps what the airport does best. It is extremely important for the local economy and gliding as a sport and business in the entire region of the US for states around Colorado.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:57 PM

I like that this keeps the airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:57 PM

I like that the airport is a community asset and important to the future development of the city and county. It should be maintained to allow future use.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:12 PM

It is unnecessary, pollutes the air with lead, leads to excessive noise and definitely compromises the quality of life in the city.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:17 PM

This is the best option.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:18 PM

We are already being woken up by planes in the middle of the night. The "same" isn't sustainable.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:21 PM

Boulder Municipal Airport is a great airport 'as is', both for General Aviation and for supporting Wildfire/Flood disaster mitigation missions. It's only downside is the lack of maintenance

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:22 PM

Improved maintenance facility encourages more commercial aviation, thereby encouraging financial growth.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:42 PM

At least it doesn't pump too much more money into the airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:36 PM

It honors tradition and the heritage of the area.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:43 PM

I live in the flight path for the airport and strongly believe the airport should remain an airport. Improved maintenance will benefit airport uses.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:46 PM

I don't like it because it isn't an inclusive community space.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:02 PM

I like that the city is investing in the future of the airport - I has been suffering neglect for a very long time.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:05 PM

This would provide jobs and make the area more accessible, thereby driving revenue

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:16 PM

Like: I presume this is the least expensive option. Dislike: Status quo

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:18 PM

Boulder Deserves a thriving local airport. Better maintenance will enhance the usability and desirability of the airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:18 PM

NA

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:22 PM

Like that it keeps a functioning airport. Don't like that it will make airport operations more expensive.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:40 PM</p>	<p>Like: maintain existing facilities that were already paid for. Dislike: not reaching full potential of airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:54 PM</p>	<p>I like the airport, it's a fun part of living in boulder. I lived there for 7 years, mostly in north east Boulder before moving to Longmont to be able to afford a house, and always loved the airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:56 PM</p>	<p>Would love some more hangars, I've been on the air list for 2 years. I'd love to be paying rent to the city.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:57 PM</p>	<p>The airport is an extremely valuable community resource that should be maintained</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:57 PM</p>	<p>Improve aviation services at one of the few remaining general aviation airports in the region, for training & recreation as opposed to simply private jet travel (Broomfield, Centennial)</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:58 PM</p>	<p>Hangars are old and in disrepair. Updates could attract many more tenants should it see some expansion and updates.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:58 PM</p>	<p>It will provide great benefit to the community</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:59 PM</p>	<p>The current situation is unacceptable. This scenario would maintain the noise, pollution, and activity for the recreation of a small number of the City's residents.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:08 PM</p>	<p>I like Enhanced maintenance of facilities</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:06 PM</p>	<p>the status quo is generally inoffensive, but improvements to the airport over time are desirable</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:08 PM</p>	<p>Great airport that promotes aviation opportunities for young adults</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:20 PM</p>	<p>Keep the Airport to enhance opportunities for rescues during disasters and for aviators in the area. I live in the path and noise is reasonable.</p>

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:25 PM

The Boulder airport has been a part of this community for many years. It provides many services that are essential to the community

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:22 PM

It's an established use historically and as such has a rightful place

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:41 PM

Continued use of the airport is a major attraction to Scenario 1. I dislike that it does not make any improvements to the airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:47 PM

has been vital in during the Great Flood of 2013 and throughout various fires.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:49 PM

Gliders. Only gliders for like 500 miles

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:51 PM

Without a cost comparison of each and the estimated social impacts, my guess is that this is the most realistic scenario given that I like having a small airport in Boulder.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:56 PM

i like that it keeps the airport and improves it.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:57 PM

I like the size and casualness of the airport. I appreciate the ability for aircraft to take off from there as they have done for years. Safety matters, but don't fix what isn't broke.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:17 PM

I am very much in favor of keeping and improving the airport. It has been a very important and impactful part of my family's life for 50!years

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:19 PM

It preserves the wonderful airport where my kids like to watch the planes.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:26 PM

the airport is valuable to the community. Keep it here.

Anonymous

I enjoy the location of the airport and it's ease of use, and I think

7/31/2023 09:32 PM

improving the airport would be excellent

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:50 PM

No real changes or improvements; does not address lead pollution from aircraft or noise; does not provide any enhanced services for the community

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:50 PM

.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:20 PM

The airport remains but in better condition for use.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:25 PM

Too noisy and unregulated and polluting

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:31 PM

I think this is a great option. The airport could use some important renovations to enhance safety for pilots and neighboring citizens.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:42 PM

The airport is very important to the city and keeping additional costs low is ideal

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:51 PM

Sounds great, could use improvements

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:52 PM

Hanger space has been scarce here for years. Build additional hanger space and charge market rates to repay the debt.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:12 PM

See scenario two.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:43 PM

Boulder airport is a key part of the community. I learned to fly there and think the facilities should connect to be improved and maintained.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:04 AM

Airport continues to exist

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:14 AM

The airport could use additional resources which would further help it provide value and connections to our community.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:21 AM	It's basically fine, though I would prefer to add amenities to invite the public into the airport more
Anonymous 8/01/2023 03:36 AM	Updated facilities to ensure adequate safety concerns.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 03:44 AM	Enhanced maintenance good for surrounding community
Anonymous 8/01/2023 04:15 AM	Keeps our airport asset operational
Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:34 AM	What does this mean?
Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:47 AM	Remains stagnant, contributions to the community can be somewhat limited. Sustainability would be questionable
Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:45 AM	Noise. Some planes are too loud. If more jets are allowed to use the airport it will mean more noise.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:02 AM	the airport serves limited people, is loud, and has outlived its community use. its time for something different. housing affordability is HUGE issue in our community and the land is perfect 4 that.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:12 AM	I find the sounds of planes flying overhead to disturb my peace. Also wonder about fuel
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:17 AM	We need to grow the airport to serve all citizens.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:17 AM	The impacts of this airport are too large for the small benefit to the community
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:34 AM	Yes
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:35 AM	.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:41 AM

I like that this does a good job at maintaining the status quo at the airport, that glider operations are maintained, and that existing facilities are improved rather than just abandoned.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 07:36 AM

The future of flying does not look good for climate catastrophe. We definitely don't need small personal aircraft adding pollution and noise to environment.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:11 AM

The existing purpose of the airport is appropriate and valuable to the community. The airport needs improved maintenance.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:15 AM

Keeping the airport functional is important for local aviators and the aviation community as it provides a cornerstone of aviation recreation and training for future pilots.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:22 AM

The airport needs to grow along with the community. Keeping it static is not a good strategy.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:39 AM

Low cost, preserves vital airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:44 AM

Replacing the airport with housing would meet Council goals best. The livability, health, safety & governance would score much higher with housing since planes using the airport pollute all of Boulder

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:52 AM

Current airport is way better than no airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:57 AM

corrects existing maintenance problems, if needed; preserves airport for public safety uses

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:17 AM

We need our airport, and with a bit more maintenance it can continue to be a great asset.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:17 AM

I can barely afford rent. I don't want my tax dollar subsidize the rich. It cost more to maintain a small plane than my rent per year. More affordable housing and less toys for the wealthy.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:27 AM

Not sure what kind of maintenance is needed - concerned that if it is too accessible, larger jets will use, recreational planes on weekends. Noisy and unsafe with flight patterns directly over homes.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:33 AM

This is just ok but misses a lot of opportunities that the airport could be.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:33 AM

It does not include housing and services.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:33 AM

I like that it retains airport operations for general aviation. No dislikes.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:34 AM

Do Maintenance as needed

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:37 AM

Things stay as they are.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:38 AM

Provides ample opportunity for people to explore a career in aviation

Anonymous

8/01/2023 10:12 AM

Leave the airport alone, for the most part. They know what they are doing and goodness knows that greedy developers in Boulder want their hands on everything possible. I am adamant to keep them out.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 10:15 AM

Preservation of the airport for aviation use (pilot training, travel, emergency services, and recreation). Keeping

Anonymous

8/01/2023 10:18 AM

Does not solve existing problems of air traffic noise or lack of affordable city housing

Anonymous

8/01/2023 10:30 AM

Status quo is no longer appropriate. Area has changed. (Noisy, leaded fuel)

Anonymous

8/01/2023 10:47 AM

Like: continued availability of transportation and emergency staging uses, connectivity along Front Range, economic contribution. Dislike: it is the lesser option compared to Scenario 3 and 2.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:02 AM

Brings airport into 21st Century at minimal expense

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:13 AM

Mx doesn't solve the issues

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:17 AM

The City of Boulder has not shown the ability to manage the airport in a meaningful way for many years. We need an airport manager that understands the unique virtues of our current airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:31 AM

Essentially maintains the status quo

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:38 AM

Dislike continued presence of airport

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:48 AM

Like: Maintains air service to Boulder

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:52 AM

Just an advocate for keeping the airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 12:54 PM

This would be good, but additional aviation improvements would be better to assist in safety and noise abatement.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:29 PM

The airport would benefit from more activity, and reasons to be there.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:33 PM

If it ain't broke, don't fix it. The airport is very usable and functional.
Improving

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:47 PM

Dislike it means airplane noise will just get worse

Anonymous

8/01/2023 02:04 PM

this is a bandaide approach only

Anonymous

8/01/2023 02:25 PM

Maintains an economically viable service for Boulder.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 02:29 PM

Cheapest probably. Airport is not a good neighbor. A few wealthy people (general aviation) bother the many.. To close to the city.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 03:22 PM

The airport seems pretty functional to me as it is now, so maintaining it would be incredibly easy, though it might be nice if there was a visitor center of some kind

Anonymous

8/01/2023 03:35 PM

I like that scenario 1 keeps the existing airfield.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:04 PM

Won't build for new businesses

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:31 PM

Dislike...amount of airplane noise would not change

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:36 PM

Airports require a lot of land for too few users.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:41 PM

The airport is an important community feature providing educational and recreational activities.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:59 PM

Still adds too much noise, pollution and risk to surrounding communities

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:15 PM

It's important to preserve and improve the airport, for the flights it supports today and the doors it will open in the future with all the new types of electric aircraft coming in the next 5 years.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:19 PM

Upgrading current maintenance facilities is much needed.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:59 PM

More hangars are needed

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:30 PM

The airport supports loud airplanes that pollute the air with lead. It has no broad community value. It only serves the wealthy. Plane tours & instruction are available at five other area airports.

Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:32 PM	Our airport is important, and keeping it operating, safe, and vibrant is a good idea -- at a MINIMUM.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:45 PM	Good
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:55 PM	The airport is vital to the character of Boulder
Anonymous 8/01/2023 07:31 PM	General upkeep of a vital portion of the Colorado aviation community. Boulder Mun is located perfectly between two other municipalities that allows ease of access to the greater boulder area.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 07:54 PM	If we must have an airport, and redevelopment is note possible, the airport needs to be maintained.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 07:58 PM	The airport should be well maintained, including the runways, hangers, and main building, and improved as needed.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:02 PM	we need the airport for many reasons - we need to keep the airport.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:08 PM	future growth for the airport
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:41 PM	I dislike flights for people's enjoyment. Those few people affect thousands of other people trying to enjoy peace and quiet at their homes and parks. Plus they pollute air and waste fossil fuel.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:12 PM	The airport is a very important part of the Boulder economy. Boulder need to keep a diversity of businesses to help maintain a resilient economy. The airport also offers an important STEM options.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:39 PM	Continued airport and pilot culture
Anonymous 8/02/2023 02:03 AM	I believe the airport should be decommissioned. A beautiful, livable community does not have an airport in its

Anonymous

8/02/2023 04:29 AM

Other than decommissioning airport it's the lessor impact. Although it might contribute to increased use of the airport which is dangerous and toxic to the immediately surrounding communities.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 05:17 AM

Hangars and facilities should have enhanced maintenance for safety and viability for an airport that the city can be proud of.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:11 AM

Does not get rid of airport, maintains current use

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:19 AM

the airport serves an important role and should not be decommissioned. It needs improvements.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:23 AM

Would like it t to be more accessible to the public

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:36 AM

The Airport is an embarrasment the way it looks considering Boulder spends its money improving other infrastructures but does not improve the looks of the airport and the buildings are unsafe

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:20 AM

Scenario 1 seems to only serve the limited amount of people who currently use the Boulder Municipal Airport. There are several other small airports nearby that could instead be used by that community.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:21 AM

The airport is vital to the well-being of the county

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:23 AM

keeps airport intact as is and has been with better upkeep

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:23 AM

The Boulder Airport is an important asset to the City & County, and needs to be maintained & updated as needed.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:44 AM

Decommission the airport. We need more housing in Boulder and fewer airplanes. We need cleaner air and less global warming. Less noise and fewer commuters.

Anonymous

The airport is a vital part of Boulder County. It could become a place

8/02/2023 09:13 AM

for social and educational events

Anonymous

8/02/2023 09:52 AM

The airport is running generally well and are attempting to address community concerns. Enhancement maintenance as some facilities are slightly overdue.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:00 AM

The airport is old and at a minimum should have maintenance done

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:01 AM

This airport causes small planes to CONSTANTLY fly over my neighborhood (like literally right over my house) every few minutes every day. Lead & Noise Pollution are my biggest issues with existing.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:07 AM

The vast majority of local residents are fine with the status quo.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:37 AM

too much noise

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:13 PM

Boulder has outgrown the airport. It is time to decommission it. Thousand of people are now exposed to unhealthy noise levels and toxic air pollution. Yet more people demand housing in Boulder.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:14 PM

It's an important asset to our community. Need to cut the grass and clean up any unused items

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:53 PM

Like that the airport can continued to improved and be used in full compacity.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:14 PM

Noise and lead pollution are the two reasons to decommission the airport. The third is that needed housing can be built on the airport site.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:40 PM

Preserves the airport as-is, which is vital.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:43 PM

Better maintenance for the people

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:59 PM

A city the size of Boulder needs an airport, and it is a good idea to take better care of it. Our airport supports business, recreation, firefighting, search & rescue, scientific research, and more.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 02:05 PM

Would like aviation improvements and youth stem and education. Needs aviation upgrades to remain useful.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:02 PM

Seems like the least expensive option, while continuing to serve as a general aviation hotspot

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:24 PM

Minimal maintenance would be an improvement

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:35 PM

Aviation is one of the biggest green house gas emitters that adds to the climate change crisis. Recreational flying/gliders should be banned by Boulder as a first step toward reducing carbon emissions

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:46 PM

Keep glider side clean - it's getting better

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:20 PM

I like this because the airport maintenance is sufficient now. A small increase in maintenance would have very low impact and cost very little.cost

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:43 PM

AIRPORT IS IMPORTANT. If you get rid of it you are removing a critical infrastructure node and violating federal law.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 07:44 PM

I like this because it does not consider housing nor closing the airport. Let's put housing ANY number of other places in Boulder. Just do want is needed and expected: maintain the facilities.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 07:50 PM

Keeping airport and pilot culture alive.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:01 PM

I love the Boulder airport! What an amazing part of this community it's been for decades!

Anonymous

Dislike. Higher-value uses exist. See

8/02/2023 08:07 PM

<https://boulderbeat.news/2023/07/26/opinion-airport-redevelopment/amp/>

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:18 PM

The Boulder airport provides a unique service for residents and allows them to experience this city in an amazing way. Take a flight over the flatirons and you'll understand what I mean.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:38 PM

I have lived in Boulder for my whole life, and I feel that the airport is one of the most unique things about Boulder. It is simply breathtaking to go up in a plane or glider over Boulder.

Mandatory Question (961 response(s))**Question type:** Single Line Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q5 | What do you like and/or dislike about Scenario 2: Airport with Aviation Improvements?

Anonymous

7/13/2023 08:58 PM

It's been needed for a long time.

Anonymous

7/14/2023 07:19 AM

enhances a vital community asset

Anonymous

7/14/2023 11:57 AM

Depends on what is meant by aviation improvements. Stricter fly path and elevation and noise restrictions?

Anonymous

7/14/2023 12:07 PM

I dislike the airport due to its negative impact on the majority of the community from a noise, environmental pollution, and the inability to use the space to match the city's priorities.

Anonymous

7/15/2023 07:50 PM

Don't want more noise and pollution in Boulder county.

Anonymous

7/16/2023 08:49 AM

Sound like increased traffic, and that will not be a good thing for the community.

Anonymous

No expansion please, the airport is busy and obnoxious enough as-is.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/16/2023 12:11 PM

Housing would be a much greater service to the actual Boulder community, but current and future

Anonymous

7/16/2023 02:17 PM

Please no improvements to this airport as the land should be repurposed and used for a much greater community benefit such as housing.impact. Decommission the airport

Anonymous

7/16/2023 04:30 PM

Same as above. Between Boulder, Jeffco and DIA airplane noise impacts have increased substantially in the past decade. Nothing appears to have been done to curb this growth & impacts at the airports.

Anonymous

7/16/2023 05:11 PM

Nothing.

Anonymous

7/16/2023 05:13 PM

No extension is acceptable. Do you understand what CO2 emissions are?

Anonymous

7/16/2023 08:01 PM

Dislike: Would cause more traffic/noise/pollution. Certain aviation groups are already exploiting this without regard for neighboring communities

Anonymous

7/16/2023 08:30 PM

Not interested in anymore money spent on the airport

Anonymous

7/16/2023 11:13 PM

This airport is a waste of space that serves only the wealthy

Anonymous

7/17/2023 05:32 AM

'Always room for improvement. Obviously must have more hangar-space, but NOT at detriment of glider op's!(?) Lots of S-side room for more hangars!

Anonymous

7/17/2023 05:38 AM

Like: The airport is improved to support future use and limited community involvement. Dislike: this plan failes to optimize the airport for aviation, recreation and community use

Anonymous

7/17/2023 06:33 AM

Maintaining operation of the airport

<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:01 AM</p>	<p>This scenario would provide new amenities for the airport, which could increase its revenue potential, and include more people into the aviation community by offering new services and amenities</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:18 AM</p>	<p>Much of the airport buildings seems uninviting and exclusive. As a community airport, it should be a place of wonder and miracles and looking upward for all.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:54 AM</p>	<p>if the pilots and operators need upgrades we should listen to them (I'm not a pilot)</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 09:35 AM</p>	<p>Nothing! RMMA does not follow rules now, so why do we assume they will follow them in the future?</p>
<p>harmsalt 7/17/2023 09:39 AM</p>	<p>I am concerned about increasing number of aviation flights, which I think upgrading will bring</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 10:18 AM</p>	<p>I do not like this scenario</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 12:28 PM</p>	<p>This mainly serves only people that can afford to story/fly aircraft at the airport. I don't see how it helps my neighborhood at all.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 01:16 PM</p>	<p>Dislike the idea of investing more money into this limited land use</p>
<p>dundorf 7/17/2023 01:40 PM</p>	<p>Improvements could increase the airport's use for transportation, recreation, and emergency services</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 03:06 PM</p>	<p>Aviation improvements could mean economic vitality and improved access for firefighting and other aviation uses</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 03:36 PM</p>	<p>There would be some improvements, but it costs more.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 03:50 PM</p>	<p>NA</p>

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:15 PM</p>	<p>It does not address the housing needs and adds to the environmental / noise issues with the Airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:20 PM</p>	<p>For years the airport and pilot have operated without a since of community concern. No improvements address this issue.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:24 PM</p>	<p>The airport is a major source of air pollution including deadly toxins poisoning our community for the leisure enjoyment of a privileged few. Housing is so much more important for this site!!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:03 PM</p>	<p>Improves dated infrastructure which will bring more economic benefits from surrounding airports to Boulder</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:23 PM</p>	<p>No amount of improvement will mitigate the air and noise pollution the airport creates with the exception of banning leaded gasoline.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:33 PM</p>	<p>Again, bad location for an airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 07:14 PM</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 07:23 PM</p>	<p>I like that it maintains the airport and invest in space, education, and services that promote general aviation for the community</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 07:42 PM</p>	<p>More hagers and amenities will increase use by the public and give more people access. Why not expand on a great community resource</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:36 PM</p>	<p>I do not like expanding the existing facilities for aviation or future goods development, but I do like the public viewing area</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:54 PM</p>	<p>At a minimum we need to keep and maintain the airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 09:20 PM</p>	<p>No more people and congestion</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>Like keeping the airport. Improve aviation leads to improved safety?</p>

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/17/2023 09:43 PM

Sounds good!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:12 AM

Closest to status quo

Anonymous

7/18/2023 05:18 AM

.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:18 AM

Dislike that it really won't serve a wide-range of individuals

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:20 AM

All airports need improvement

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:25 AM

Would be interesting to have some aviation options.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:43 AM

airport seems useless to the average boulder citizen

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:07 AM

If more people can benefit from and learn about aviation, great. We need this airport! Disasters, emergencies and aviation training!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:38 AM

everthing

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:57 AM

It could add congestion if more planes are around. I prefer to have the airport rather than homes so 2nd choice for sure!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:01 AM

I like having the airport around and prefer the space be used as an airport as opposed to housing. However, I am very concerned over possible pollution from leaded aviation fuels.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:02 AM

See above. I don't object to an airport for Boulder, but it needs to focus on transportation, service and emergency uses. Phase out unnecessary (purely recreational) uses.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:06 AM

It would be nice to have a nicer airport in town.

Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:07 AM	I would like to see the airport continue to exist as it's super important to our family
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:22 AM	Any cost associated with 2 should be paid for by those who use the airport
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:43 AM	The airport takes up a lot of space and serves a tiny proportion of local residents along with adding noise and air pollution
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:43 AM	Dislike: We continue to invest in an exclusive and environmentally irresponsible facility
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:50 AM	See Comment to # 4.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:01 AM	We need more housing, we don't need an airport. There are other nearby airports.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:24 AM	A more up to date and professional airport promotes community consciousness and enhanced safety and pride while discouraging those who champion the lowest common denominator in flying here.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:34 AM	To help utilize the airspace that's currently there
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:45 AM	I don't know what this entails.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:47 AM	Improves aviation capabilities for future needs
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:49 AM	keep the airport thriving that's all i care about!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:59 AM	Please improve and maintain
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:00 AM	N/A

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:02 AM

It's ok. Feels like only doing half the job to be honest

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:07 AM

More hangars will create a better airport experience for Boulder residents.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:07 AM

Interesting idea, but I'm cautious about whether the improvements would be conomically viable.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:14 AM

Makes the airport even better. Also it keeps the airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:14 AM

Serves too small of a community.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:16 AM

I like that the airport exists and that there are new improvements made to the airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:17 AM

more hangars and services would be nice

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:19 AM

This options promotes the airport and is much supported by the underrepresented general aviation community that presides and has close financial and emotional attachments to it.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:24 AM

Non leaded fuel which I think they are already talking about?

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:25 AM

I don't want the airport to get bigger, or busier. And I DON'T WANT more JETS to be allowed to land/take off

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:28 AM

As long as we leave the airport I am satisfied. also this will create jobs and growth for the community,

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:33 AM

No comment.

Anonymous

I like the idea of improved programs toward youths who want to

7/18/2023 10:35 AM	pursue aviation
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:38 AM	Need improvements and updates. More modern and possibly a place to watch the happenings.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:40 AM	dislike: doesn't actually do anything
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:41 AM	Keeps the airport as a public use airport with improvements
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:42 AM	I love the airport. It would be nice to see improved facilities or areas where families can come and watch the airplanes.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:44 AM	This is great but doesn't do as much as possible to bring the airport to meet the standards of the rest of the city.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:48 AM	It's a fine solution - the airport is vital and could use some improvement.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:50 AM	More hangar space is highest priority. But more meeting rooms, e.g. for STEM and outreach events would greatly add value to the airport as well.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:52 AM	I don't think Improvements are needed
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:53 AM	Scenario 2 is unacceptable because the city has no authority to regulate negative impacts so long as you continue to accept FAA grant monies. Unregulated aviation pollution is unacceptable.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:55 AM	Would make the airport even better than already is
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:57 AM	Improvements will bring additional economic value to City
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:02 AM	Again upgrade for public safety

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:03 AM

I appreciate the thought to expand, but you are removing the entire glider side. These are the most quiet and environmentally conscious aircraft on the field

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:04 AM

Adds to a valuable resource and makes it more usable

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:04 AM

Good idea

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:10 AM

This could make the property better for airport and the community.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:20 AM

There's really no need for an airport in Boulder

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:24 AM

Disagree with the air pollution and noise from the airport, especially near surrounding neighborhoods

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:30 AM

This is a safe, low traffic airport ideal for training future pilots in our unique climate and elevation. It needs to stay and continually improve it's aviation offerings.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:30 AM

I support having more improvements to the airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:35 AM

The most common good community use for that land is affordable housing. This scenario does not make significant strides in that direction.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:36 AM

Love upgrading facilities to improve safety ease of use and allows room for future growth

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:36 AM

UNNECESSARY

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:51 AM

I like it all. Would be nice to see the hangars go to market rate.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:00 PM

Very nice. A reasonable level of investment to make the airport vital and thriving. Doesn't go over the top nor does it overpromise. Should increase revenue and profit levels plus tax revenue.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:07 PM

The airports is a part of our vital infrastructure. Aviation enhancements could further improve the usefulness of the property.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:12 PM

Same as 1

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:52 PM

Not sure of the need.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:26 PM

there is an important need for more hangars and aviation support activities and facilities

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:34 PM

Nothing. We want general aviation out of our community. They poisoned us with lead for long enough. They are environmental rapists

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:35 PM

It's a good airport but could be better governed and better shared with the community.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:36 PM

Fancy but money. Who pays?

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:39 PM

Similar to above

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:40 PM

I am not sure what improvements are, but it is unlikely the improvements would make me use it for any reason.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:41 PM

I prefer it to 1 because we are investing in improvements which would provide better utilization.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:52 PM

The airport should stay! Aviation improvements are necessary

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:59 PM

Improvements would make the airport more usable and functional for all.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:01 PM

fine as they are not really necessary

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:04 PM

If cost is kept in check, workable alternative

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:10 PM

We do not need more housing. We need a place for emergency services to protect our existing housing.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:10 PM

I like that I can continue using the airport in this plan and might be able to rent a hanger.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:25 PM

Aviation improvements are needed. The waiting list for hangers is over 5 years. More hangares are really needed!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:29 PM

Added accommodations would be welcome to KBDU. Anything that expands/benefits the local flight schools such as Journey's Aviation is a welcome change in my opinion.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:30 PM

same as scenario 1 but better

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:35 PM

Good idea

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:38 PM

Again we could have a very nice airport. City of Boulder has nice facilities overall and this could enhance those holdings.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:39 PM

I like this scenario. It will supply improvements and upgrades to benefit the existing users of the airport and hopefully get more people to use the airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:41 PM

This is a balanced approach to add some needed improvements to the airport. The additional hangars are needed and the public viewing area could be a great community asset.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:47 PM

This is my preference. Keep the use as it, but improve on that is there. Such as a restaurant and more community engagement

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:06 PM

Airport isn't needed.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:14 PM

I like there expansion to interest community with viewing area and more hangars for more people to utilize airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:15 PM

.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:18 PM

I like everything about this plan.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:35 PM

avuation improvements to enhance safety super smart

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:39 PM

Everything about it, enhancing the local aviation community will only do good for the city.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:47 PM

Same as above, we need an airport on the front range

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:55 PM

See no need for airport here

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:03 PM

Boulder needs housing, especially affordable housing, not an expanded and busier airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:09 PM

I do not like that the airport will continue. The planes contaminate the area with lead (from leaded gas) and are very noisy.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:31 PM

I like the proposed improevmts.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:40 PM

The airport should not even be there, much less get improvements

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/18/2023 03:41 PM	I don't like that it keeps the airport - a noisy, polluting facility that benefits only a few residents of Boulder and has no value to most businesses or tourists
Anonymous 7/18/2023 03:45 PM	I would not support additional spending on this site if it doesn't yield more community benefit.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 03:45 PM	Increase in airport services at this airport are not needed, would not be profitable, and the place is unsustainable without financial air. We shouldn't be spending money to keep hobbyists happy.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 03:50 PM	No normal person cares about this airport. It is only used by super rich people.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 03:57 PM	Love improving this facility
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:04 PM	Aviation improvements enables the site to serve the community with future aviation progress, such as green/quiet air taxis, while maintaining existing training and general aviation operations.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:20 PM	This would make the airport a tremendous asset
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:21 PM	Long overdue
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:35 PM	I don't know what those improvements are but there should be more public use
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:42 PM	probably fine, see above
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:54 PM	Nothing, see above
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:59 PM	This is a better option than just leaving the airport as is. There is a lot of potential market for general aviation services

<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:09 PM</p>	<p>We NEED an active and vibrant airport. Improve the aviation infrastructure and make the site more family and community friendly - parks, trails, etc</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:18 PM</p>	<p>See #4 above.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:37 PM</p>	<p>We all benefit from airline pilots which most get their start at small airports.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:43 PM</p>	<p>Airport seems adequate</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:09 PM</p>	<p>Scenario 2 seems to be the perfect balance as it deals with a lot of the airport's shortcomings but it still keeps the functionality of it as an airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:11 PM</p>	<p>Dislike: increase in already very busy air traffic. Increased Pb exposure. Possibly increased glider ops. No housing or neighborhood improvements</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:11 PM</p>	<p>It's a waste of space - airport is only for very few people and very small sector of boulder.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:29 PM</p>	<p>Improving maintenance and airport facilities sets us up to attract aviators and future aviators for years to come.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:33 PM</p>	<p>Dislike money being used for small population of rich hobbyist</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:48 PM</p>	<p>I dislike any additional airport operations development. More General Aviation traffic is the last thing Boulder needs.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:56 PM</p>	<p>I don't like this at all. Gener aviation is everything we don't need: noise, pollution, lead, harassment of nearby communities. We need housing.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 07:40 PM</p>	<p>No more expansion, the increase in flights has been unbearable even with the airport of its current size and state</p>

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:46 PM

I love this idea because it would help foster engagement with aviation in our community. My whole family loves watching plane takeoff and land and this would let us be able to keep doing that.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:57 PM

Keep and improve the airport is best option

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:05 PM

i would really like the airport to host improved aviation, weather thats better airplanes or better more environmentally friendly aviation gas, any improvement will be welcome.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:35 PM

Hopefully it would reduce some of the pollution and noise.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:12 PM

I dislike that the airport sells leaded fuel, and then flies over residential areas all day long.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:37 PM

Improvements to airport/hangars

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:37 PM

The green space is abysmally small. Again, there are two other airports within 20 minutes of Boulder (north and south) that can provide aviation services. This will also increase things I stated above

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:57 PM

Nothing

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:40 PM

Best scenario. Aviation demand is surging, and not all who fly are rich. Consider "access to abundant recreational, cultural and educational opportunities" from the S.E.R. framework.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:20 PM

I view this as the most pragmatic scenario with improvement and preservation of the airport to try to address a broad swath of stakeholders

Anonymous

7/19/2023 12:17 AM

Dislike - It would increase air traffic and noise.

Anonymous 7/19/2023 12:25 AM	likely the pricetag will be pretty high. let's use those funds elsewhere
Anonymous 7/19/2023 03:16 AM	I dislike that more money is spent and nothing changes with all the noise and air pollution.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 05:08 AM	I am concerned the goal would be to draw in higher priced aircraft, raising prices and driving out people who have used the airport recreationally for years.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 05:26 AM	I would be very happy with this. The airport desperately needs more hangar space. Improving aircraft maintenance facilities would also good. I would also like to see the CU aerospace program use it.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:03 AM	Does nothing to enhance affordable living. Economic impact relatively small. Some middle class employees, but this space caters to the rich with planes.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:16 AM	Like the upgrades and additional hangars
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:23 AM	I like the airport is preserved and love that the city upgrades facilities that are needed for the airport
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:37 AM	Aviation improvements should be made at a larger airport site. City should partner with another community to enhance a larger site.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:49 AM	I use the airport as a glider pilot. I would prefer the airport to stay open. I would like to see the airport grow and thrive.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:50 AM	Provides long term airport use
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:55 AM	Not a good site for an airport..
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:58 AM	I dislike this option. The airport benefits few and harms many with noise and chemical pollution.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:07 AM

We need less plane noise and air pollution.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:12 AM

The airport badly needs additional hangars.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:13 AM

Allows for growth of the airport in a targeted way. The hangar spaces would fetch \$\$\$\$. My only concern is it could turn into a zoo (safety) with 2x as many acft + gliders.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:17 AM

Seems well aligned to airport needs

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:24 AM

Upgrades would help ensure airport remains up to date with similar airports in the future, concerned about impact on raising hanger rent

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:29 AM

Modernize (embrace the future of clean tech and personal aviation) this vital part of our community, creating better facilities and greater revenue opportunities.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:37 AM

Essentially the same comment as #4 -- we need housing way more than airplanes. Plus who wants to support all that fossil fuel consumption, air pollution, and other downsides to planes??

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:37 AM

I support the continued EXISTING USE of Boulder Airport...It is a local airport that should retain it's character. Ie...doesn't need a lot of improvements other than new hangars.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:53 AM

Keeping the airport is good.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:54 AM

Nothing. The current facility is not an asset for our community

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:11 AM

It is important for our community safety to have a working airport!
Improvements are useful

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:16 AM

Aviation improvements are direly needed. We need more hangars and unleaded fuel.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:27 AM

Taxpayer should not subsidize it at all.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:52 AM

It's okay, not as good as scenario 1

Anonymous

7/19/2023 09:02 AM

Keeps airport/runways open. Helps encourage aviation growth.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 09:06 AM

This is the most reasonable upgrade path to the existing infrastructure. It's measured.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 09:24 AM

I think implementing a plan to phase out leaded gas is a good one, especially with a new unleaded avgas being implemented but this could increase the barrier to access

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:17 AM

Like ability for new pilots to train, and enhance the airport

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:20 AM

makes sense

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:31 AM

There is nothing I like about Scenario 2. I don't want to see public money used to improve a niche service offering primarily for private flight school use. This doesn't address any of my concerns.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:40 AM

Needed to serve community

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:43 AM

Enhances the the airport for airport users, but doesn't substantially increase the broader community or provide new options for providing environmental improvements or increasing underserved people

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:46 AM

Same... too much noise

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:56 AM

I'm not clear on the definition of aviation improvements.

<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 11:12 AM</p>	<p>This would provide the most robust aviation focused option. It would make the Boulder airport more appealing to pilots and flights.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 11:50 AM</p>	<p>Sure, improve it if it makes sense. Fight forest fires from there</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 12:21 PM</p>	<p>Like: Happy medium between price and benefit to the public. Great to have accommodation for future transit. Strong need for more hangars, given multi-year waitlist for existing hangars.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 01:02 PM</p>	<p>Could we have more/larger planes served here?</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 01:11 PM</p>	<p>Keeping the airport competitive with other airports in the state is the best idea and requires modernization in some areas as well as adding some amenities</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 02:22 PM</p>	<p>If it ain't broke don't fix it. there are so many more important places to spend taxpayer money- my money- than worrying about "improving" something that is just fine. start with paving the streets!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 02:26 PM</p>	<p>Still too much noise and leaded fuel still being used for the next 5 years.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:36 PM</p>	<p>Like = more vibrant</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:39 PM</p>	<p>Too noisy and disruptive with Boulder exploding in growth.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:45 PM</p>	<p>Take your fossil fuel toys elsewhere.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:50 PM</p>	<p>I would like to see the airport thrive and keep up with improvements</p>
<p>7/19/2023 05:24 PM</p>	<p>I dislike the idea of more services for a small number of folks with the wealth to fly private planes when there are plenty of nearby airports.</p>

Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:17 PM	Number 1 Choice
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:35 PM	This option makes for the best survivability of the aviation community within Boulder. It also increases economic support of the city/county helping to bring in additional funding from visitors.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:51 PM	Again, the airport is noisy and pollutes the air.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:04 PM	Like: more opportunity for community building. Add on unleaded fuel and instrument approach
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:04 PM	Taxpayers should NOT pay for improvement.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:11 PM	The airport needs to go.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:37 PM	It still leaves the airport, which is undesirable for those of us who have planes flying overhead all day long.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:40 PM	This airport could use a few aviation upgrades
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:56 PM	The city doesn't need an airport.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:22 PM	Dislike the cost and expansion of city.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:32 PM	Again, I like that there will still be a Boulder airport. I dislike that the improvements may not be to the benefit of the area/surrounding neighborhoods.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:40 PM	Improving the airport would help pilots and the economy. It would increase the use of the airport and make in a larger part of our community.
Anonymous	This is the best option for the future of a successful airport. Modest

7/19/2023 08:39 PM

improvements will help to sustain and grow the airport.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:47 PM

It needs accessibility improvements. Update the Boulder Executive Terminal .

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:47 PM

I don't feel the airport is a great use of already scarce land in the city. The airport contributes modestly to the economy, but survives mostly on FAA grants, which restrict the city's options.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:21 PM

Noisy

Anonymous

7/20/2023 01:22 AM

I like that there will be improvements, but don't like that the airport will be there.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:21 AM

Not clear what "Aviation Improvements" are. Too vague. Don't make the airport too expensive for GA. Don't make glider operation more challenging.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:35 AM

Well, you didn't give us much detail about what this means, but my answer would be the same as above. I am all for enhanced maintenance and aviation improvements and a thriving airport for Boulder!

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:36 AM

Decommision thr sirport. Planes fly to low over the city.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:48 AM

No, I don't want my tax dollar spent on improvement that only serve an elite few.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:03 AM

Again, the airport attracts louds planes which is clear from flight aware. And they use leaded fuel which spreads it all over

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:26 AM

Dislike noise, pollution, poor use of land.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:32 AM

It would create more noise! More pollution!

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:32 AM

If these proposals increase the airports vitality, then yes. Otherwise, are they really needed?

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:45 AM

Still no mention of reduction of flights and noise, and no mention of emergency and fire fighting planes

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:02 AM

This is my preference, it keeps the airport viable and future proofs it with features that are meaningful to a functional airport.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:03 AM

Transportation dollars should be spent on roads and alt modes not the airport.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:17 AM

There is no justification for keeping the airport when it does nothing to serve the wider community and actually does harm to human and wildlife wellbeing.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:18 AM

No more planes, please!

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:21 AM

Need better facilities after arrival to benefit fly in business. Runway, taxi way maintenance to prevent aircraft damage. Individual aircraft hangers with 30 year land leases to support hanger demand.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:42 AM

This would add to the noise and lead pollution which is excessive now.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 10:06 AM

Spending tax revenue on the elite few is wrong.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 10:50 AM

If the planes are less noisy and don't contribute to the air pollution, that would be good.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:03 AM

No, the airport needs to move. There are too many residents and hiking nearby.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:41 AM

We need to invest in roads which are really congested.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:46 AM

I don't think an airport is the best use of this land

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:49 AM

I'm unsure what aviation improvements would occur.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 12:01 PM

Spend money on important community needs like affordable housing, roads, transit or sidewalk safety. Do not spend tax revenue on the airport.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 12:43 PM

I don't like our transpo staff or our transpo funds being spent on it. It only serves a few wealthy people who live elsewhere or have other options.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:04 PM

Anything to keep the airport operating and thriving and also increase harmony with the City and neighborhoods.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:06 PM

Ideal plan. Upgrade airport to attract more revenue generating activities. Future-looking development for UAM would be great idea.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:11 PM

I think this is the right approach.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:20 PM

I do not want to have development of the airport that would increase flight capacity and encourage more take-offs and landings

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:58 PM

I like it, need more hangars

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:05 PM

I am not entirely familiar with the improvements, but hundreds of people were saved in Lyons during the floods and countless structured saved by having the airport as a base. Improve & save lives.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:22 PM

Improvements are good, but not sure if they are required to keep the airport in operations for small light aircraft.

Anonymous

Fantastic proposal - great use of a great asset.

7/20/2023 03:25 PM

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:31 PM

I like it better than 1 if it would actually create an airport that travelers can use. However, it seems too small, and I think the noise involved would distract considerably from our neighborhood.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:39 PM

Transportation funding should be spent on projects more residents used.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:50 PM

This is my favorite if we add Neighborhood Servicing USES but not Housing. Housing at an airport isn't necessary to provide a great community asset.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 04:02 PM

See above. We should be rescuing the resources devoted to polluting hobbies not increasing them.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 04:12 PM

Long past due.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:18 PM

No improvements will solve the noise problem.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:28 PM

It's the wrong way to spend tax dollars. The airport does not serve the entire community like road do ae provide for essential needs like housing.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:45 PM

N/A

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:45 PM

I don't think we need an airport in Boulder. I have never notice a benefit. Meanwhile the noise and pollution threatens the health of my family.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:10 PM

Again, it does not serve Boulderites.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:22 PM

It could be updates to benefit the public.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:10 PM	It reduce urban heat and reduces traffic compared to housing
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:40 PM	See above
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:41 PM	I like building in options to accommodate future modes of travel and a safe public viewing area.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 05:03 AM	Kinda boring bit should happen regardless
Anonymous 7/21/2023 05:49 AM	Definitely keep the airport!! If they need aviation improvements for safety that should be allowed.PI
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:10 AM	Only slightly more expensive
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:14 AM	It looks like the airport has not been improved in many years, it could probably use some money spent on it.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:51 AM	There is another nearby airport &I think need for affordable &more housing is dire
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:54 AM	na
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:08 AM	More plane traffic over our house
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:08 AM	We need more hangars
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:17 AM	I don't think we should spend more money fostering aviation. Generally, I think it's a fun thing for the (mostly) wealthy, rather than a general benefit for society.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:24 AM	Again, it seems like it's money being spent on the very elite in the community. Not a need of the bigger community.

Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:27 AM	I don't like this because these improvements would likely cause a significant increase in air traffic.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:33 AM	Supporting the airline pilot growth industry is key.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:33 AM	New fuels are available, noise reducing products.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:38 AM	Same as above
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:52 AM	I believe a well-managed airport is critical infrastructure for business needs, especially in a city with as much sensitive technology and demand for rapid, secure transportation of goods and people.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:01 AM	Prefer shutting sirport
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:11 AM	I don't want the airport to go away, nor converted to housing. Upgrading some of the facilities can't hurt, other than the expense.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:14 AM	The airport serves a value use for emergency, education and economic purposes. We should enhance those.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:22 AM	no- just will attract more "business". There is already a problem - why would you POSSIBLY want to attract more users?!
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:30 AM	Because there are other regional airports close by I think the airport should be closed.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:36 AM	Improvements are good, but we need new, better hangers and other aviation resources.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:52 AM	Improvements are always needed on older buildings.

Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:06 AM	Airport Noise is still prevalent - take out teacher/student programs and keep airport for emergency services if need be.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:06 AM	See my answer above.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:07 AM	N/A
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:17 AM	Lead, Noise from planes. A lot of space for a few rich aviators. Environmental disaster.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:21 AM	enhancements are probably worthwhile
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:24 AM	I like that it is cost effective and more hangar spaces. Not sure beyond that.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:27 AM	Do not want increase in air traffic, getting the lead out of aviation fuel is essential
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:34 AM	Would this mean enlarging the airport for aviation improvements or just new runways?
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:38 AM	It'll be nice for the 1%. Ive lived here 25 years and have never used the airport.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:40 AM	from what I know this does not add value to the larger community, so spending so much money to enhance a facility that serves so few does not seem prudent
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:49 AM	Good to make it cleaner and safer.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 09:58 AM	Dislike: an enhanced airport would grow bigger and a bigger, busier airport is unappealing for Boulder.
Anonymous	Dislike continued airport due to lead gas, noise, land could be use

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/21/2023 10:14 AM

much morre constructively

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:28 AM

Housing is a higher priority

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:29 AM

will create more noise, pollution and exclusive use

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:38 AM

I really don't want my tax money or city staff time spent on the airport when only a few Boulderites use it. Roads and sidewalk are more needed.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:45 AM

No, it needs to leave.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:46 AM

I'm not totally sure what this would mean, but if the airprt had a tower then more flights could come in and theree would be more activity.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:56 AM

No need to keep the airport

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:03 AM

I monitor FlightAware and known the now there are several flights each day that are not local. Irovements will only increase noise and pollution.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:31 AM

I like that it keeps the airport but would hope for additional community programming.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:31 AM

best option. airport should be grown

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:33 AM

No, no additional planes!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:36 AM

Looks like it will cost the users more,

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:43 AM

Don't spend my tax money on improvements. I think it is a nuisance ad it is. Improvements will only bring more planes.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:45 AM

This improves airport a bit - good

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:48 AM

Keeps vital infrastructure

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:11 PM

I like the idea of Aviation improvements.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

Please just stop all the noise from the planes. Any other land use would be better and probably increase economic activity. It seems like just a few of the same people use the airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

Aviation improvements are beneficial, but there should be benefits to the rest of the community as well.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:54 PM

The airport could use additional capability, like better fueling and a place to eat and rest. transition pilots depend on these features.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:55 PM

better use of airport

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:00 PM

Airplanes are not houses

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:05 PM

I like that this option would add to the airports importance to the boulder community but it might be too much for boulder.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:07 PM

positive improvements while maintaining the capabilities of the existing airport facilities for use

c2

7/21/2023 02:08 PM

FAA has emphatically stated that the airport will not close, and it provides a vital service and generates significant income. Work to resolve issues of noise, incentivize the use of lead-free gas,

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:10 PM

Nothing will improve to noise.

Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:18 PM	Throwing more public money at the airport will not remediate the negative health effects it has nor will the city be able to control aspects (hours of operation, no fly zone or height limits.)
Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:28 PM	What a waste. We should use this space for building badly needed housing.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:33 PM	Keeping aviation safe is a priority
Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:54 PM	The airport adds relatively little value to our community and exists to serve a small amount of people.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:57 PM	I don't like the airport
Anonymous 7/21/2023 03:01 PM	Like: Implementing Airport Master Plan recommendations.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 03:03 PM	What improvements?!!!!
Anonymous 7/21/2023 03:09 PM	Same - still lots of noisy small planes flying overhead, not optimal land use
Anonymous 7/21/2023 03:16 PM	Our transportation dollars should go to fixing road congestion and installing more charging stations.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 03:18 PM	Too many planes would be too noisy
Anonymous 7/21/2023 03:21 PM	I definitely want the airport to continue & get aviation improvements. But scenario 3's community enhancements sound more exciting.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 03:22 PM	I like this scenario because it allows the airport to reach its full potential.
Anonymous	It increases all the qualities I dislike about the current airport:

7/21/2023 03:25 PM

pollution, noise, serves very few Boulderites

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:48 PM

Again, the cost to keep the airport running is not worth it. Improvements would be throwing good money after bad and make the noise and pollution worse.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 04:24 PM

Aviation improvements seem like a good thing for the airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 04:59 PM

Until only electric airplane are in use, no improvement can mitigate the unhealthy impacts the airport has.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:09 PM

It could attract more aircraft/operators, leading to increased business (more flight training, fuel sales, etc.)

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:40 PM

If the same noise and zoning logic were applied to the airport, it would not be able to operate in the city of Boulder. The pollution that results from its uses is spread across the entire city.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:01 PM

Improvements would be great!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:01 PM

Keep the airport

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:30 PM

Promoting newer technology that is more environmentally friendly would be great

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:44 PM

airport needs to stay

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:07 PM

I like the idea of having an economically viable airport

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:12 PM

No to airport growth. Why would we do even more for the airport? What's it even doing besides polluting?

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:20 PM

This is just favoring an airport that's already too busy and polluting for this area. There's a helicopter right now lapping the airport and it's

after 9pm?!?

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:52 PM

This looks like more impact. I can't imagine a good reason for us to increase this noise and pollution. It's gotten really chronic and sometimes concerning.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:25 PM

This option is OK, but question how all the improvements will be paid for

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:42 PM

Seems like the base case

Anonymous

7/22/2023 06:19 AM

City doesn't need an airport

Anonymous

7/22/2023 06:55 AM

Boulder had noise ordinances which cannot be applied to aircraft. The city obvious sees the benefits of reducing noise, it should eliminate a primary cause of it: the airport.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:09 AM

Nothing in particular

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:31 AM

I can't enjoy my morning coffee without flying lawn mowers overhead at 6 am. Improvements only mean more airplanes and more noise.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:52 AM

Residents near JeffCo and Centennial are suing the airport and if successful, residents will sue in Boulder, especially if air traffic increases.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 08:31 AM

Low cost and improve recreational activity

Anonymous

7/22/2023 08:33 AM

Brings Boulder airport In line with almost every public airport of similar type in the US

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:20 AM

Making aviator use more distant airports will cut down on air traffic. Improvements will only attract more planes to Boulder.

<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 09:29 AM</p>	<p>Airport must remain, aviation improvements will increase viability.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 09:37 AM</p>	<p>Plane are very loud in Boulder. The Flatiron amplify the sound and pilots like to get low to view the mountains. Anything to discourage aviation to mitigate the noise should be pursued.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 10:04 AM</p>	<p>I hear a lot of people complain about the existing state of the airport. I think aviation improvements would be beneficial</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 10:16 AM</p>	<p>The city needs to reduce and not increase air traffic to mitigate the noise and air pollution. Plane emits ton of greenhouse gases along with lead. Noise contributes to many health issues.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 10:25 AM</p>	<p>I would rather have better roads.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 11:32 AM</p>	<p>The community does not need airport improvements. We need better streets and bike lanes and underpass.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 11:39 AM</p>	<p>Would likely exacerbate existing environmental, equity, and livability issues at added financial and quality of life costs to the city and neighbors.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 12:25 PM</p>	<p>I like this option because the airport will not get closed down.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 12:45 PM</p>	<p>Dislike putting additional city money into niche benefits</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 01:41 PM</p>	<p>Again, the airport produces too much noise and air pollution because of the planes that use it. Improvements will not reduce the pollution but may increase both.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 02:31 PM</p>	<p>This seems like a good balanced approach - the airport is a resource, not an eyesore. Can really help people generate income through employment and training, and just jobs in general.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>Likes: meaningful improvements to airport. Future-proofs iconic</p>

7/22/2023 02:33 PM

Boulder Airport, glider activities, and associated outdoor activities.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 03:14 PM

DISLIKE: I would rather see #1 or #3 scenario. This one just seems to focus on improvements solely for the airport users not the community

Anonymous

7/22/2023 04:49 PM

I really don't like this option. We need to get rid of the airport, not improve it.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:11 PM

We need an airport, let's add improvements to make it better.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:42 AM

Aviation *improvements* will not improve the impacts aircraft has on residents. They only serve the aviation enthusiasts.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:53 AM

Airport primarily benefits people who fly hobby planes or have a private jet, leaded fuel rains down on public, city taxpayers spend money to further subsidize aforementioned groups.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:05 AM

Again, houses not plane. Make Boulder cleaner and more livable, safer and more sustainable. Decommission the airport.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:15 AM

I don't have strong feelings on this one.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:39 AM

New and upgraded hangars is very big plus.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:48 AM

Don't spend more money on it. Use the money to build houses.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:50 AM

I don't like that taking funds from the FAA gives the FAA control for years

Anonymous

7/23/2023 09:33 AM

If Boulder continues to be a leading technology town, the airport is a vital asset and will be a development site for new technology such as flying cars. Prefer Scenario 1 until it is clear where tec

Anonymous

Seems fine

7/23/2023 10:07 AM

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:21 AM

Again, I have no use for the airport and hate knowing that the overhead planes cause lead pollution.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:48 AM

Not sure what improvements are proposed. Could be expensive but make it a better airport.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:54 AM

Improvements will probably attract more planes of which we need less. No improvements.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 11:17 AM

The current airport needs to be decommissioned so I am against any improvements.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 12:28 PM

Any scenario that reduces the airport is not something I actually support.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 12:32 PM

Needs to remain an airport

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:11 PM

I like keeping, maintaining, and expanding the airport.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:21 PM

I like this solution and I think more hangars and potential runway extension and an instrument approach would help bring in more revenue. Maybe get a CU Boulder Aerospace Lab?

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:55 PM

wasteful

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:13 PM

Noise pollution. Environmental pollution lead aviation fuel

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:17 PM

Nothing wrong with it if the money can be found.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:26 PM

Expanding the airport use seems unnecessary

Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:49 PM	make it better for aviation
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:55 PM	Commercial aviation is bad for the environment. Boulder does not need an airport. The Boulder airport is not a good use of resources
Anonymous 7/23/2023 03:41 PM	no
Anonymous 7/23/2023 04:25 PM	Too much noise/pollution already. It will be an investment for a handful of Boulder citizens. Climate change is happening. Invest the \$ in housing, trees, water.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 04:47 PM	Certainty around impact.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 05:12 PM	No improvements needed if the airport is decommissioned. I can't think of any improvements that would improve the noise and air pollution.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 05:29 PM	Housing would preclude spending money on improvements. Staff time and transpo money could be spent on improving congestion problems.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 05:36 PM	Too much airport, not enough space for benefit of community
Anonymous 7/23/2023 06:35 PM	Improvements are unnecessary if the site is used for housing. Improvements would not deal with the noise or air pollution issues.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 06:39 PM	Community enhancement! I'd take my kid there more often as he loves planes!
Anonymous 7/23/2023 07:00 PM	Until only electric planes are in the air, I do see how any improvements will help eliminate the chronic noise and air pollution.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 07:13 PM	I don't want bigger planes with more noise..

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/23/2023 07:23 PM	I think improvements are not worth it. Housing is more important.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 08:05 PM	Invested in value of commercial business and increases safety
Anonymous 7/23/2023 09:58 PM	This looks man looks fine
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:21 PM	Need local airport in thriving Boulder Not to be impeded by having housing or mixed use that close.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 05:56 AM	We don't need to invest in the airport, which has a negative impact on our already terrible air quality
Anonymous 7/24/2023 06:14 AM	we have much bigger issues than the airport, like schools and lowering property tax
Anonymous 7/24/2023 06:36 AM	It is better than doing nothing and I presume the improvements would make things less toxic and less noisy.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 07:37 AM	The last thing we need is more \$ invested in the Airport.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 07:43 AM	Strikes a good balance for preserving and improving facility. Educational opportunities for young people interested in aviation (like). Dislike: inroad for future city council and staff meddling
Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:01 AM	Not good for city or community. Too few citizens benefit
Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:26 AM	Boulder needs more houses and not more overhead flight or the lead and CO2 pollution that small planes generate. Cars haven't used leaded fuels for decades but small planes still do.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:41 AM	I just think the more housing the better, especially affordable apartments or townhouses. NoBo has nice trails and is on a bus route which I like.

<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:46 AM</p>	<p>Improvements would be good, but wouldn't like to see heavy jet aircraft use the facility</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:52 AM</p>	<p>If the city wants to reduce global warming, improve traffic congestion and provide affordable housing, then decommission the airport, and build houses.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:00 AM</p>	<p>This is an improvement over Scenario 1 -- improvements mean progress and a safer airport. Locals interested in aviation need an accessible option that is safe & will prepare them for future careers.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:09 AM</p>	<p>Same as 1</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:17 AM</p>	<p>None of the improvement will actually improve health, enviro sustainability, safety for residents or livability. I am against it.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:19 AM</p>	<p>The noise, high flight traffic and leaded fuel contamination. The planes fly so low over my condo that safety is a concern too.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:22 AM</p>	<p>Airport needs upgrading to remain viable.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:46 AM</p>	<p>Denver already has an airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:58 AM</p>	<p>Why are we prioritizing the small minority of pilot hobbyists over Boulder's 100k citizens. NO airport growth.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:27 AM</p>	<p>No improvements since we don't need more airplanes. We need few planes in the air and more housing on the ground.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:30 AM</p>	<p>Need unleaded gas and better noise controls and better mufflers on planes. Also better adherence to voluntary noise abatement program.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:35 AM</p>	<p>Increasing air traffic in no way improves the quality of life for the surrounding communities.</p>

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:00 AM

I don't see why we need to improve it. Boulder doesn't need it.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:02 AM

I find the airport to be a nuisance

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:13 AM

I don't think the noise of the plane or the lead and CO2 emissions will improve so any "improvements" would have no value.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:29 AM

I don't see why the airport needs improvements. It needs to be moved so that me and my neighbors can have a more livable neighborhood.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:28 AM

Upgrading the ariport is way overdue but probably still doesn't go far enough. Need to look into the future and address what is coming - pilot shortage, urban and advanced mobility, emergencies

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:36 AM

Some modifications and improvements are acceptable, but no major overhauls.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 11:46 AM

No improvements. Stop the noise and pollution.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:12 PM

Please get rid of the airport. It's in the middle of a heavily populated area and only benefits a small minority of people.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:20 PM

Not interested in busier airport with "old" technology because of pollution and noise impacts.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:43 PM

This is also a great option. I don't necessarily want increased air traffic, but I find this more appealing than increased density with housing, etc.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:34 PM

We need our airport for reasons mentioned above. Additionally, Boulder can be on the cutting edge of improvements in the aviation world to make aviation more sustainable.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:04 PM

I don't want an airport at all, so I don't want Aviation improvements either

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:29 PM

I again like the enhanced public viewing area and want to bring my kids and their friends around; more hangars mean more of a pilot community and better instructors available.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:42 PM

just adds to more of afore mentioned polluting, noise and unequitable land use..

Anonymous

7/24/2023 04:03 PM

Even with improvements, airport mainly seems to benefit wealthy plane owners

Anonymous

7/24/2023 04:17 PM

It does not address the noise pollution problem

Anonymous

7/24/2023 07:40 PM

Better regulations

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:28 PM

The improvements will not reduce the negative impacts.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 10:13 PM

I don't like how this actually expands the airport.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 12:20 AM

It keeps the airport but provides some improvements.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 02:09 AM

Need more hangars to keep up with demand. Aviation enthusiasts should be afforded better service and facilities

Anonymous

7/25/2023 04:32 AM

Leave it as an airport. Leave the unbuilt land unbuilt.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:30 AM

Only a fraction of the community gets benefit

Anonymous

7/25/2023 06:37 AM

I'm altars that improving the airport will encourage more air traffic which I'm opposed to.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 06:59 AM</p>	<p>No sense using tax money to improve the airport when there are large airports nearby that will always have better facilities. Use the land for housing.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:02 AM</p>	<p>It keeps a functional airport in the city</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:21 AM</p>	<p>I don't want more planes overhead. The airport is landlocked and has no room to grow so it should move and Boulder should use the site for housing which is more compatible.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:34 AM</p>	<p>I don't like the idea of improvements which will only increase air traffic. Build house instead.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 08:40 AM</p>	<p>Na</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 08:53 AM</p>	<p>Important for the airport to stay up-to-date and keep up with growth in the area.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 09:11 AM</p>	<p>Let's not invest in projects that don't serve the community</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 12:03 PM</p>	<p>Remodeling/replacing hangars would be good in the long term for the Front Range aviation community. I like it because little/no land use changes.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 01:34 PM</p>	<p>Not a fan or more flights. Local users are the best.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 01:51 PM</p>	<p>Does not include the 'Restaurant/Cafe'</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:00 PM</p>	<p>I dislike the fact that as the city grows, the airport won't get out of the way. Airports and housing don't mix, the airport needs to be moved or be only used for emergency services.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:47 PM</p>	<p>The airport is NOT a community asset. Glider tow planes buzz the city all day long, every day. My life has been ruined. I wear ear protection INSIDE my home and work. I cannot garden or enjoy my</p>

home.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 02:58 PM

Looks like airport growth and I am not sure that is wise given locations to community.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:02 PM

More planes seems like a reckless idea. Once they are here, it's hard to rein it back in.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:11 PM

This favors only pilots, please no more of this!

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:17 PM

Please not more planes. What is happening to Boulder when we promote more private planes? Is this really Boulder? Private planes are horrible for the climate. I am stunned anyone would like this here.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:27 PM

Again we can no long support practices that disproportionately contribution to climate change. We need to get serious about our commitment to reduce greenhouse gases in Boulder.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 04:05 PM

IT IS NOISY HAVING PLANES FLYING OVER OUR CITY!

Anonymous

7/25/2023 04:16 PM

Improvements are necessary such as additional hangers for aircraft. If future modes of air travel prove to be viable, that will be important as well.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:31 PM

Considering how bad it's gotten in recent years, I'm moving if this airport gets worse.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:38 PM

progress

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:58 PM

No airport growth. This is signing the city up for uncharted issues.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 07:01 PM

Love the idea of more hangars built, redevelopment and updating the areas to stay up to date with modern times

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous

7/25/2023 07:53 PM

Improvements are probably needed

Anonymous

7/25/2023 08:14 PM

Dislike: Air traffic has increased significantly over Boulder. Expanding the airport would further increase the carbon footprint and lead to more noise.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 09:28 PM

Still unclear what the #3 red block on north side of airport will be.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:38 AM

It's gotten too busy and loud as it is. I'm concerned about lead issues and turning this area into an industrial zone.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:45 AM

Only a tiny fraction of (the wealthiest) Boulder residents will ever use or benefit from the airport. Why would we put money toward aviation improvements that only benefit a few of the most well off?

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:18 AM

Don't spend money on improvements when the airport will only continue to support planes that emit lead and lots of CO2 and other pollutants that create ozone.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 08:47 AM

Airport should be closed.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 09:21 AM

Lead is a highly toxic emissions from small plane and needs to be discontinued.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 10:45 AM

No, please. I can't afford to sell my house, and more improvements would definitely increase air traffic. We should be uprooted because of joy riding out of state aviators and several local ones.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:28 AM

Could be simpler

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:38 AM

Would like to hear noise reduced.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 12:36 PM

Unbearable Noise and leaded gas pollution--benefits only a select few. The environmental burden on residents and community is huge.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 01:33 PM

My catalytic converter was stolen out of my car at DIA so I'd appreciate any way to avoid ever going there again. Might be good way to decrease dependency on Denver. idk.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 02:16 PM

Adding additional Hangars will increase safety by offering safe harbor to aircraft from the elements and increase revenue to the city. Airports offer diversity of STEM opportunities to the community

Anonymous

7/26/2023 03:02 PM

Improvements are needed to reduce pollution and noise, but it is more probable that additional planes and/or more plane activity facilitated by the improvement will make pollution worse.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:51 PM

I can't see how this aligns with our climate goals?

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:01 PM

I can't imagine still fostering the culture here let alone growing it. It goes against our climate goal, it's all for private planes and circling around our open space and homes. I can't justify this.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:29 PM

Opportunity for more community involvement and growth of aviation sectors to boost the economy.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:59 PM

Enhancing an important feature of Boulder without expanding into the prairie fields and conserving nature

Anonymous

7/26/2023 08:33 PM

Dislike noise from the airport and the city would benefit from more housing

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:46 PM

It does not provide for every community member and is skewed towards those with money

Anonymous

7/27/2023 05:11 AM

This maintains and improves the current and historic use.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 06:11 AM

This is the best option.

Anonymous

t's already bad. I can't imagine more planes. We now get dozens if

7/27/2023 06:30 AM

not hundreds a day. Is Boulder morphing this area into an industrial zone?

Anonymous

7/27/2023 09:31 AM

This is also vague. Same response as above. Who's overseeing the improvements and what systems will be in place to make sure those are held to set standard. Who's setting that standard anyway?

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:19 AM

My top choice is Scenario 4. Scenario 2 is in complete opposition to it. The airport serves only a tiny fraction of our community, and they are relatively wealthy. Affordable housing serves all.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:47 AM

Additional flights sounds like additional noise and pollution..

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:51 AM

Lesser of two evils.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:10 AM

Worst scenario for me. Growing the aviation uses will grow the noise and pollution that the City can't regulate. We don't need commuter helicopter service to DIA. 6 other airports with about 45 miles

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:11 AM

Safety Connection Noise Mediation

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:32 AM

A possible future with a small airfield for Vertical Take-off Electric aircraft might be acceptable.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:00 PM

Great option, especially if it include sustainability improvements like lead free fuels, electric winch launching for gliders, more charging station for electric aircraft.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:18 PM

I have the same issue with scenario 2.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:27 PM

If the airport expands, the noise and pollution will only increase. It is already unbearable for those of us that live under the tow plane and training flight paths

Anonymous

Needed improvements

7/27/2023 01:05 PM

Anonymous

just a mediocre compromise

7/27/2023 01:10 PM

Anonymous

The airport is a waste of space

7/27/2023 01:15 PM

Anonymous

Can't hurt to make improvements

7/27/2023 01:19 PM

Anonymous

like maintaining aviation use - future aviation uses are developing - important to preserve optionality

7/27/2023 01:25 PM

Anonymous

Less is better than more. Don't make it more likely that aviators will use the airport.

7/27/2023 01:28 PM

Anonymous

Na

7/27/2023 01:37 PM

Anonymous

Boulder needs acres of housing, not acres of hobbies

7/27/2023 01:38 PM

Anonymous

Seems unnecessary given the proximity of other airports. A regional approach is needed.

7/27/2023 01:53 PM

Anonymous

Also a waste of important resources: free land owned by the Cify

7/27/2023 01:55 PM

Anonymous

I'm not sure what this would bring to the wider community.

7/27/2023 02:12 PM

Anonymous

Upgraded aviation facilities are important

7/27/2023 02:23 PM

Anonymous

Too much noise pollution

7/27/2023 02:38 PM

Anonymous

I do not see a need for an airport serving Boulder given that DIA is so close.

7/27/2023 02:39 PM

Anonymous

7/27/2023 02:46 PM

Dislike. It seems like a poor use of resources for a few people.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 02:53 PM

Improvements to the runway, ramp areas, hangars and FBO are needed to stay competitive with other front0range airports.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 02:53 PM

Reduce airport noise over current neighborhoods

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:17 PM

Airport is not a good use of land. In Boulder. This is a waste of potential tax revenue.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:19 PM

Theirs is nothing to like here. Since when did Boulder support one of the greatest unnecessary polluters: private aviation.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:26 PM

Seems like a waste

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:29 PM

Close the airport

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:46 PM

no new housing or population, road and traffic maintenance can be considered first

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:48 PM

Poor investment. Missing a golden opportunity

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:49 PM

Why make a small airport any larger when it's not in the best place. And yes I have down in and out of the Boulder airport many times. Jefferson co is closer to about 1/3 of the town and much better

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:01 PM

Improvements are welcome but not to greatly raise traffic

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:03 PM

See above

Anonymous

same as #4 above

7/27/2023 04:25 PM

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:30 PM

Airport not compatible with community. Needs to close. Better options and opportunities for land use. RMMA is just down the street why do you need Boulder Airport

Anonymous

7/27/2023 07:05 PM

Inefficient land use

Anonymous

7/27/2023 07:34 PM

It would contribute nothing toward housing and worsen the existing environmental issues (lead, CO2, noise) coming from the airport today.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 08:13 PM

Why does Boulder need an airport

Anonymous

7/27/2023 08:24 PM

Making aviation improvements will lock in the use of the land for the airport when there are much more pressing land-use needs.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 08:41 PM

I don't like this scenario. It only serves the wealthy and undermines our climate goals.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 09:18 PM

improvements may be needed

Anonymous

7/28/2023 05:55 AM

Improves airport and keeps it as important city infrastructure and emergency facility. Keeps open the possibility of future transportation uses such as for eVTOLs.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 06:29 AM

No airport. No improvements. Put staff time and tax money into roads which most people use. This cost of this public process could already have paid for potholes to be filled across Boulder.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 06:48 AM

Does not further community objectives and caters a very small group of elites who have no interest in larger community interests over their recreational flying.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 06:49 AM

N/A

Anonymous

7/28/2023 07:29 AM

Aviation improvements help keep the airport relevant without unneeded expansion.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 07:30 AM

Maybe some updates

Anonymous

7/28/2023 07:47 AM

Why would we spend money for airport? They violate noise regulations and no one polices it. Detrimentially affects neighbors for benefit of few privileged folks

Anonymous

7/28/2023 08:00 AM

This feels like it caters to the wealthiest people when climate change is looming. We don't need more planes flying.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 08:55 AM

I would like to see the airport thrive

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:25 AM

Like that it still maintains it's function and serves the community as such

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:29 AM

Dislike increased, uncontrolled airplane traffic

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:31 AM

Dislike improving something with no benefit to me

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:38 AM

Don't like the idea of growing the airport and inviting a Jeffco repay.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 10:10 AM

I could live with the airport if helicopters were routed away from Vista Village and no leaded fuel was permitted

Anonymous

7/28/2023 10:25 AM

Boulder does not need more hangars, which serve those who can afford such hobbies. What it does need is more housing that is in reach for people who work in the city.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 11:47 AM

This is good and I like the view to future transportation options.

Anonymous	See answer to #4.
7/28/2023 11:55 AM	
Anonymous	A neighborhood not an airport. Residents use water and drive which have impacts. But there may be less driving, and more water conversation. Cars don't emit lead, emit less CO2 and many are electric.
7/28/2023 12:01 PM	
Anonymous	Not sure how this differs from #1 but improvements would be welcomed if it is to be maintained as part of our transportation picture.
7/28/2023 01:11 PM	
Anonymous	Dislike load airplanes over my house
7/28/2023 01:20 PM	
Anonymous	Aviation improvements may increase usage, traffic, and noise, which would further anger residents. Aviation improvements would benefit pilots, and would be welcome, but not if traffic increases
7/28/2023 01:27 PM	
Anonymous	Airport's carbon imprint and pollution. Doesn't serve a need for most in the community.
7/28/2023 01:30 PM	
Anonymous	We need housing, not privately owned airplanes. City-owned land offers affordability.
7/28/2023 01:41 PM	
Anonymous	Limiting aircraft size is important.
7/28/2023 03:35 PM	
Anonymous	it does not address the problem of numerous noisy planes flying overhead seemingly constantly; it does not address aircraft fuel spewing lead overhead
7/28/2023 04:35 PM	
Anonymous	Improvements are the wrong way to spend money. Use the money to build affordable housing or improve road and bike paths.
7/28/2023 05:21 PM	
Anonymous	If the enhancement is for the glider facilities, that is great. I see it was dropped from the second open house.
7/28/2023 07:40 PM	
Anonymous	I think enhancements to the airport would be a great benefit to the

7/28/2023 08:52 PM

community

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:39 PM

Increased private plane use brings additional air and noise pollution in our city

Anonymous

7/29/2023 05:19 AM

this is the best for the community and the airport

Anonymous

7/29/2023 05:39 AM

Be proud of bdu. Wrong place for houses! Do your very best. Get unlesded fuel - inline with boulders enviro goals. Fix hangers, add hangers. Add food, add park, more businesses. Improve terminle bldg.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 06:02 AM

I believe we would get more business aviation. The arpt needs improvements to attract more business' that use aviation to the Boulder area.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 09:12 AM

Housing is a better land use both economically and environmentally if designed properly. Airports and airplanes pollute more and consume more land per tax dollar generated compared to housing.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 10:09 AM

n/a

Anonymous

7/29/2023 10:34 AM

We need an instrument approach, more hangars, more transparency and less harassment with management. Less bullying from the city. This an airport that does airplane stuff we shouldn't be harrassed.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 11:20 AM

If aviation improvements include public flights then I like this idea. If improvements are for recreation and private use I don't.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 11:34 AM

Improving on the site would bode well for the airport's continued existence where it is.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 11:43 AM

I don't even live next to the airport, and I hear a plane every ten minutes which are way too many planes. We don't need the airport to increase the air traffic worse and make it worse..

Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:48 AM	Seems like the minimum thing to do, to improve the airport.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 12:04 PM	Next best option.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 12:22 PM	I have never needed to use a small plane, but I have needed housing. And I have valued living in Arborwood where I can bike and bus to work. I also like walking on the Cottonwood path w/o the planes.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 12:57 PM	Not much better than Scenario 1
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:03 PM	This seems to keep the airport relevant with changing times, and it makes sense to have the airport.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:05 PM	I dont think boulder needs an airport
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:36 PM	Extremely wealthy playboys with toys are able to spew noise pollution and lead for their own selfish enjoyment when they could drive to Broomfield airport
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:37 PM	This is the best scenario. I DO NOT SUPPORT Scenarios 3 and/or 4. The manager works for us. We are not here for him. Add: STEM, cmmnty areas, a park, food vendor, repair hangars; take pride here
Anonymous 7/29/2023 02:02 PM	Dislike the airport due to noise, pollution, safety, and limited use by broader Boulder community. Also there is an important need for more affordable housing in Boulder.
zenos_dog 7/29/2023 02:09 PM	I dislike that planes will still be flying over my house.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 02:54 PM	Same as above -- the airport is VERY noisy. Needs to be closed.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 04:24 PM	Best version. We need a transportation department with vision and an airport manager that is in favor of the airport.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:31 PM

Making improvements is important so we can get planes and emergency personal, Firefighters in when needed. Also need to keep safe for current pilots and others who use airport.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:44 PM

I don't see the point of more investment since I don't believe there should be an airport at this location.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:52 PM

Improving the airport including aviation improvements that would lead to greater safety for training would be welcome.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 05:11 PM

few changes except to increase safety decrease noise and pollution.
few people

Anonymous

7/29/2023 07:18 PM

Unnecessary burden

Anonymous

7/29/2023 08:37 PM

Worried about increased air and road traffic, especially helicopters and private jets.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 08:53 PM

dislike: no housing, and funds being taken away from housing

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:53 AM

Would prefer to significantly reduce the aviation component of the airport.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:06 AM

Too much growth would have a negative impact.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:15 AM

Improvements at the airport are required if it is to become sustainable and aligned with the other goals of the City. Public private partnerships will be required.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:17 AM

No improvements can address the problem of no local governance and the FAA is overwhelmed with commercial airline issue so there is no accountability. Meanwhile, pilots have no respect for residents.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:37 AM

If this allows for broader use, I'd support this. I'd love to see more activity. Aviation is cool!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:40 AM

I liked this option as well, which is why I ranked it 2nd.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:44 AM

Same, don't like the noise and pollution.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:14 AM

continued noise and air pollution

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:18 AM

Current noise from the airport detracts from healthy living in East Boulder. The gliders are especially awful.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:21 AM

Follows the master plan

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:21 AM

Airport hadron for improvement. Current manager is not the person to help or be, involved. His actions and ideas on safe glider operations are not congruent with past experience at boulder or other ai

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:47 AM

If it gets too busy and commercial, it's not in our best interest

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:33 AM

An airport for wealthy residents is not needed

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:35 AM

We can't use FAA money that traps Boukder into long-term obligations

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:37 AM

Keeping and improving the Boulder Airport

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:40 AM

No - this is a big gift to privileged people. The airport is already heavily taxpayer subsidized and benefits a tiny minority of people at the expense of the rest of us.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:56 AM

Dislike noise from expanded airport

Anonymous 7/30/2023 08:59 AM	Poor use of money and land. The noise and pollution are a community negative.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:03 AM	Just get rid of it. My kids can't live in Boulder, but i get to listen to airplanes droning overhead all the time.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:03 AM	Airport needs better and expanded facilities including many more hangars - existing hangars need to better managed and eliminate any non aviation use
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:16 AM	I like this the best. The airport remains and is updated. Boulder Airport is vital to this community for safety, recreation, learning.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:30 AM	Like: existing airport has served the general aviation and limited government/commercial community well for many years. Additional power outlets and improved infrastructure on the north area desirebl
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:37 AM	Really depends on the aviation improvements; would NOT like to see larger aircraft at the airport
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:38 AM	I support keeping the airport, but it isn't clear if this option would allow traffic that belongs elsewhere (learners for instance).
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:48 AM	Unsure of what "Aviation Improvements" are.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 10:01 AM	Significant improvements don't feel like a priority for city funds
Anonymous 7/30/2023 10:03 AM	I believe in order to revitalize the airport, major enhancements will need to be made. While a larger investment than just maintenance, it will be more beneficial in the long run.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 10:16 AM	Putting the windsock back at the east end of the runway would be a great help.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 10:21 AM	Improves aviation infrastructure which is lacking today

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:25 AM

Anything that expands aviation is not welcome.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:32 AM

expanded aviation may be needed to fight future wildfires

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:43 AM

This scenario will probably be costly with few benefits to non-aviators

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:51 AM

Improvements to the field would be great but this option is very open ended. No real details provided so I cannot tell if I would be in favor. Depends on what the improvements are.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 AM

Increased availability of basic services to include maintenance, hangars, and businesses. Aviation/defense is one of the largest sectors of the Colorado economy and Boulder is limiting the potential

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:01 AM

Very few people reap any benefit from the airport and funds to be invested into the airport should be invested in other transpo projects. Boulder can't be tethered to FAA rules and grant requirements.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:08 AM

the right direction

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:13 AM

Focusing on enhanced maintenance of existing infrastructure seems the most prudent use of limited resources at this time.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:19 AM

Aviation is vital for wildfire and air ambulance

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:23 AM

This is a good option in that it improves existing infrastructure, lower costs, no major change or disruptions to current state - brings buildings and infrastructure up to today's standards

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:24 AM

Keep our airport as a community based airport. It is not a jet-port. It's a friendly place where community members can enjoy aviation. Also a place where young people can get involved with aviation

Anonymous

Add infrastructure on the north side with water and electricity.

7/30/2023 11:25 AM

Anonymous

I think it's just fine and could go hand in hand with scenario 1.

7/30/2023 11:26 AM

Anonymous

Might be okay if get rid of leaded gas and noise

7/30/2023 11:34 AM

Anonymous

Seems like this large amount of prime land could be better used than for an airport. Broomfield and Longmont airports are enough for our area.

7/30/2023 11:39 AM

Anonymous

Cost effective solution

7/30/2023 11:46 AM

Anonymous

This scenario is preferable to closing the airport, but the aviation improvements are not necessary in my opinion.

7/30/2023 11:58 AM

Anonymous

The only people who benefit from the airport are those who fly into it. The rest of us pay the cost via our taxes and suffering the pollution created by airport users. There is no equity.

7/30/2023 12:00 PM

Anonymous

There should be a reduction of tow plane traffic over the 55th and Arapahoe neighborhood ("Arapahoe Ridge").

7/30/2023 12:02 PM

Anonymous

I want the planes to stop flying over my neighborhood (Heatherwood) and wonder if other neighbors do not like the noise

7/30/2023 12:07 PM

Anonymous

I have had potholes on 55th that have not been fixed for three. Do that before spending on the airport.

7/30/2023 12:07 PM

Anonymous

Lesser of 2 evils, 2 or 3. I would only vote for decommissioning if that was choice.

7/30/2023 12:15 PM

Anonymous

Again, I want the airport gone.

7/30/2023 12:25 PM

Anonymous

dislike large capital outlays which would eventually raise already high costs to all users

7/30/2023 12:54 PM

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:55 PM

Still an airport

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:55 PM

Gliders are a vital part of the Boulder community. No changes that negatively impact glider operations should be considered. Glider related improvements only on north side. No hangers on north side.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:58 PM

More air traffic

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:11 PM

dislike, sounds like more noise and traffic

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:12 PM

I am suspicious that the Aviation Improvements would harm the glider, ultralight and light sport aviation sectors. I am concerned that "improvements" have the potential to harm these constituencies

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:14 PM

A viewing area

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:16 PM

It is unknown how it will impact General Aviation and Soaring.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:31 PM

I don't trust new housing will be under 150K

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:31 PM

Preserves future options important because private flying may evolve to make airport more relevant.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:04 PM

This is a good 2nd option. It meets all requirements stated above.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:16 PM

Aviation improvements on the north side would be very restrictive to the present operations.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:21 PM

Aviation improvements would enhance the airport and its valuable contribution to the community.

<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:36 PM</p>	<p>We dont need a jet center. This is increased air traffic and we are too close to the mountains for a safe jet center</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:45 PM</p>	<p>Fossil fuels are continuing to be used.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:13 PM</p>	<p>A clean, safe, good looking airport that is maintained with clear direction for general public viewing areas lets public feel that they are part of the airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:29 PM</p>	<p>Encroaches on and makes soaring operations much more challenging</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:30 PM</p>	<p>We do not need any larger planes or more of them. Many do not follow the specified flight path and come in from all directions. Many also purposely make as much noise as possible.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:30 PM</p>	<p>I appreciate that this brings the current state of the airport up to date. However - we can do so much more to benefit others outside the aviation community.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:36 PM</p>	<p>Dislike it changes nothing about usage. Like that it would make some improvements.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:41 PM</p>	<p>Aviation improvements are the only way forward</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:58 PM</p>	<p>We shouldn't be spending any money on improvements when you cannot even complete maintenance.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 04:03 PM</p>	<p>Boulder airport is right-sized for our community and well-used for many different user types. We could use more hangars and improved facilities. This is the best option.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 04:05 PM</p>	<p>Even more noise pollution and air pollution!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/30/2023 04:09 PM</p>	<p>My primary concern is that we don't give up the airport before seeing where aviation goes in the next couple decades. I don't think major</p>

spending is valuable yet.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:45 PM

Great. Improve the airport without using large amounts of taxpayer dollars.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:57 PM

I support the continued EXISTING USE of Boulder Airport...It is a local airport that should retain it's character. Ie...doesn't need a lot of improvements other than new hangars.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:03 PM

Do not want the airport at all

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:19 PM

Skeptical that enhanced airport services effectively address the city's goals or problems

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:07 PM

I do not want the airport turned into housing.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:38 PM

It depends on what is ment by "aviation improvements"

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:25 PM

Too few people benefit from the existing use; enhancing it only entrenches a use that no longer makes sense. If Boulder truly needs an airport, it should explore a location farther east in the county.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:39 PM

More air traffic should not be considered. the surrounding areas are getting more dense and this is a very small area. Dangerous to add anything.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:19 PM

What Boulder deserves! Thriving airport and aviation community

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:39 PM

More air traffic over my neighborhood is not attractive and there are better uses of the land and resources. Airport only serves a select few of our community and has financial barriers to entry.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:59 PM

Why increase carbon, noise, and lead pollution that only benefit a very small portion of our community. Nothing about this is sustainable. Who will benefit, rich executives? Who loses, air

breathers.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:37 PM

This does not address the growing use of this airport and it's negative impact on the whole community by a select few individuals. And it's negative impact on our environment

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 PM

What's the point? How does it serve the community? It's not clear from the documents.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:40 PM

I assume we will still need emergency air support for forest fires

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:40 AM

Green Space is not as important as an active aviation transportation hub that includes bike storage and bike accessibility into the existing Boulder community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:14 AM

Dislike: increasing number of airplanes creating much dangerous pollution

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:13 AM

I do not think we should allow any jet aircraft into boulder as that changes the dynamic of the airport. I would not mind seeing a skydiving center brought back as it is a safe and growing sport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:55 AM

I do not support investing in the airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:01 AM

Same as above, not a great investment in Boulder as a community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:06 AM

I like that this addresses upgrading the existing structures to make the airport more usable and attractive. Currently much of the facilities are outdated. hangars are rundown and poorly maintained,

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:23 AM

This would be fine to improve

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:36 AM

Need to improve airport hangars and terminal

<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:52 AM</p>	<p>Like: respects the people who live here and have invested in this community as a town not a city</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:06 AM</p>	<p>We should have an airport and it should be state of the art</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:24 AM</p>	<p>The airport serves a very small subset of Boulder, but creates noise and air pollution that affect almost all of it.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:34 AM</p>	<p>This sounds like the most reasonable plan.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:58 AM</p>	<p>Does that mean there will be more airplanes flying over our heads? If so, please do not I think right now the amount of airplanes flying over our heads. Please limit recreational flights.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:04 AM</p>	<p>no housing and improvements reduce that possibility further into the future</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:05 AM</p>	<p>it would make it nicer for glider rides; I'd like for the city to allow the hot air balloons to take off from the airport - I miss them</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:17 AM</p>	<p>dislike the noise and pollution of having an airport in Boulder, especially one for the use of a small number of typically/likely wealthy individuals. the City should not be spending any money here.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:19 AM</p>	<p>Improving aviation access in Boulder should be our main priority.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:24 AM</p>	<p>user friendly</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:26 AM</p>	<p>I would like to see improvements to the airport that serves all forms of aviation. Description of improvements are a bit vague at this point.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:26 AM</p>	<p>It keeps the airport functioning with some added expenses to the city.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>Same as above</p>

7/31/2023 08:27 AM

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:45 AM

Love this idea. Love viewing area. We go to the airport to see planes and learn about aviation all the time

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:54 AM

If the airport continues to operate, there will always be challenges connecting multi-use paths in the area that would serve many more people in our community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:00 AM

Serves very few; does not support actual community needs

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:08 AM

It's ok

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:22 AM

Dislike. Does not address problems and exacerbates most of them.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:24 AM

I like the addition of green space to the airport environment.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:25 AM

All airports need aviation improvements

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:37 AM

The airport provides mobility, connectivity, jobs and public safety and having modern systems makes those safer and more productive

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:43 AM

Much better noise control

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:45 AM

The airport should function first and foremost as an airport. Aviation improvements should be the priority for a safe and functional airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:54 AM

Same as above.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:30 AM

This could enable the construction of more hangars, possibly add space for outreach & STEM programs. This is my favorite scenario.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:31 AM

Adds to the value of the airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:43 AM

See answer to question#4

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:47 AM

This seems like a fair compromise for all parties.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:51 AM

Keeps the airport without housing and enhances capabilities

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:52 AM

2 is my favorite because it will improve the current neglected hangers and hopefully build more hangers while keeping the airport open.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:53 AM

The airport is of limited economic benefit to the broader community. It is primarily a training site which results in multiple flight disturbances to the surround neighborhoods. No need to expand.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:54 AM

It appears the glider strip goes away. I don't like that.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:58 AM

Improvements will go a long way in fostering an amazing airport community. However, I think scenario 3 would truly bring out the best the airport can offer the community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:59 AM

I like that it includes improvements that would increase the benefit of the airport to community, especially things like public view areas.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:13 AM

Upgrading the airport will continue to keep the airport being a great place to fly in and out of it. Increasing the number of hangers will help manage the large demand for hangers

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:17 AM

I dislike this option. I worry that improvements will mean more air travel and pollution.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:22 AM

The airport would be if it from further investment and provide new aviation-related business opportunities.

Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:34 AM	Better airport that improves the city
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:36 AM	Too many iprovements will lead to overuse and impact safety.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:43 AM	Love it. We need better hangers and more of them
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:47 AM	It keeps the airport but doesn't do much for the bigger community. (focused on aviation)
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:53 AM	New types of aircraft, new fuels, new capabilities would help Boulder thrive in the 21st C
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:53 AM	Supporting and improving the services and viability of the Airport will allow it to support the city and businesses in the area. It also remains as open space within the city limits.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:56 AM	The current airport is fine, hard to see where the city should step in to fund an expansion unless the use case changes.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 12:35 PM	Not enough housing/community benefit...
Anonymous 7/31/2023 12:57 PM	This is a great idea, long overdue and would be good to see Boulder embrace the full potential of having a vibrant local airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:17 PM	No to any improvements. The airport should be closed
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:19 PM	I don't want to see aviation grow at the existing airport in any way.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:21 PM	More noise and air pollution and serving a select few
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:43 PM	Adding upgrades and improving existing facilities is the best option! This scenario will improve safety and keep this vital economic and

emergency transportation tool in place!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 01:52 PM

The airport does not add the critical issues at hand for Boulder which is affordability and diversity. The airport is a nice amenity but serves a limited group of people.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:13 PM

Same deal - what does that mean for those of us who live there? If it were putting mufflers on the planes, that would be great. Otherwise, not sure how it would affect us.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:29 PM

This option provides items that are missing from Scenario 1, while providing upgrades to runways, a possible restaurant and observation space. Loved going with my dad to watch the planes as a kid.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:33 PM

Aviation improvements will get more people in the aviation industry and create more jobs.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:34 PM

I like that the airport would remain and that unique aviation improvements would be made.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:44 PM

More aviation at the airport is a significant benefit to the community and city

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:11 PM

FAA has emphatically stated that the airport will not close, and it provides a vital service and generates significant income. Work to resolve issues of noise, incentivize the use of lead-free gas,

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:13 PM

This does not mitigate ongoing environmental (noise, lead) pollution and does not address affordable housing

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:53 PM

I am # 300 on the waitlist to get and hangar for my airplane

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:00 PM

Should be using this land for way more important uses than an airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:01 PM

More opportunities

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:25 PM

blank

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:55 PM

Improvements are well natured but should be focused on expanding aviation and current operations / users of the facilities.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:00 PM

There is a shortage of aviation facilities as it is, it would be nice. This might generate more air traffic though

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:04 PM

Again, it depends on what "Aviation Improvements" means. If it allows access to continued high value flight training with enhanced safety, efficiency and enhanced training/flying tools, then great.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:23 PM

I like the idea of some level of improvements like establishing a restaurant(s) with seating that would emphasize views of the flying at the airport. Additional hangar space would be a bonus

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:17 PM

Federal government pays for most improvements and those benefit the area

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:26 PM

Doesn't sound bad, a restaurant/cafe sounds good. will larger planes be allowed?

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:26 PM

Not enough in terms of resolving issues

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:28 PM

It will allow greater economic benefit.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:39 PM

Like upgrade&new hangers, facilities

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:40 PM

It allows for future growth in the airport like supporting emergency services and firefighting.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:46 PM

More needs doing than this

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:54 PM	I like that it keeps the airport around and makes it even better to have a good impact on our community.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:57 PM	I like that this keeps the airport
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:57 PM	I like that aviation has a vital economic role and should be considered for future transportation needs.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:12 PM	Depends on what is meant by improvement. Stop all lead usage; silence all aircrafts; no flying over sensitive areas like open space.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:17 PM	This airport is important and is the 2nd best option.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:18 PM	I can't imagine more planes. This does not seem like a Boulder concept supporting boulders goals.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:21 PM	This scenario builds on the strengths listed above and improves on them
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:22 PM	Same as the reasons for Scenario 1, but even better. More community.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:42 PM	This will pump more money into the airport and enshrine its existence for years to come
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:36 PM	This provides for future proofing the airport
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:43 PM	I live in the flight path for the airport and strongly believe the airport should remain an airport. Aviation improvements will benefit airport uses.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:46 PM	I don't like it because it isn't an inclusive community space.
Anonymous	I think with appropriate enhancements the utility and value of the

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/31/2023 07:02 PM airport will be increased and I think this is appropriate and have value for the community as a whole.

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:05 PM This would make the airport more accessible and generate revenue for the area

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:16 PM Unless the airport was able to support commercial flights, like JSX, I don't think this helps the community.

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:18 PM Boulder Deserves a thriving local airport. It's Boulder, our airport should reflect the community.

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:18 PM Na

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:22 PM like that it keeps a functioning airport.

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:40 PM Like: extra hangers.

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:54 PM It keeps the airport

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:56 PM This option is the best. We need more hangars and the future modes of transportation is important to consider. A better public viewing is a great ideas, kids have birthday parties there rather often.

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:57 PM While not as ideal, this would keep the airport available to the community

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:57 PM Improve aviation services at one of the few remaining general aviation airports in the region, for training & recreation as opposed to simply private jet travel (Broomfield, Centennial)

Anonymous
7/31/2023 07:58 PM Improvements like a tower, an official glider runway, and an official noise reduction program would help expand operations and decrease complaints.

Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:58 PM	Any improvement to the aviation community is an improvement to the overall community
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:59 PM	I dislike this because it would increase airport noise and activity. It would benefit a small fraction on Boulder's residents.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:08 PM	I like Building of infrastructure to support newer and more modern planes
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:06 PM	demand for hangars almost universally exceeds supply near any major metro area, and more supply is always necessary. Improvement of glider operations would benefit those who use them.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:08 PM	Don't understand
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:20 PM	Improving the existing Airport would benefit aviators and the general public.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:25 PM	The Boulder airport needs improvements to its physical area (i.e. parking, facilities, etc.)
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:22 PM	Seems like a step up from #1
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:41 PM	I really like the idea of building new hangars.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:47 PM	The airport could benefit from investments and improvements to existing infrastructure.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:49 PM	I don't think it requires too much improvement, but I'm not against it.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:51 PM	I like having an airport in Boulder and I don't think this site is good for housing.
Anonymous	i like that it expands the airport and brings more money into the city

7/31/2023 08:56 PM

and county.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:57 PM

I am not informed enough to understand who would want to use or need the improvements. I want to support those people who currently use the airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:17 PM

I am very much in favor of keeping and improving the airport. It has been a very important part of the community and surrounding area for generations. Aviation is a vital component of our future.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:19 PM

It provides a way for the future generations to learn and grow with the community

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:26 PM

the airport is valuable to the community. Keep it here and safer.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:32 PM

I think this is another very good idea, same comments as before

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:50 PM

Dislike: it could result in even more air traffic, resulting in more pollution and more noise.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:50 PM

.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:20 PM

Not only does the airport remain, but it is improved to serve more aviators and aviation support businesses, contributing further to the Boulder economy.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:25 PM

Too much like 4 above

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:31 PM

I believe this is the best answer to serve important uses such as Medevac and general aviation.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:42 PM

It'd be great to have an airport that's more welcoming to the community by provided a cafe and observation deck.

Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:51 PM	Perfect scenario. The airport serves a vital role
Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:52 PM	Improvements to the glider area would greatly enhance the utility and safety of this resource.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:12 PM	Maintains an airport which helps keep and create jobs, particularly in construction, and helps keep the economy vibrant.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:43 PM	The airport needs additional space for aircraft parking and better facilities for glider operations. The city should pursue grant funding for improvements.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:04 AM	Airport continues to exist
Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:14 AM	This would greatly enhance the capabilities of the airport for Boulder residents. It would help connect our community to the wider world and provide additional aviation related jobs for residents.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:21 AM	The nature of the aviation improvements isn't clear - could be needlessly disruptive.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 03:36 AM	Question whether this would result in increased use of the facility with an increase in disruptions to residents living close by.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 03:44 AM	Improvements would be good but costly
Anonymous 8/01/2023 04:15 AM	Public viewing area would make the airport more inclusive of the community, allow kids who are interested in aviation to watch planes, etc...
Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:34 AM	We need a tower based on the traffic volume
Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:47 AM	Increased revenue streams, greater potential for community involvement and usage. With good management and direction the airport becomes more self sufficient.

Anonymous
8/01/2023 05:45 AM
Noise. Some planes are too loud. If more jets are allowed to use the airport it will mean more noise.

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:02 AM
let the airport go. not efficient use of land, put it to better use

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:12 AM
I don't know if anything can be done about noise or air pollution

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:17 AM
Improvements are important for safety of all users.

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:17 AM
This would likely make a bad situation worse.

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:34 AM
Yes

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:35 AM
.

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:41 AM
Removal of the glider runway in service of additional hangar space is a bad trade. Other areas should be considered for redevelopment before removing the glider strip.

Anonymous
8/01/2023 07:36 AM
don't need an airport for personal planes.

Anonymous
8/01/2023 08:11 AM
Aviation improvements would enhance the utility of the airport and attract aviation centric business. It's a natural fit with our world-class aerospace and weather research organizations.

Anonymous
8/01/2023 08:15 AM
Keeping the airport functional is important for local aviators and the aviation community as it provides a cornerstone of aviation recreation and training for future pilots.

Anonymous
8/01/2023 08:22 AM
I am indifferent between Scenarios 2 and 3. It depends upon costs to be incurred. I would be surprised if the municipal budget had enough in it to allocate the costs required for Scenario 3.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:39 AM

Invests in a vital part of the community and improves access to aviation.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:44 AM

The city has no governance over air traffic so no amount of improvement would mitigate the worse aspects of the airport which support aircraft flying in Boulder's airspace.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:52 AM

Unclear what aviation improvements, aside from additional hanger space which is needed.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:57 AM

corrects existing maintenance and operational problems, if needed; preserves airport for public safety uses

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:17 AM

We need our airport, and enhancing it for aviation will improve its contributions to the area.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:17 AM

I live in Stafford apt and the airplane noise is really and it is gross that airplane still use leaded gas. Just decommission it and improve Boulder's livability and health.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:27 AM

Not sure what this really means- advocate LESS use by all planes other than gliders.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:33 AM

Airport improvements will help the airport stay financially viable and serve the community.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:33 AM

It does not include housing and services.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:33 AM

I like that it retains airport operations for general aviation. No dislikes.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:34 AM

Don't care for additional hangers unless rent pays the cost

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:37 AM

Hangars are in short supply everywhere in the area, having more is a huge positive.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:38 AM

This can improve the opportunities for more people to be involved with the airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 10:12 AM

Some improvements that support the airport and its aviators, with a cafe or coffee shop.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 10:15 AM

Airport is preserved for aviation use and improved upon to ensure its facilities remain useful for the future. May be expensive for taxpayers?

Anonymous

8/01/2023 10:18 AM

This will increase air traffic and noise while ignoring community needs of affordable housing

Anonymous

8/01/2023 10:30 AM

Basically still the status quo, which is no longer right for area. Do not like this option

Anonymous

8/01/2023 10:47 AM

Like most things about it except that it isn't quite as robust and visionary as Scenario 3.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:02 AM

Adds public interest areas without creating add-on commercial spaces that could operate at a loss

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:13 AM

Improve aviation and further the industry. An overpriced neighborhood will do more harm than good.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:17 AM

The City of Boulder has consistently shown that they are unwilling to spend money on the airport unless they absolutely have to.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:31 AM

I think upgrading the public viewing area would encourage increased community engagement with the airport and the small aviation businesses it supports.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:38 AM

Dislike continued presence of airport in any form

Anonymous

Like: Maintains and improves air service to Boulder

8/01/2023 11:48 AM

Anonymous

Just an advocate for keeping the airport.

8/01/2023 11:52 AM

Anonymous

Best option for safety and noise abatement

8/01/2023 12:54 PM

Anonymous

Seems like a reasonable option.

8/01/2023 01:29 PM

Anonymous

This is a great option because it sees the airport as an economic engine and invests in it.

8/01/2023 01:33 PM

Anonymous

Dislike ..Doesn't stop the skies over East Boulder from being incredible noisy on weekends if fact will be probably make it worse

8/01/2023 01:47 PM

Anonymous

improvements to draw in more of the community is good

8/01/2023 02:04 PM

Anonymous

Maintains an economically viable service for Boulder

8/01/2023 02:25 PM

Anonymous

Don't like this one at all. The pilots (mostly student pilots) don't obey the noise rules and stay south of Jay. Round and round they go all day at low altitude. Why are the training planes the lou

8/01/2023 02:29 PM

Anonymous

As a student pilot i love this idea, though i think that some type of economic incentive would be needed to justify this

8/01/2023 03:22 PM

Anonymous

This scenario improved the airfield for aviation users, cementing it as an economic hub in Boulder.

8/01/2023 03:35 PM

Anonymous

I like that it would continue to improve the airport and modernize it. An emphasis should be placed on local community colleges starting flight/maintenance/ATC programs

8/01/2023 04:04 PM

Anonymous

Airplane noise may decline with aviation improvements.

8/01/2023 04:31 PM

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:36 PM

Airports require a lot of land for too few users & we don't need more planes

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:41 PM

Improvements and upgrades to the space would improve safety and accessibility of programs, maintains the green space, and fosters the great things Boulder has to offer.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:59 PM

Prefer to REDUCE non-emergency air traffic. Eliminate general aviation. Maintain use for emergency services only.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:15 PM

Same as scenario 1

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:19 PM

Upgrading all general facilities is also much needed.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:59 PM

I love the airport, but it'd be much better with additional amenities and hangars.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:30 PM

Boulder should invest in public resources that serves more people of all classes. It costs over \$15k a year to maintain a Cessna. Few people in Boulder can afford that, but we all subsidize it.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:32 PM

This is a plan that recognizes the economic and community contribution that the airport provides, and supports that with smart investment.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:45 PM

Make airport better

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:55 PM

We should be improving the airport as a central part of the character of Boulder

Anonymous

8/01/2023 07:31 PM

The airport needs some improvements to bring it up to the surrounding areas

Anonymous

8/01/2023 07:54 PM

No need to invest further in airports in Boulder, since there are other fields nearby.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 07:58 PM

The airport should be well maintained, including the runways, hangers, and main building, and should be updated and improved as needed, unleaded fuel, less polluting fuel, prefer electric airplanes.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:02 PM

I really want to see Boulder airport improved for the future of Boulder. we need an eVTOL hub to ensure our thriving city is connected, environmentally friendly and available for EVTOL use.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:08 PM

Electric aviation, eVTOL hub, Connecting Boulder to Denver and the International airport, reducing road traffic, improving the Environment with less pollution and preparing boulder for the future

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:41 PM

I dislike flights for people's enjoyment. Those few people affect thousands of other people trying to enjoy peace and quiet at their homes and parks. Plus they pollute air and waste fossil fuel.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:12 PM

Keeps the economic benefits of the airport and improved the facilities.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:39 PM

Improvements are always welcome.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 02:03 AM

I believe the airport should be decommissioned

Anonymous

8/02/2023 04:29 AM

I oppose this plan 100%. It will impact the health of those living nearby to a greater degree.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 05:17 AM

Upgrading the airport would be a positive asset to the city for not only the pilots, but for the public and being able to enjoy the airport. The emergency and pilot training programs are crucial.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:11 AM

Would be nice to have some modernisation and improvements, although I have not seen the full plan. As long as the glider runway isn't removed I think it is a good idea.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:19 AM

mix it up

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:23 AM

Would like it t to be more accessible to the public

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:36 AM

The airport has been around 95 years and has been a critical part of our community. It should be embraced and improved with more aviation accessibility

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:20 AM

Scenario 2 seems to only serve the limited amount of people who currently use the Boulder Municipal Airport. There are several other small airports nearby that could instead be used by that community.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:21 AM

Cafe and community interaction great ideas

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:23 AM

keeps airport intact with improvements, better facilities

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:23 AM

The Boulder Airport is an important asset to the City & County, and needs to be maintained & updated as needed, including the hangers.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:44 AM

No improvements, and stop getting FFA grants. Move forward with housing.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 09:13 AM

Aviation improvements could mean an airport with cutting edge technology to introduce electric planes

Anonymous

8/02/2023 09:52 AM

The airport really could use more hangers. As a glider pilot, I'm not sure that all of the aviation improvements on the north side of the airport are requested by the glider community?

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:00 AM

The airport is old and should have some aviation related improvements

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:01 AM

This airport causes small planes to CONSTANTLY fly over my neighborhood (like literally right over my house) every few minutes every day. Lead & Noise Pollution are my biggest issues with existing.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:07 AM

Enhancing hangars and other aviation developments would highlight the airport as a community resource.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:37 AM

too much noise

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:13 PM

Removing all lead fueling tanks would be a good improvement, and eliminating future FAA obligations. But the airport needs to be decommissioned to stop it from exposing residents to unhealthy pollution.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:14 PM

Ensure that planes follow correct flight paths and don't fly directly over residential areas

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:53 PM

Like that there could be room for more events and activities at the airport.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:14 PM

No investments should be made in the airport as it should be decommissioned to reduce noise and lead pollution.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:40 PM

It preserves the airport, but I'm not sure it needs significant improvements.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:43 PM

Better for aircrafts

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:59 PM

Aviation improvements such as more hangars would make a good airport even better for all the groups that use it.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 02:05 PM

Want youth education and job creation

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:02 PM

Expands services to GA

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:24 PM

Flight from this airport was inspiration years ago for our daughter who went on to be interviewed for NASA astronaut

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:35 PM

Aviation is one of the biggest green house gas emitters that adds to the climate change crisis. Recreational flying/gliders should be banned by Boulder as a first step toward reducing carbon emissions

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:46 PM

Maybe not have helicopters doing exercises at 11:000pm and midnight

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:20 PM

I like this because airport improvements are usually paid for by the Federal Aviation Administration.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:43 PM

Improve airport, improve weather, instrument approaches, runways, more hangars.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 07:44 PM

I like this because it does not consider housing nor closing the airport. Let's put housing ANY number of other places in Boulder. Take pride and IMPROVE this asset and the facilities.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 07:50 PM

Safer and still able to fly.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:01 PM

If the city is willing to help with funding for this - YES! Yay Boulder!

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:07 PM

Dislike. Higher-value uses exist. See <https://boulderbeat.news/2023/07/26/opinion-airport-redevelopment/amp/>

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:18 PM

The experiences that the Boulder airport can provide for residents are already wonderful, but with some improvements to the airport, they could be even better.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:38 PM

I feel that this is a good option as long as the city helps, with a boost to funding, the airport could become an even more wonderful place.

Mandatory Question (961 response(s))

Question type: Single Line Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-

Q6 | What do you like and/or dislike about Scenario 3: Airport with Neighborhood Serving Uses and Limited Housing?

Anonymous 7/13/2023 08:58 PM	Reprovisioning airport land for anything other than airport use is a non-starter.
Anonymous 7/14/2023 07:19 AM	nothing
Anonymous 7/14/2023 11:57 AM	Second best
Anonymous 7/14/2023 12:07 PM	This is a more amenable solution, however, it is difficult to imagine residents choosing living by an airport as an optimal residence.
Anonymous 7/15/2023 07:50 PM	More needs in Boulder for housing and neighborhood than a marginal, costly airport. Coexisting will be difficult.
Anonymous 7/16/2023 08:49 AM	This looks confusing. Who wants to live on an airport except pilots? And what current issues are fixed under this?
Anonymous 7/16/2023 12:11 PM	No expansion please, the airport is busy and obnoxious enough as-is. Housing would be a much greater service to the actual Boulder community, but current and future
Anonymous 7/16/2023 02:17 PM	it maintains an outdated useless facility where the airport should be decommissioned and be repurposed for housing and neighborhood uses such as retail, parks
Anonymous 7/16/2023 04:30 PM	With only "limited housing" there would be little need for "neighborhood serving uses." However, this preference would mitigate noise and air pollution.
Anonymous 7/16/2023 05:11 PM	Hopefully thie would reduce airport impacts.

<p>Anonymous 7/16/2023 05:13 PM</p>	<p>What does that mean?</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/16/2023 08:01 PM</p>	<p>Like: helps with housing situation in Boulder. Dislike: housing and airports do not mix (look at RMMA)</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/16/2023 08:30 PM</p>	<p>I guess any option with more housing is an improvement</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/16/2023 11:13 PM</p>	<p>Residents would be exposed to pollution from the airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 05:32 AM</p>	<p>Building any more housing near any airport, generally bad idea ... don't go there. STEM, meeting, cafe, vocational space sounds great tho.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 05:38 AM</p>	<p>Like: The airport is enhanced to optimize future use and to make it a community resource for both pilots and non-pilots.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:33 AM</p>	<p>Housing should not be part of the airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:01 AM</p>	<p>I don't think housing inside an airport is a good use. Airports are inherently noisy. If the city is experiencing 88 complaints on average per month against the airport, imagine mixing these uses</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:18 AM</p>	<p>I like that people would live at the airport. It brings live to it. I don't like that it means that the airport would become congested as much of Boulder is with the overbuilt housing around Target</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:54 AM</p>	<p>Why is the city always pushing for more population here? How big do they want Boulder? It's crowded enough already.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/17/2023 09:35 AM</p>	<p>Not sure how an airport located in the corner of a county that pollutes all the neighboring communities will help serve anyone but themselves. I do not see any benefits to neighborhoods.</p>
<p>harmsalt 7/17/2023 09:39 AM</p>	<p>Slightly more uses besides just airports is a net positive, but I worry limited housing will not be as walkable as the 4th scenario</p>

Anonymous

7/17/2023 10:18 AM

I like that it would be an opportunity to bring much-needed housing to the area, and businesses that serve the neighborhood. I'd prefer the whole property to redevelop, but this is an improvement

Anonymous

7/17/2023 12:28 PM

This helps my neighborhood through STEM programs (I would want to make sure that youth in the mobile home parks are served). I'm disappointed in all of the "affordable" rentals instead of homes to buy

Anonymous

7/17/2023 01:16 PM

I dislike the term Limited Housing. The best use of the land would to use the land to its highest and best use which includes density and as many new housing units as the land can support comfortably

dundorf

7/17/2023 01:40 PM

The airport is already small, this would make take away needed space. However, if housing was built on the same footprint of existing structures, that would be excellent and should be pursued

Anonymous

7/17/2023 03:06 PM

Not sure anyone wants to live this close to an airport, but I like the neighborhood serving uses

Anonymous

7/17/2023 03:36 PM

There have been some updates, but adding limited housing near the airport -- given noise and lead in AV fuel -- seems like a poor choice for anyone living there. Kids should not live there.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 03:50 PM

We don't need more growth.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 04:15 PM

It does not provide housing for those beyond Airport users. Also living at an Airport does not sound "fun".

Anonymous

7/17/2023 04:20 PM

That would be acceptable if all planes using the airport use unleaded gasoline.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 04:24 PM

The airport is a major source of air pollution including deadly toxins poisoning our community for the leisure enjoyment of a privileged few. Housing is so much more important for this site!!

Anonymous

Grows aviation capacity and fosters community engagement and

7/17/2023 06:03 PM

relationships to airport aviation. A great idea.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 06:23 PM

I don't think the two are compatible as the airport exists today.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 06:33 PM

No one really wants to live next to an airport unless they want to use it. We need housing for a broader group of people.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 07:14 PM

Really want to have more noise complaints on housing built after an airport has been in existence for decades? Foolish move. CU needs to fix their student housing issues first. Limit their enrollment

Anonymous

7/17/2023 07:23 PM

The limited housing is just going to lead to people moving next to an who then complain about living next to an airport.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 07:42 PM

Limited housing will lead to more complaints long term. It is just not great to put housing next to an airport in general

Anonymous

7/17/2023 08:36 PM

I like the responsiveness to community interest in connecting the airport to the community through housing STEM and other youth opportunities. I don't like the specificity of the options, though

Anonymous

7/17/2023 08:54 PM

There is lead and PFAS all over there and we need the airport, which is airway small, to function as it is or better.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 09:20 PM

Fewer people

Anonymous

7/17/2023 09:43 PM

No housing here. Keep the airport- put housing elsewhere in the city

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:12 AM

I can't believe you want to put housing here...

Anonymous

7/18/2023 05:18 AM

.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:18 AM

Dislike that more individuals would be impacted by noise from airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:20 AM

Boulder is too crowded already. We do not need more people or traffic.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:25 AM

Some housing would be beneficial

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:43 AM

airport is useless to the average boulder citizen but at least it will add some more housing but hopefully not expensive shit

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:07 AM

Not necessary and will not solve housing issues. Develop north of Jay on 36.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:38 AM

some but closer is best

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:57 AM

Stop building over the natural spaces. Please. Please stop adding housing without parking in areas heavily congested with people enjoying the outdoors!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:01 AM

I enjoy the public space of the airport over housing. I

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:02 AM

See above comment.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:06 AM

Dislike that it would be cheap housing and encourage a poor crowd to move in.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:07 AM

I would like to see the airport continue to exist as it's super important to our family

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:22 AM

I like the idea of having, for example, a restaurant where neighborhood residents can also enjoy the airport. I do not support further housing, as Boulder is already over saturated.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:43 AM

I like that it brings in more community engagement and education amenities but still doesn't seem enough repurposing of the huge airport area. The airplane air & noise pollution would remain.

Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:43 AM	Like: Additional housing to meet city goals. Dislike: Unlikely to create critical mass for a functional neighborhood w existing airport operations
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:50 AM	This gets closer to the optimal use which is housing
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:01 AM	There is housing and the space is useful to more members of the community rather than mostly being for those who like planes.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:24 AM	Currently most employees working at the airport travel from outside Boulder County to work at the airport. Housing and employment opportunities in this scenario seem to meet all the requirements.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:34 AM	We don't need more neighborhoods in Boulder
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:45 AM	This would be the first step toward closing the airport.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:47 AM	This would maintain ability to utilize airport for emergency operations while improving the mixed use capabilities! Great option.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:49 AM	keep the airport thriving that's all i care about!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:59 AM	Housing will generate more noise complaints.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:00 AM	N/A
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:02 AM	Now we're talking! Making the airport part of the Boulder community brings it back to what it was when I moved here. Let aviation be part Boulder is and encourage all to learn more about STEM
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:07 AM	NA

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:07 AM

Transportation support to the existing site is fairly poor. Infrastructure would need improvement to make this sustainable.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:14 AM

It keeps the airport which is very important

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:14 AM

I doubt people will flock to restaurants at the airstrip.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:16 AM

I like that the airport exists, I dislike that it is sharing space with a new neighborhood that will eventually displace the airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:17 AM

housing around airports usually just brings about frivolous complaints from people who believe they can increase their property value by closing the airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:19 AM

The "Airport with neighborhood serving uses and limited housing" option creates a communal space that includes the public in the culture of general aviation, as well as meeting growing community needs

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:24 AM

I don't quite understand this proposal I think more houses near an airport just leads to more complaints

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:25 AM

I like community involvement/community use.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:28 AM

There is plenty of other options to provide affordable housing and it is senseless and ridiculous to get rid of the airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:33 AM

It would be okay.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:35 AM

This would just cause more people to complain about airport noise if they have a house in the area

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:38 AM

No more housing out that way. Too noisy.

Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:40 AM	I'm feeling a false dichotomy here... you could sunset fixed wing operations and still maintain a heliport *AND* build a neighbourhood.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:41 AM	Dislike
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:42 AM	I like that the airport is included; however, I believe adding housing will just lead to unhappy neighbors. Also, Boulder traffic and growth seems to be moving too fast.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:44 AM	Provides the community with the most benefit. Air travel is never going away and the airport has supported many young people including people of color and low socioeconomic status achieve in aviation
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:48 AM	More housing near the airport will lead to more people complaining about being near the airport.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:50 AM	Overall an interesting concept, but I fear that it takes valuable space away from the much needed aviation expansions. (see comments to the scenario 2)
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:52 AM	Does boulders roads handle more houses?
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:53 AM	Scenario 3 is unacceptable because the city has no authority to regulate negative impacts so long as you continue to accept FAA grant monies. Unregulated aviation pollution is unacceptable.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:55 AM	Takes away from the purpose of the airport as primarily an airport
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:57 AM	Limited Housing is never going to be affordable and will only garner continued conflict between homeowners and airport users. IF contemplating an Airpark, then I'm all for that option.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:02 AM	no need

Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:03 AM	Dislike. You are proposing a tiny addition for limited housing which will immediately fill with people who then try to legislate-away the airport
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:04 AM	Brings in more of the community and focuses more attention on the area
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:04 AM	Not as good
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:10 AM	I'm worried this will cost too much and begin to erode the priority of the airport. People don't like engine noise, even if they know they moved near an airport. NO HOUSING.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:20 AM	There's really no need for an airport in Boulder
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:24 AM	Disagree with the air pollution and noise from the airport, especially near surrounding neighborhoods
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:30 AM	I am 100% concerned about the airport continuing, not housing in this area. I dislike weighing housing needs over aviation needs.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:30 AM	A cafe would be cool and some community programs for kids too! That would be great
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:35 AM	This scenario does make strides in that direction, but does not go far enough. There is too much risk in placing new housing in close proximity to an existing airport.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:36 AM	Housing on the airport is a poor decision
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:36 AM	UNNECESSARY
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:51 AM	I like expanding the community facilities (learning, youth activities, aviation, etc). It seems a bad idea to encourage more housing near

the airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:00 PM

Forward thinking, brings in the community, increase exposure of youngsters to aviation possibilities. Love the STEM angle. However, requires more investment and energy to achieve.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:07 PM

Noise abatmetet procedures are already in place at the airport. Crowding the airport with additional housing would increase noise impacts without providing reasonable alternatives for airport users.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:12 PM

Same as 1

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:52 PM

Don't need more housing here.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:26 PM

it seems foolish to mix the aviation purposes with non-aviation purposes

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:34 PM

Nothing. We want general aviation out of our community. They poisoned us with lead for long enough. They are environmental rapists

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:35 PM

I would not like to limit the airport by forcing other use scenarios for the area.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:36 PM

Balance J/H. Dislike more population and housing.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:39 PM

I would never sacrifice the airport we have for more housing.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:40 PM

Housing is a priority here, and this begins to recognize that.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:41 PM

My choice because it improves the facility, provides additional uses. It does consume resources though which might be better utilized elsewhere.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:52 PM	Housing can be great, but people need to accept living near an airport instead of complaining about the noise. You have a choice in where to live.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:59 PM	People should not be living on airports grounds. It is not safe for residents or pilots.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:01 PM	please no more housing
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:04 PM	Not a great location for housing
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:10 PM	We do not need more housing. We need a place for emergency services to protect our existing housing.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:10 PM	I like that I can continue using the airport in this plan and might be able to rent a hanger.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:25 PM	Live work hangars (hangar homes) would be interesting. The airport is a resource that needs to be developed and shared
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:29 PM	The "affordable housing" conversation is a worthy one, but the airport area should not be considered. People shouldn't move near an airport to then complain about its operations. It's silly thinking.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:30 PM	not necessary
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:35 PM	Bad idea
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:38 PM	Don't move too close to an airport unless you like airplane noise.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:39 PM	Is there enough people in the surrounding area to support a restaurant? Use existing industrial East Boulder for STEM and housing. Must every last square foot of space be changed?

<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:41 PM</p>	<p>This sounds like a fantastic way to revitalize and creatively use an existing community resource. I'd be first in line to purchase a live in hangar!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:47 PM</p>	<p>Housing is not a good idea near an airport. There are better places in boulder to build houses/condos/apartments.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:06 PM</p>	<p>We desperately need sustainable housing . You people don't seem to get this.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:14 PM</p>	<p>I don't like more houses at airport. People don't like living at airports and know they live there and then complain that they live at an airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:15 PM</p>	<p>.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:18 PM</p>	<p>Sounds good on paper but I question whether or not the "neighborhood/community redevelopment areas" will actually get use.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:35 PM</p>	<p>don't need more government projects when we don't even take care of the things we have-</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:39 PM</p>	<p>This is the best compromise, as we get to keep flying and everyone gets new restaurants and community amenities. I love the idea of a youth STEM center. STEM is the future of our country!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:47 PM</p>	<p>Eventually the housing will take over and the airport will fail due to noise complaints, flight paths, etc</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:55 PM</p>	<p>Second best option</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 03:03 PM</p>	<p>This would probably produce another exclusive neighborhood for the wealthy.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 03:09 PM</p>	<p>I do not like that the airport will continue. The planes contaminate the area with lead (from leaded gas) and are very noisy.</p>

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:31 PM

The was a really exciting scenario. I especially liked the learning center idea

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:40 PM

It will be incredibly loud to have an airport neighborhood. This is not what we want to subject people to.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:41 PM

I don't like that it keeps the airport - a noisy, polluting facility that benefits only a few residents of Boulder and has no value to most businesses or tourists

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:45 PM

Introduces some limited housing and more features that will benefit broader community.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:45 PM

Like the fact that the neighbors are considered and that there are plans for some neighborhood serving uses. Don't like that the airport is open.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:50 PM

I'd like to limit super rich people's housing

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:57 PM

Love the option of new hangers, STEM facilities and getting youth involved in aviation

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:04 PM

Additional housing near the airport must be avoided for safety of both airport operations and the general public

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:20 PM

Putting more houses near the airport creates more whining about the airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:21 PM

Long overdue

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:35 PM

Lets in the public; for the public good.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:42 PM

housing = dumb

Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:54 PM	It adds some housing
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:59 PM	I consider this the best option, since it enhances the real value of having an airport. I would not add more housing, but focus on the STEM aspect of the property.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:09 PM	No need to waste our taxpayer dollars on "limited housing" near a vibrant airport
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:18 PM	Worst case scenario with no meaningful community benefit and carryover of the negative impacts remains. Take this option off the table.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:37 PM	I spend a lot of time at the airport so restaurant / viewing area would be great.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:43 PM	Crazy idea
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:09 PM	I do not like scenario 3 because it seems to diverge away from the function of the airport. The additional housing does not help the issue very much and would reduce airport revenue.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:11 PM	Like: No increase in air traffic, neighborhood improvements. Dislike: air traffic and noise as well as lead emissions will persist
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:11 PM	There are no rules for flying it seems - limited housing would be noise polluted by airplanes
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:29 PM	I really like live/work hangars. It's very hard to find elsewhere.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:33 PM	Like more housing that benefit more than few rich hobbyist
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:48 PM	This is the worst option in keeping the airport. Housing right next to training pilots and lead pollution? NO. More amenities to attract nonresident pilots? NO. More overall air traffic? NO.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:56 PM

I like nothing. Such an expansion would be horrendous for the communities near the airport and no one should live on site with lead spewing planes.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:40 PM

getting closer, start to shrink the airport and have housing nearby that would put more responsibility for management of noise and lead pollution on the airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:46 PM

This is also a great idea because it would help grow interest in aviation and STEM in our community.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:57 PM

The boulder airport location is mostly industrial - just can't see this becoming a big hub for STEM and such.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:05 PM

i don't like the idea of the airport having to serve a neighborhood, that seems not ideal in terms of noise. I think that limited housing might be beneficial but i am not sure.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:35 PM

I would not want to live there with the pollution and noise.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:12 PM

I dislike that the airport sells leaded fuel, and then flies over residential areas all day long.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:37 PM

Improvements to airport/hangars plus restaurant and community center

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:37 PM

This is more giving to the community overall and might save Boulder the money of complete revamp. I don't see affordable housing here but additional businesses would be beneficial.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:57 PM

some housing better than none

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:40 PM

If we must have more housing at the airport, this is probably OK.

<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:20 PM</p>	<p>While this appears to preserve essential elements of the airport, I do not feel that housing development is a complimentary use, as it would not be in an industrial or manufacturing area.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 12:17 AM</p>	<p>I think it would be a safety hazard</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 12:25 AM</p>	<p>To be honest, I'm not sure that I fully understand it. Why would you put housing at a fully functional airport? Won't it be loud and just lead to future complaints? Let the airport be an airport!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 03:16 AM</p>	<p>I dislike the noise and air pollution form the airplanes,k and like that there is more to serve the greater community.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 05:08 AM</p>	<p>Love that there is more outreach to a broader audience and more opportunity for community engagement while preserving the airport. This has a lot of potential and supports diversity.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 05:26 AM</p>	<p>I think this would create an opportunity to turn Boulder into a hub of sustainable aviation development. It's a crime that the aerospace program at CU doesn't use it for more research.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:03 AM</p>	<p>Addresses some housing concerns. Dumping affordable housing next to an airport is a big middle finger to everyone struggling to live here, myself included.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:16 AM</p>	<p>Not sure this is necessary or a benefit to the airport community</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:23 AM</p>	<p>I like the airport is mostly preserved but dislike the idea of converting any of the precious airport property space for housing. The city needs to build more hangars and invest in airport infrastruc</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:37 AM</p>	<p>Very hard to make the two compatible.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:49 AM</p>	<p>I use the airport as a glider pilot. I would prefer the airport to stay open. Increasing housing near the airport will only serve to increase noise complaints.</p>

Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:50 AM	If people aren't aviation minded and live close to the airport they start complaining about the noise
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:55 AM	Not a good site for an airport.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:58 AM	I like that this option engages the community but I still do not like the idea that airport operations could increase
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:07 AM	Again, too much noise and air pollution!
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:12 AM	Housing has no place on the airport.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:13 AM	There is an opportunity to synergistically blend the objectives of livability, education and transportation in a unique setting and improve all three. My only concern with nearby housing is safety.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:17 AM	I would guess this will just lead to more conflict.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:24 AM	Would improve community involvement with airport and hopefully long term support
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:29 AM	Optimize use of vacant land, but I'm not in favor of adding more housing, necessarily.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:37 AM	Well it adds some housing which honestly Boulder needs a lot more of, particularly creative housing options such as tiny home communities for low income or no income individuals.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:37 AM	We don't need to put housing at the airport! The airport provides vital services to the city and should be supported as such.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:53 AM	I am sick and tired of constant growth. The city of Boulder and surrounding community grows like a cancer, with no thought about sustainability.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:54 AM

It's better than 1 & 2 but still not an asset

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:11 AM

I worry about limited housing in that area, they will complain about noise, pollution and the Boulder County Jail borders the property

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:16 AM

Gives more benefit to the community, especially non-pilots. This scenario is the best of the four. I would like to see more hangars and unleaded fuel.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:27 AM

Airports are not good neighbors.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:52 AM

Not a good option. Will certainly lead to closing the airport.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 09:02 AM

Keeps airport/runways open. Helps encourage aviation growth. A restaurant would be awesome.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 09:06 AM

This is an amazing future vision. But building more living space near the airport might bring about more complaints about the airport. This is a fundamental problem.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 09:24 AM

This would destroy the airport's utility and eventually probably result in the airport disappearing

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:17 AM

Don't need additional housing

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:20 AM

I'm against housing for this area

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:31 AM

I don't like that Scenario 3 does nothing to mitigate the negative externalities of noise, lead pollution, and opportunity cost of 180 acres. I like a cafe, but that has nothing to do with the airport

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:40 AM

No Need find other land if needed

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:43 AM

Best option to increase the social value of the airport, provide for sustainable aviation, community involvement, greater engagement by young people and underserved communities.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:46 AM

Cut down on noise, add housing.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:56 AM

Every area of Boulder has become a City land grab for affordable housing we need areas like to airport to fulfill various community needs including those that are vital to disaster response and recre

Anonymous

7/19/2023 11:12 AM

This option makes the airport part of the community. Having the neighborhood serving uses will provide a community benefit.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 11:50 AM

Don't think housing should be there

Anonymous

7/19/2023 12:21 PM

Like: would be great to make the airport more a part of the community, especially to give more opportunity to local youth. Dislike: expensive to implement.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 01:02 PM

Object to more housing in this area; it should not become dense

Anonymous

7/19/2023 01:11 PM

This proposal is trying to do too many things. Drop the Live/work hangars and combine scenarios 2 and 3.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 02:22 PM

No one wants to live next to an airport. that's the last place to start with housing. Boulder's housing problem can be easily fixed by re-zoning office space- we have a huge office oversupply-- to res

Anonymous

7/19/2023 02:26 PM

Still too much noise and leaded fuel still being used for the next 5 years.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 04:36 PM

Like = connection between the airport and other aviation based uses, including housing.

<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:39 PM</p>	<p>Incompatible uses. Too noisy and disruptive with Boulder exploding in growth.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:45 PM</p>	<p>Take your fossil fuel toys elsewhere.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:50 PM</p>	<p>The airport needs more space for hangars not houses</p>
<p>7/19/2023 05:24 PM</p>	<p>It is always attractive to think we can do it all but most likely this will not work out. Active airports and people sleeping generally don't work well.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:17 PM</p>	<p>Number 3 Choice</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:35 PM</p>	<p>This still preserves the aviation community and also give some housing expansion. Although, only providing high cost housing. Has a small negative effect on local aviation as it goes more privatized</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:51 PM</p>	<p>Airport noise and pollution does not make for healthy living.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:04 PM</p>	<p>Like: more things for non pilot greater community, growing resource. Unleaded fuel and more hangers would be better</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:04 PM</p>	<p>The airport noise and pollution would impact residents' health.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:11 PM</p>	<p>Airports and housing do not mix.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:37 PM</p>	<p>It also still leaves the airport as a functioning airport which is unnecessary in Boulder.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:40 PM</p>	<p>You could incorporate both together, a lot of people enjoy planes</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:56 PM</p>	<p>I would not live there.</p>

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:22 PM

Dislike the cost and expansion of city services that will raise taxes because we have to maintain it.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:32 PM

I like having an airport and much needed housing. I dislike how the airport may effect the new neighborhood.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:40 PM

It would help the economy in the area, pilots, and help inspire the next generation about aviation. This option would allow the airport to still exist and would bring it into our community.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:39 PM

Housing will cause further complaints and cause a downhill spiral.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:47 PM

Too much liability. Conflict of interests. Health.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:47 PM

Like Scenario 1, this feels like settling. The community use spaces seem small and tokenized, and it doesn't solve the "highest use of the land" question very well.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:21 PM

Noisy

Anonymous

7/20/2023 01:22 AM

I don't like that the airport will be there, and would prefer to have some park/open space there.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:21 AM

Housing near an airport leads to noise complaints which leads to airport restrictions or closures. I don't like this option!

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:35 AM

Stop the Housing hemorrhage! We do not NEED more housing! Every time city Council can get their hands on a piece of property, they rip it down and build more housing boxes. NO MORE!

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:36 AM

Housing is good.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:48 AM

It doesn't work. Residents near Cenntennial and JeffCo are suing the airports.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:03 AM

They are NOT compatible. We need the entire site for housing.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:26 AM

Dislike noise, pollution, mediocre use of land.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:32 AM

I live next to it already and it doesn't work. It disrupt my working from home, walking my dog and sleep.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:32 AM

I don't like it. People need space and this is a proposal for more congestion where there is no congestion.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:45 AM

It's a better balance for the needs of Boulder, both housing and a reduced airport. DEN is growing and the RTD bus is fantastic! We need a small airport for emergency and fire fighting planes only.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:02 AM

Doesn't make sense to me, other than trying to find underutilized acres to use for things that someone in Boulder thinks is important. Not important to me.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:03 AM

Airports do not make good neighbors- expect future lawsuits.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:17 AM

This is a totally absurd scenario. The needs of airport and housing are opposite and will only create conflict and dissatisfaction.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:18 AM

I could not imagine living closer to an airport given the air and noise pollution it generates. Twelve miles is already too close.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:21 AM

Non aviation people moving in would possibly be against airport operations over a time period.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 09:42 AM

Since the city cannot control the hours, fly over heights, or no fly zones, this option really will not work.

Anonymous

Housing is needed but putting it next to airports invites law suits.

7/20/2023 10:06 AM

Anonymous

7/20/2023 10:50 AM

Perhaps some benefit to the people in the surrounding area, but only if the noise is considerably less.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:03 AM

People do not want pollution near their homes especially if they have kids.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:41 AM

I love living in NoBo, but the airport is a real negative. It's so busy that I can't walk the Cottonwood trail without being crop dusted every 15 minutes. The lead pollution is really bad.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:46 AM

We really, really need more housing. We need housing more than we need an airport.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 11:49 AM

It's only a matter of time before those people would start complaining about the noise when they moved next to an active airport.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 12:01 PM

Housing is a good use of the land, but the airport does not belong next to it.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 12:43 PM

I like housing, but it seems like an airport would tank the quality of life and property appreciation.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:04 PM

Anything to keep the airport operating and thriving and also increase harmony with the City and neighborhoods.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:06 PM

Housing is not compatible with airport operations. This would simply exacerbate neighborhood noise complaints.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:11 PM

I have seen nothing that demonstrates a NEED for things like a STEM center or an aviation museum. Is this just a pipe dream?

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:20 PM

I do not want to have development of the airport that would increase flight capacity, and it makes no sense to add STEM, restaurant or other community facilities and definitely no housing bc noise

Anonymous

7/20/2023 02:58 PM

I am concerned about inevitable conflict between housing and flying

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:05 PM

If people are complaining now, what is going to happen with limited housing? But, Broomfield has this and I took my children to eat lunch and see the planes take off, which was a wonderful memory.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:22 PM

Limited housing was recently built next to the hangar. Would there be guarantees increased housing would not detract from airport operations?

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:25 PM

Let's not put more houses near the airport. People building next to the airport are the people complaining already

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:31 PM

I don't know what these uses are, but opening up the airport to the wider community is a good step

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:39 PM

I am not convince that anyone not using the airport would enjoy living next to it.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:50 PM

I think adding housing at the airport is a mistake.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 04:02 PM

Good step to minimize pollution and generate some housing.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 04:12 PM

Airport and homes don't mix well unless everyone signs they understand they live next to an airport. Most often the complaints are the same people over and over

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:18 PM

I would love to buy a house but not next to the airport.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:28 PM

The airport pollutes the air and is too noisy for people to live next to it.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:45 PM

No more housing

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:45 PM

Lead pollution is very problematic.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:10 PM

I would not live there. Too much noise and pollution .

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:22 PM

We do not need more housing.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:10 PM

The additional housing has continued to raise prices by bringing in more money to town. I understand the feeling of not being able to live here but if the ones that do are getting run out of town

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:40 PM

See below

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:41 PM

I dislike limited housing as it leads to more complaints from residents. I like having a restaurant/cafe as it brings community and interest in aviation, as does a learning center.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:03 AM

This COULD be a cool innovative opportunity, maybe teach aviation, history, rent/try things. Bring people in w shops/events like Stanley Marketplace in Denver

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:49 AM

No more housing!!! The traffic is ridiculous and the area does not support more cars or people. The area should remain rural and support the rural community. The housing will only increase traffic.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:10 AM

Don't want any additional housing

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:14 AM

It's an airport, I don't understand why you would put housing there.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:51 AM

Keeps some aspects of both, though need affordable housing in Boulder is dire

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:54 AM

Can create more affordable housing.

Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:08 AM	Like that it adds housing. Not sure want to keep the airplane noise
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:08 AM	We need more hangars and it would be great to improve the aviation community!!
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:17 AM	Some housing is better than none, but this approach still involves putting more money into aviation.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:24 AM	I like the STEM program proposed. At least that serves a higher purpose for more people.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:27 AM	I like this scenario because I think it is possible to have a small airport and add some affordable housing. There are other homes nearby that are very nice and the impact from the airport is minimal.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:33 AM	I think housing could be incorporated into the existing airport and this could solve two issues
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:33 AM	Seems the best compromise. Shut down the constant clamor about housing. There will never be enough.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:38 AM	As long as the broader community benefits, this option is preferable over some of the others
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:52 AM	I think this is a great idea, but that the more sensitive families in Boulder might be hesitant to send their kids across the street from the county jail.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:01 AM	Prefer shutting airport
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:11 AM	It's absurd to build more housing near an airport, which by necessity will always be remote from the rest of Boulder
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:14 AM	Picking apart valuable infrastructure is not the right way to create new housing.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:22 AM

Ridiculous! Would you really put housing right next to the airport? IF its affordable housing that's just wrong . if not you are just asking for problems. terrible idea

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:30 AM

Adding limited housing in East Boulder would be great but only if all of it is affordable. We have the ability to require that as it's our land.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:36 AM

Bare minimum.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:52 AM

We do NOT need more wealthy people moving into Boulder just to keep running out all the natives and working class people. There is an over abundance of greed in Boulder now.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:06 AM

Ideally starts to enable stricter noise abatement regulation

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:06 AM

See my answer above -- this is a one in a lifetime opportunity to build a lot of affordable housing. The climate crisis deserves massive action, not piecemeal work.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:07 AM

N/A

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:17 AM

Lead, Noise from planes. A lot of space for a few rich aviators. With FAA restrictions, hard to connect airport area to Boulder & Gunbarrel.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:21 AM

don't want it used for housing

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:24 AM

I like more community and mixed use. Dislike the risk of airplanes crashing into mixed use.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:27 AM

Not sure what this means but I would like more housing over more air traffic

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:34 AM

This would still create more air quality issues and further traffic congestion.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:38 AM

This is the least offensive option I guess, since its limited housing.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:40 AM

compromise serving both the larger community and the airport community I would expect that the housing is high priority for low wage workers working in Boulder

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:49 AM

Danger of people and planes being so close together.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:58 AM

Dislike: as long as there is leaded aviation fuel, housing as part of the airport isn't the best idea.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:14 AM

Dislike continued airport due to lead gas, noise, land could be use much morre constructively

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:28 AM

Better than no housing added

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:29 AM

tinkers around the edges without contributing solutions for our community problems

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:38 AM

Boulder needs the most housing it can get in healthy neighbors. Lead pollution and noise impact health.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:45 AM

I live 3 miles from it, and although I really like where I live, it would be terrible to live closer.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:46 AM

This seems like a good compromise to support responsible development of the immediate commuity while allowing the aviation community to continue.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:56 AM

usually these scenarios are compromised. the new housing just west of 47th is like this. I'd consider it if it was a truly useful that the majority of residents would use and enjoy.

Anonymous

No family wants to live near a source of lead pollution are have their

7/21/2023 11:03 AM

toddler woken up by loud planes.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:31 AM

LOVE this scenario on all fronts; feel like it addresses community needs, some housing, and keeps this wonderful, unique Boulder asset as an airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:31 AM

stop crowding non aviation people into airport land

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:33 AM

Due to the lead pollution, airports are dangerous to everyone's health.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:36 AM

Don't like

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:43 AM

I've read where neighbors are suing airports in Colorado. Seems stupid.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:45 AM

This will improve airport A LOT - great.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:48 AM

Accomplishes both goals

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:11 PM

If it could be safe to build limited housing, I can see there might be benefits. But, more housing near the airport may mean more noise complaints.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

Just having housing - we need all that we can get and airports do not make good neighbors.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

Probably the best mix of benefits to local aviation & the community as a whole. Should also include 2 elements from Scenario 2: Preserve options to accommodate modes of travel & Upgrade public viewing

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:54 PM

The additional community feature is okay, as long as it does not encroach on needed resources for aviation.

Anonymous 7/21/2023 12:55 PM	Boulder needs to be better represented to business and aviation interest
Anonymous 7/21/2023 01:00 PM	seems like a band aide
Anonymous 7/21/2023 01:05 PM	I like that this improves the airport and makes it more important to the community without creating an influx of commercial traffic.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 01:07 PM	seems like a recipe for future conflict (see, e.g. leaded fuel, noise)
c2 7/21/2023 02:08 PM	Housing is not an appropriate use with an operating airport. Valmont cannot support add'l traffic. Bus transport is a joke on Valmont.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:10 PM	I like housing since it is in short supply, but airport is a nuisance and health threat since noise contributes to bad health.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:18 PM	It is a bad mix and would be a bad long-term solution.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:28 PM	This isn't a terrible option, as it includes housing construction, but I believe that housing is more important than private aviation and we should prioritize housing.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:33 PM	Do not understand what limited housing means. Why uis this needed at the airport, which is not located close to any services.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:54 PM	Additional housing, parks, amenities
Anonymous 7/21/2023 02:57 PM	A little better.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 03:01 PM	Like: Restaurant, STEM Center and youth vocation area, addition of meeting space for community groups,
Anonymous 7/21/2023 03:03 PM	Only viable if the airport mandates unleaded fuel.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:09 PM

Same doesn't solve noise problem

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:16 PM

More housing is needed. It would help more people in Boulder than the airport does.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:18 PM

Nice compromise

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:21 PM

I want to keep & improve the airport. Adding amenities such as cafe, meeting space, better hangars would make the airport more exciting & valuable to pilots & public. Limit the housing though.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:22 PM

Adding further housing in close proximity to the airport could limit airport growth.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:25 PM

Dislike: the neighborhood serving uses would likely benefit the small population of folks who use the airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:48 PM

Does not make sense. Lead is really dangerous. I would rather just have housing.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 04:24 PM

Having houses too close to landing and takeoff areas could present a safety hazard.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 04:59 PM

As long as there is an airport in the city limits, more plane from across the country will fly into Boulder and increase the air and noise pollution. I know plane will still fly over, but fewer.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:09 PM

It seems like a great way for the community to engage with the airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:40 PM

The entire site should be used for housing since airport produces toxic lead emissions and noise pollution, and housing is desperately needed.

Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:01 PM	People like to complain about the aircraft noise, so I double the housing, however limited, wouldn't be happy.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:01 PM	There's still an airport.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:30 PM	this makes sense to me to allow some expansion and some opportunity for people who choose to live nearby to do so as well as to modernize
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:44 PM	not even sure what this means
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:07 PM	It seems like it would benefit the most people
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:12 PM	This looks like it doesn't go far enough for the community. This looks like a cluster. Why cram people into an airport? It's hard to understand this one.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:20 PM	Looks like more aviation and I don't want that. We can do better.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:52 PM	Considering we already sound like an air raid most nights and at 6am, I don't see how cramming this closer together is a solution? How is this safe?
Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:25 PM	Do not envision myself going to the airport to go to a restaurant or meeting
Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:42 PM	Seems to incorporate both community and aviation benefit
Anonymous 7/22/2023 06:19 AM	City doesn't need an airport
Anonymous 7/22/2023 06:55 AM	Several studies prove the deleterious impact noise has on health, and even the FAA has an antiquated standard for aircraft noise. We need health neighborhood not airports.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:09 AM

Nothing in particular

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:31 AM

Housing is good but not next to a loud airport. Residents adjacent to it now complain about it.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:52 AM

Housing is really needed in the area, but with over fifteen Front Range airports/air fields and extensive flight options at DIA, another airport is not.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 08:31 AM

Housing will drive up noise complaints and make it less safe

Anonymous

7/22/2023 08:33 AM

STEM training good! Airplane mechanics are in as high demand as pilots are. Dislike- housing... "affordable" means "poor" and it's not in line with Boulder values to put the poor by the lead/noise

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:20 AM

I don't see how this would reduce the noise or air pollution. Instead it would expose family to more unhealthy noise and pollution.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:29 AM

Housing is a mistake, we don't need more homes in Boulder especially around the airport, the infrastructure is creaking as it is!

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:37 AM

Housing is needed here in Boulder, but the noisy air traffic will continue and probably get worse.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 10:04 AM

Could be a win win for everyone

Anonymous

7/22/2023 10:16 AM

The airport that support the local tow plane, flight instructors and out of town visitors disrupts my ability to relax and sleep in my on house even with the windows closed.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 10:25 AM

I would like to live in Boulder but not if I have to listen and smell nasty planes all day. My health is important.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 11:32 AM

I like it because my friend can't find anywhere to rent in Boulder. The airport might be a problem, though.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 11:39 AM

Potential to broaden the spectrum of people who would be able to use the airport as a resource for learning, recreation, and corrects some of the problems of the airport and its users being isolated.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 12:25 PM

As long as the airport is still able to function in it's current capacity, this option seems fine

Anonymous

7/22/2023 12:45 PM

Nice way to balance community benefits with airport operations

Anonymous

7/22/2023 01:41 PM

Airports create unhealthy area due to lead pollution and excessive noise.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 02:31 PM

Not sure I'd want to live at an airport but the cafe idea is nice. Too bad it isn't in #2.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 02:33 PM

Likes: critical improvements to airport. Future-proofs iconic Boulder Airport, glider activities, and assoc. outdoor activities. Dislike: Creates developments potentially incompatible with airport ops

Anonymous

7/22/2023 03:14 PM

LIKE: Provides additional City assets that help all of the community! Possibly even create new revenue sources?!

Anonymous

7/22/2023 04:49 PM

I don't like this because any neighborhood at the airport would suffer from the noise and lead pollution

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:11 PM

We need an airport, and adding housing will cause issues

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:42 AM

As long as there is an airport, the city will be subsidizing activities that pollution are communities with lead and noise.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:53 AM

Airport benefits more people, but leaded fuel continues to rain down on public. Noise pollution would be bad for the public using the airport for non-aviation uses.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:05 AM

Affordable housing is a priority in Boulder. Not airplanes.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:15 AM

Same as above.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:39 AM

I love the idea of a restaurant and STEM facility.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:48 AM

We need as many housing units as possible to help defray the cost of development.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:50 AM

This option is okay.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 09:33 AM

Government creating uses that are not tied to the airport. Private companies can build cafes.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:07 AM

Stupid idea

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:21 AM

I think we need as much housing as we can get. I like the dog park and trails on Valmont so I could see people wanting to live there and raising a family if they can afford it.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:48 AM

No need to include housing in any plan.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:54 AM

All housing and no noisy airport.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 11:17 AM

The airport needs to be commissioned because it no longer fits Boulder. The entire site should be used for housing.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 12:28 PM

See answer to 5

Anonymous

7/23/2023 12:32 PM

Continued encroachment of housing would create new complaints.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:11 PM

I like keeping and maintaining the airport. I like adding community facilities as long as they don't interfere with the airport. I think expanding the airport's core function is more important.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:21 PM

I like this idea because it helps interstate the airport into the community more. It's a historic piece of Boulder and it would be great to get more community engagement.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:55 PM

better but we are in a climate emergency. need to increase occupancy allowances.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:13 PM

Noise pollution. Environmental pollution

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:17 PM

Seems like the worst case - eventually the housing will complain about noise and we are right back here.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:26 PM

See above. Neighborhood serving use and/or limited housing has benefits to broader community

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:49 PM

housing should not be near the airport. too noisy.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:55 PM

Boulder needs more affordable housing. Addition of housing is good. However, commercial aviation is bad for the environment. Boulder does not need an airport. The airport should be decommissioned

Anonymous

7/23/2023 03:41 PM

better

Anonymous

7/23/2023 04:25 PM

Does not address noise/pollution problem. It is "window dressing" to make airport more tolerable to the 95% (or more) of citizens who don't use it. Climate change: spend \$ on trees, infrastructure, H2O

Anonymous

7/23/2023 04:47 PM

Less certainty about impact.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:12 PM

The airport needs to be decommissioned to reduce air and noise pollution.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:29 PM

The more housing the better since more people need housing than people who need an airport. Rent and housing costs are sky high because we don't have enough and people drive into Boulder work.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:36 PM

Like the idea of housing

Anonymous

7/23/2023 06:35 PM

Use the entire site for housing to maximize the housing and perhaps reduce building cost since common infrastructure cost will be spread among more units.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 06:39 PM

I'm not sure I understand fully, but I worry this limits GA capabilities

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:00 PM

Housing is a necessity that Boulder does not have enough of. The entire site will need to be housing to address the need.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:13 PM

We would lose the gliders and fill in open space.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:23 PM

I could not put up with living next-door to an airport. Too loud.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:05 PM

Great combo of investment in aviation, economy, and community without sacrificing one for the other

Anonymous

7/23/2023 09:58 PM

Seems expensive

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:21 PM

Not a good idea to mix the two. Noise and safety of planes landing in emergency and residents. Potential for airport to expand and be a noise issue for residents

Anonymous

7/24/2023 05:56 AM

If any changes are made, only those for education purposes make any sense.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 06:14 AM

we have much bigger issues than the airport, like schools and lowering property tax

Anonymous

7/24/2023 06:36 AM

This offers a bit of housing, but not ideal

Anonymous

7/24/2023 07:37 AM

some housing

Anonymous

7/24/2023 07:43 AM

Dislike, seems like the beginning of the end for the airport

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:01 AM

Again this serves too few people. Noise and air pollution

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:26 AM

Boulder needs to maximize housing to provide more affordable options.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:41 AM

More housing

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:46 AM

Would like STEM and Vocational programs. Not sure Housing would be a good idea

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:52 AM

The entire site is needed for housing. The noise and air pollution will continue until the airport is decommissioned.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:00 AM

This is the best option--increased safety, increased community engagement opps, additional housing. This option is a win-win and will serve to be a hub for STEM opps that is sorely lacking in Boulder

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:09 AM

Same as 4 , but possibly less disruptive

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:17 AM

Housing only.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:19 AM

This scenario feels like it would better serve the community. Boulder OEM could move over there too for a larger and better emergency space.

Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:22 AM	LOVE incorporating airport with community.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:46 AM	We need more housing
Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:58 AM	We desperately need housing and to repurpose the 180 acres for the great good. But the airport needs to close.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:27 AM	It should be only used for housing since the need is so.great.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:30 AM	Creating a community center at the airport.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:35 AM	Again, increasing aviation in no way improves the quality of life for neighboring communities
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:00 AM	Housing is needed, bur the airport isn't.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:02 AM	I find the airport to be a nuisance.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:13 AM	I would like to buy in NoBo, so I like more housing not less.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:29 AM	Residents don't make as much noise and I gave friends who really want to live in North Boulder.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:28 AM	Integrating the airport better with the Community is very attractive. Hard to know where the investment is going to come from if the City isn't going to invest, and they aren't going to invent others
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:36 AM	Some proposed neighborhood uses are reasonable, but no added housing. Soon after residents arrive, there'll be noise complaints and further push to close the airport.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:46 AM	More housing. People are struggling to find and buy housing. Houses not planes.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:12 PM

Please get rid of the airport. It's in the middle of a heavily populated area and only benefits a small minority of people.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:20 PM

Near-term aviation technology advances will make having an airport extremely desirable as a sustainable, equitable transportation hub. Combining this with limited mixed-use transition makes sense.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:43 PM

This option makes little sense to me, but at least the airport would remain.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:34 PM

If Boulder wants more housing, don't put it on land that will cost taxpayers additional millions. Losing the airport would be a huge loss to the community and another waste of taxpayers' money.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:04 PM

same as above

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:29 PM

As a father and a pilot I love the idea of bringing my children and the community into spaces at the airport that show off the history and vitality of the aviation community.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:42 PM

i like that it is a start with housing, but the pdf doesnt even mention housing!

Anonymous

7/24/2023 04:03 PM

Even with improvements, airport mainly seems to benefit wealthy plane owners

Anonymous

7/24/2023 04:17 PM

I assume it would help reduce the noise, pollution, or at least avoid expansion of airports in the area.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 07:40 PM

can use

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:28 PM

It should be 100% housing. Housing is a necessity which many people struggle to secure.

<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:13 PM</p>	<p>I like how this creates additional social benefits to the aea.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 12:20 AM</p>	<p>I do not like this Scenario at all. Building housing at an airport site is a dumb idea.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:09 AM</p>	<p>Not sure we need a meeting place but it's a strong second</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 04:32 AM</p>	<p>Don't build housing on the airport. People living nearby already complain about the noise.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 05:30 AM</p>	<p>Better usage</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 06:37 AM</p>	<p>This seems like a an opportunity for a a higher use of the space and not necessarily increasing air traffic.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 06:59 AM</p>	<p>The entire parcel needs to be developed into a residential area. The housing is needed.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:02 AM</p>	<p>Idiotic idea of living next to a hanger.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:21 AM</p>	<p>Build housing on the entire parcel. The infrastructure for housing should be leveraged by as many units as suitable for the site.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:34 AM</p>	<p>The more houses the better. People want to live here.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 08:40 AM</p>	<p>This brings in the best of all worlds. Keeping the airport but bringing in others to the picture.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 08:53 AM</p>	<p>Like: community uses of Airport road coupled with keeping airport up-to-date. Dislike: housing. Inappropriate at site (noise, pollution). Foolish to add herew. The adjacent housing is bad enough.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/25/2023 09:11 AM</p>	<p>No one wants to live next to an airport</p>

Anonymous

7/25/2023 12:03 PM

Good, except for live/work hangars. Lack of affordable housing is an issue in Boulder, not lack of expensive housing

Anonymous

7/25/2023 01:34 PM

More people will cause more complaints about the planes.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 01:51 PM

Includes 'Restaurant/Cafe'

Anonymous

7/25/2023 02:00 PM

Airports and housing do not mix, children exposed to lead particulates and noise. There is no local control over what can fly out of the airport and when, so you are subject to noise 24-7.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 02:47 PM

The airport is an economic albatross. Take the land and ESTABLISH HOUSING FOR ESSENTIAL WORKERS! SEE THE NEW LAFAYETTE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT. STABILIZE OUR WORKFORCE! Stop the siege of buzzing and lead.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 02:58 PM

Huh? This looks chaotic and crammed.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:02 PM

Doesn't look like it's solving current problems but rather, moving the community closer to them.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:11 PM

Looks like keeping issues we already have with housing? Is this community housing? This is confusing.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:17 PM

Cramming community next to jet fuel, noise and risk sounds....reckless.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:27 PM

No airport only house which will reduce commuting miles since people who work on Boulder could live in Boulder with access to bike trails and bus routes.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 04:05 PM

IT IS NOISY HAVING PLANES FLYING OVER OUR CITY!

Anonymous 7/25/2023 04:16 PM	Additional housing will increase the conflict between those who live close to the airport and vital airport operations.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 05:31 PM	I like that it limits future growth but I can't imagine how this helps existing issues.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 05:38 PM	clash of use
Anonymous 7/25/2023 05:58 PM	This feels misleading or confusing? Some line items seem like strange ideas for our land use. I'd prefer a park most of all. And A GROCERY STORE!!!
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:01 PM	Like this idea, but afraid customers who buy homes there won't remember they are living next to a airport and will complain
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:53 PM	I like the word limited housing
Anonymous 7/25/2023 08:14 PM	Dislike: Same as above.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 09:28 PM	A cafe and some open space would be nice for the residents between boulder and gunbarrel.
Anonymous 7/26/2023 06:38 AM	I'm curious how this would look in reality. What does the runway serve to the community to keep?
Anonymous 7/26/2023 06:45 AM	It seems like this would only benefit a few people who are airport users. I don't imagine a ton of involvement in the community centers from those outside the aviation community.
Anonymous 7/26/2023 07:18 AM	Housing only because the airport is loud and the pollution is bad for human health and the environment.
Anonymous 7/26/2023 08:47 AM	Lesser of the evils.

<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 09:21 AM</p>	<p>Housing would be a welcomed change for North Boulder. There are too many people already exposed to the health hazards of the airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 10:45 AM</p>	<p>Our dry, thin air carries sound and I think the Flatirons amplify it. If more people live here then the airport needs to go to protect our health and safety.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 11:28 AM</p>	<p>Not pragmatic</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 11:38 AM</p>	<p>We need more affordable housing and this could be a good place for it.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 12:36 PM</p>	<p>Unbearable Noise and leaded gas pollution--benefits only a select few. The environmental burden on residents and community is huge.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 01:33 PM</p>	<p>I think having an airport is a critical component of a city. I'm not sure about the housing vision but ppl can probably come up with some pretty good ideas.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 02:16 PM</p>	<p>There are better ways to engage the community with the airport than adding housing into the mix. Show casing the airport for it's unique opportunities thru special aviation events would be better</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 03:02 PM</p>	<p>The entire site should be housing since housing would serve the basic needs of more people.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 06:51 PM</p>	<p>This doesn't take the potential far enough. We still have climate and noise issues.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 07:01 PM</p>	<p>Keeping ties with the FAA is trouble for Boulder. Pilots make empty statements like "airport is valuable" but no data. I gather it's more nostalgia which doesn't mean we keep this airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 07:29 PM</p>	<p>Not enough emphasis on aviation.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>Boulder is already undergoing too much development without the</p>

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/26/2023 07:59 PM

infrastructure to support an influx of individuals. Also, the new developments are generally ugly and detracts from small town boulder

Anonymous

7/26/2023 08:33 PM

Dislike noise from the airport and the city would benefit from more housing

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:46 PM

It does not provide for every community member and is skewed towards those with money

Anonymous

7/27/2023 05:11 AM

This allows the possibility of housing near the employment centers already created in the area, but I question the wisdom of adding housing at an airport.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 06:11 AM

Of course the minute housing is placed on the property there will be a built in conflict.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 06:30 AM

This is lacking a lot of details so while it's my #2, it's only because the 2 others are a known "no".

Anonymous

7/27/2023 09:31 AM

The phrasing of this makes no sense. What "uses" and what is "limited housing"? Time and time again City Council keeps going over rules/laws they've set just to see how far they can go

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:19 AM

As my 2nd choice, it is still a far cry from Scenario 4, my top choice.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:47 AM

Anything to help our affordable housing issue is a bonus!

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:51 AM

A modest compromise but still not ideal.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:10 AM

Will FAA even allow this? I don't like more aviation uses. I don't like the implied promise that the City would fund community ctr or a STEM learning ctr.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:11 AM

I do not understand why we are talking about housing here.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:32 AM

This one is really wrong headed. Housing is a much higher community need than leaded gas spewing aircraft over our heads.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:00 PM

If done thoughtfully this would make the airport a more integral part of the community.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:18 PM

I like that there is a compromise, where the general public can use the space, while keeping the airport.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:27 PM

Just shut it down. If the people nearby in Gunbarrel can't stand it, do you really think folks will want to live right under all the noise and pollution?

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:05 PM

This is the best option, which creates a thriving local aviation center

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:10 PM

retains a portion of the aviation legacy while initiating redev

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:15 PM

Housing is a good use of airport space, but it shouldn't be limited

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:19 PM

More housing? Where are we supposed to drive? This is insane.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:25 PM

dislike placing housing at edge of community - disconnected from services and transport

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:28 PM

Would you want small airplanes revving their engines outside your front door?

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:37 PM

Na

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:38 PM

Boulder needs acres of housing, not acres of hobbies

Anonymous

Provides some new housing, strikes a compromise.

7/27/2023 01:53 PM

Anonymous

I would like to see all of it become middle class housing

7/27/2023 01:55 PM

Anonymous

I'm not sure how housing on airport ground would work. But some housing is better than none.

7/27/2023 02:12 PM

Anonymous

If housing is built, care should be taken that new residents don't become a source of complaints.

7/27/2023 02:23 PM

Anonymous

Like it adds housing

7/27/2023 02:38 PM

Anonymous

I do not see a need for an airport serving Boulder given that DIA is so close.

7/27/2023 02:39 PM

Anonymous

It sounds like undesirable housing due to noise and pollution, that low income folks will be pushed into. Better than nothing though.

7/27/2023 02:46 PM

Anonymous

Housing and airports tend not to mix well.

7/27/2023 02:53 PM

Anonymous

Good alternative

7/27/2023 02:53 PM

Anonymous

If some housing is included then there can be a taxation base.

7/27/2023 03:17 PM

Anonymous

I begrudgingly like that this puts a cap on the airport growing beyond afar comes out of this.

7/27/2023 03:19 PM

Anonymous

Good to add housing

7/27/2023 03:26 PM

Anonymous

Housing and an airport. Crazy! Too noisy!

7/27/2023 03:29 PM

Anonymous

no new housing or population, road and traffic maintenance can be

7/27/2023 03:46 PM

considered first

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:48 PM

Trying to please everybody. Poor strategy lacking courage

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:49 PM

Not enough housing and still noisy

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:01 PM

OK as long as new housing does not lead to possible airport closure

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:03 PM

See above Not enough information provided

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:25 PM

Some housing an a sense of community might be provided, but the uses are not very compatible

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:30 PM

I wouldn't have select this option

Anonymous

7/27/2023 07:05 PM

Better but limited housing would dislike the noise

Anonymous

7/27/2023 07:34 PM

It would not significantly contribute new housing, and would attract more people (eg at the community center) around heavily polluting aircraft.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 08:13 PM

Boulder needs more affordable housing

Anonymous

7/27/2023 08:24 PM

This is better, but still has the disadvantage of taking up most of the land to serve a small community of aviators.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 08:41 PM

The aspects that would offer new community resources seem interesting but I don't think we should be investing in improving the airport givem our need for affordable housing and the climate costs

Anonymous

7/27/2023 09:18 PM

dislike more concenrated housing-have too much already

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 05:55 AM</p>	<p>Improves airport and keeps it as important city infrastructure and emergency facility. Keeps open the possibility of future transportation uses such as for eVTOLs.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 06:29 AM</p>	<p>No airport just houses.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 06:48 AM</p>	<p>Worst idea ever. Airports and housing are always incompatible and Boulder CC voted down the proposed low income housing their because of the incompatibility. Terrible idea.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 06:49 AM</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 07:29 AM</p>	<p>Existing restaurants and technology centers are close by, there is no reason to add these to a small airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 07:30 AM</p>	<p>I am concerned about the Jail right there and do not understand why people are not talking about that</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 07:47 AM</p>	<p>Poor use of funds. Better for housing as infill in central boulder is changing character and making it very hard to bike commute safely</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 08:00 AM</p>	<p>At least there's some community improvement here but having limited housing won't really help Boulder's housing crisis</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 08:55 AM</p>	<p>This is not an appropriate suggestion, and housing cannot supersede all other reasonable and existing uses</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 09:25 AM</p>	<p>Like that it incorporates some additional amenities serving those in the adjacent area or visitors alike. Food/bar or some housing could be good.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 09:29 AM</p>	<p>Like the community elements, so keep those and shut down airplane facilities</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 09:31 AM</p>	<p>I like the idea of the airport being more useful to Boukder</p>

Anonymous 7/28/2023 09:38 AM	Don't like increased user conflicts, cogestión, and carbon footprint.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 10:10 AM	This would still require the airport to reroute helicopters away from Vista Village and stop allowing leaded fuel to be used
Anonymous 7/28/2023 10:25 AM	Such a small corner devoted to something new does not seem like it would make much of a community impact. It looks like less than 10% of the property. 90% for the 125 users doesn't make sense.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 11:47 AM	This is my favorite, in part due to the educational side. I know pilots and see the value of small aviation, especially for learning and training. Airport was also of value during the flood
Anonymous 7/28/2023 11:55 AM	Very noisy and polluting for those housed there as well as the rest of the City.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 12:01 PM	The whole site needs to be residential. Infrastructure for housing is costly so the cost needs to be spread over many units to make them affordable. Public money should go into houses before airports.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:11 PM	When carefully planned and executed to provide more housing in a trailer park area, this is the best scenario for future use.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:20 PM	Dislike load airplanes over my house
Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:27 PM	Limited housing near the airport would not help the overall issue of lack of affordable housing
Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:30 PM	Airport's carbon imprint and pollution. Doesn't serve a need for most in the community.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:41 PM	We need housing, not privately owned airplanes. City-owned land offers affordability.
Anonymous	As long as new residents don't start complaining about the function

7/28/2023 03:35 PM	and proximity of the airport operations.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 04:35 PM	it does not address the problem of numerous noisy planes flying overhead seemingly constantly; it does not address aircraft fuel spewing lead overhead
Anonymous 7/28/2023 05:21 PM	Housing only. Housing is more valuable than the airport to Boulder.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 07:40 PM	This would realize the great potential of the airport in providing a connection to the community and facilitate learning about aviation.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 08:52 PM	I like the value the airport brings to the community and don't see a scenario where it coexists with limited housing.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 09:39 PM	Increased private plane use brings additional noise and air pollution to our city
Anonymous 7/29/2023 05:19 AM	not so much
Anonymous 7/29/2023 05:39 AM	No housing.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 06:02 AM	This option is ok, but not great. With housing inhabitants move in, then complain about the noise. Business' will still prosper with this option..which is still a plus
Anonymous 7/29/2023 09:12 AM	The entire site needs to be developed to be economically feasible.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 10:09 AM	n/a
Anonymous 7/29/2023 10:34 AM	It is an airport that is vital to the community and serves many needs such as fire fighting protection, keeping jet noise away from RMM and DIA. There is no reasonable need for housing at an airport
Anonymous	Don't really understand or care.

7/29/2023 11:20 AM

Anonymous

7/29/2023 11:34 AM

Seems to be the best option if the goal is the long term viability of my neighboring airport. That anything STEM piques my interest, plus a restaurant/cafe out here would be nice.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 11:43 AM

Public dollar should go toward public need which is affordable housing & not elite hobbies, especially ones that negatively impact our health. Aviation school & tours have no value for most of us.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 11:48 AM

Great to add some housing and reduce the size of the airport - see answer 4

Anonymous

7/29/2023 12:04 PM

Not sure additional housing should be built. What are trying to solve for? The airport or something else.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 12:22 PM

Housing should cover the entire site because we need it. Rents are sky-high.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 12:57 PM

Small improvement

Anonymous

7/29/2023 01:03 PM

Nothing. Seems legitimate.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 01:05 PM

Boulder needs housing, so I am willing to support the airport use if there is housing too

Anonymous

7/29/2023 01:36 PM

Who wants to live in an airport

Anonymous

7/29/2023 01:37 PM

I DO NOT SUPPORT Scenarios 3 and/or 4.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 02:02 PM

Dislike the airport due to noise, pollution, safety, and limited use by broader Boulder community. Also there is an important need for more affordable housing in Boulder.

zenos_dog

7/29/2023 02:09 PM

I dislike that planes will still be flying over my house.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 02:54 PM

No one wants to live close to an airport.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:24 PM

Not sure some of the ideas have been tested.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:31 PM

People tend to complain about airports, train tracks even when there were built before the housing was built. I don't like the housing idea but like STEM programing being offered there.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:44 PM

Land for housing is limited in Boulder so I think the entire airport site should be used for housing.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:52 PM

Encouraging additional non-aviation developments around the airport will likely only lead to dissatisfaction down the road and increased pressure to decommission the airport.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 05:11 PM

I'm honestly against adding large populations of people to Boulder and horrified that the developers have acquired power and are packing people in and trying to overthrow the 4 story limit

Anonymous

7/29/2023 07:18 PM

Unnecessary burden

Anonymous

7/29/2023 08:37 PM

Like if groceries are available. Like limited housing if targeted towards low income households.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 08:53 PM

like: some housing and neighborhood uses; dislike: without a full neighborhood, those uses are unlikely to be fully realized

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:53 AM

Getting there.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:06 AM

Too many people live here already

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:15 AM

Adding limited housing within the airport influence zone is problematic, although other non-housing community supporting facilities make sense.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:17 AM

Housing is critically needed all over the US but especially on the Front Range where the high are driving high inflation number. Use all of the land for housing and decommission the airport.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:37 AM

It would be nice to have more opportunity to use this awesome resource in new ways.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:40 AM

I do see the value of the STEM option, some of the other ideas on this one seemed a bit excessive, over the top.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:44 AM

Getting warmer, ok idea.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:14 AM

seems to allow for limited growth and still satisfy need for some airport use

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:18 AM

Current noise from the airport detracts from healthy living in East Boulder. The gliders are especially awful.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:21 AM

Not well discussed too many "catch phrases" but it is still a good choice

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:21 AM

Lovely idea but against Faa airport grants. The airport manager should know better

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:47 AM

We do not need more apartments in Boulder. We need a slow growth plan.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:33 AM

While I don't think Boulder needs to provide airport services to wealthy residents, this option offered a compromise

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:35 AM

More housing is needed. NoBo has everything residents want: trails, shopping, arterial roads, open space, bus routes, parks, bike lanes, coffee shops.

Anonymous 7/30/2023 08:37 AM	I like getting the community more involved and allows easy viewing of aircraft landing & taking off.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 08:40 AM	Who wants to live at an airport??? How could this even work? What about FAA rules?
Anonymous 7/30/2023 08:56 AM	Not enough housing
Anonymous 7/30/2023 08:59 AM	Poor use of money and land. The noise and pollution are a community negative.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:03 AM	Forget it. If we take FAA money we get trapped in 20 yr deals.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:03 AM	The airport is via tap to Boulder and boulder county and should not considered for more housing of any type!!
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:16 AM	I like that the airport remains. I'm concerned about trying to make the airport do too much, but still better than having no airport.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:30 AM	Dislike: airport busy enough serving as an airport. Housing would likely compromise safety and usability as an airport
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:37 AM	There are real opportunities to make the airport more accessible and attractive to the surrounding community
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:38 AM	Boulder's housing problem needs to solved as a whole without taking away things that make Boulder unique. Boulder is a world class soaring destination that is an each friendly from of aviation.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 09:48 AM	I don't like this option. Living in the vicinity of an airport brings noise complaints leading to restrictions that potentially create hazards.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 10:01 AM	Significant improvements don't feel like a priority for city funds

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:03 AM

It appears to be trying to tackle too much (chase 2 rabbits and catch none). I doubt both could be done effectively given the budget.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:16 AM

If you know there is an airport in your neighborhood and you don't like the noise then don't live in that area.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:21 AM

Like encouraging innovation, STEM, and engagement between the community and the airport

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:25 AM

This summary misleads people because #3 also includes aviation improvements, which isn't mentioned. Again, anything that expands aviation is not welcome.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:32 AM

I would move the helicopter pad to the closest current housing site and replace the pad with open space closer to where people live and work.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:43 AM

If the airport remains, then this scenario at least adds something for non-aviators

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:51 AM

Sound as if this option is trying satisfy everyone and please no-one.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 AM

Dislike- more housing will result in more congestion in the city without a sustainable way to provide world class services to the future residents.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:01 AM

More and more people will be moving to the front range as climate changes and make nearby regions less habitable. Homeless issue start when affordable housing cannot be found.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:08 AM

short sighted

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:13 AM

Adding housing would May encroach on existing airport safety areas

Anonymous

Aviation is vital for wildfire and air ambulance

7/30/2023 11:19 AM

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:23 AM

This is a great option as it could promote aviation as a career; since there is a shortage of pilots, this could help promote the professional, develop future pilots, mechanics, industry professionals

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:24 AM

There are many places other than the airport where it would be better to put in housing. The cost of buying out the FAA would not only be prohibitive, but would take years of litigation and legal.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:25 AM

Housing at the site will just increase the noise complaints

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:26 AM

Limited housing doesn't seem particularly attractive, increasing traffic on the road. Unclear as to what exactly limited housing means.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:34 AM

Too much traffic on only one existing road.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:39 AM

I like the idea of some more housing and community buildings. Boulder needs more community meeting areas that can be reserved by the public and non-profits.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:46 AM

Would limit housing close to a commercial area that includes a jail!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:58 AM

I do not like housing on the airport, but I do like the idea of a restaurant at the airport. I think the community would benefit from having a decent place to eat and watch airplanes.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:00 PM

Put houses on the entire site. Airports don't mix with neighborhoods. Right now atleast two Front Range airports are being sued by residences over noise and pollution issues.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:02 PM

There should be a reduction of tow plane traffic (extremely loud) over Arapahoe Ridge area.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:07 PM

I don't know what this option means but I would lie the airport to be away from homes such as mine. I find the noise from planes

inconsiderate and intrusive for the benefit of a few who fly them.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:07 PM

Housing costs are through the roof and the papers say it will only get worse. More families could use housing.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:15 PM

This sounds like a growth of existing airport facilities which is not needed,

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:25 PM

I would prefer the airport gone, but if that can't happen it would be nice to at least have some community benefits like eating/shopping/housing.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:54 PM

housing on an airport allways causes increased complaints about aircraft operations leading to restrictions to aviation exceeding the current egregious restrictions

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:55 PM

Still an airport

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:55 PM

Aviation & community related enhancements that do not negatively impact glider ops (only glider related enhancements on north side). We have plenty of open space where we can in-build new housing.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:58 PM

Dislike More traffic

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:11 PM

reasonable, there a lot of real estate up there

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:12 PM

This is an airport, not a housing district. The airport is pre-existing, and is an essential community resource.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:14 PM

I don't like the idea of more housing there

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:16 PM

It is unknown how it will impact General Aviation and Soaring.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:31 PM	I don't trust new housing will be under 150K
Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:31 PM	Makes airport more broadly useful in the shorter run but preserves its function.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:04 PM	I DO NOT want our airport to turn into housing. Densify other existing neighborhoods instead
Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:16 PM	There is no need for "Limited Housing on the airport.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:21 PM	Some of these ideas are positive, like STEM learning and an aviation museum. The rest might be difficult to implement.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:36 PM	Boulder should not be in the business of offering affordable housing. Boulder should be in the business of offering city services, such as air transportation
Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:45 PM	Still have Fossil fuels being used. Why have the airport at all, if housing is going to be added.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:13 PM	I embrace the idea. KBVT is a great example. The airport even when shut down for weather was packed with young and old at the restaurant and lake activities.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:29 PM	Encroaches on and makes soaring operations much more challenging
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:30 PM	I do not see these as compatible uses.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:30 PM	This is the best direction for the site - we can preserve and improve the airport for the future while offering more to the community. I love the idea of providing housing compatible with the airport
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:36 PM	I like that this would bring the airport more into the community rather than a few peoples hobby zone. I dislike that there is still an airport this close in to boulder using leader fuel.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:41 PM

It's Boulder, housing will never be low cost. So why even try?

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:58 PM

You can't do anything for ~20 years so don't bother wasting time and money looking at housing. Consider expanding the jail so we can house all the criminals camping in boulder.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:03 PM

I think the demand for housing on top of hangars is limited. I like the ideas of a cafe and educational or community space but only if it is well-used.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:05 PM

Doesn't sound feasible. Airports and quiet neighborhoods don't coexist well.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:09 PM

Putting more neighborhoods next to the airport limits the options for how the airport can be used in the future. This is just a step towards killing the airport entirely.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:45 PM

This is awful. Zero housing in this area.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:57 PM

We don't need to put housing at the airport! The airport provides vital services to the city and should be supported as such.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:03 PM

do not want the airport at all

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:19 PM

Does some to address larger community problems (housing). Skeptical that housing so close is compatible with airport noise.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:07 PM

I do not want the airport turned into housing.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:38 PM

Housing does not a good use at an airport due to noise.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:25 PM

Although I'd prefer to see the airport gone altogether, at least if it had some neighborhood uses and housing more people would benefit.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:39 PM

There is plenty of dense housing already in Boulder. Any more traffic on this road would be terrible. There are no street thoroughfares. This area should remain less dense solely for that reason.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:19 PM

Make poor people live next to lead and noise

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:39 PM

More housing

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:59 PM

This seems great at first when you mention STEM. But I don't believe it. I suspect this is a ruse for executive live/fly culture in our community. Again, nothing sustainable or healthy here. Worst

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:37 PM

2 bad ideas don't make one good one. Not in favor of the airport or increased housing in such a location

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 PM

Nobody likes to live near an airport. It will just put more pressure on the airport regarding noise and environmental issues.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:40 PM

This doesn't seem like it does that much for housing, and it kind of sucks to live next to an airport that makes so much noise

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:40 AM

This should be a transportation hub, not a community center.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:14 AM

N/A

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:13 AM

The only thing I would like out of this is maybe a restaurant on premises for people to hang around the airport. That might encourage more people to learn to fly.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:55 AM

I do not support investing in the airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:01 AM

Same as above, feels way less impactful.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:06 AM

This scenario could greatly improve the integration into the wider community surrounding the airport. I like it...

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:23 AM

people already cry about living next to an existing airport that has been there for 95 years, this will only make it worse

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:36 AM

Future proof with EVTOL platforms

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:52 AM

Does not respect the people who want to live in a town that is livable. This just creates more density. The work / density in NOBO and on 30th street has done NOTHING to benefit this community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:06 AM

Why not add some housing.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:24 AM

The airport serves a very small subset of Boulder, but creates noise and air pollution that affect almost all of it.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:34 AM

The restaurant may not be economically viable. Any housing would just create more complaints.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:58 AM

Definitely limit housing because we are pushing into open space area. We do not understand what neighborhood serving uses means. Most people around here cannot afford an airplane.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:04 AM

not much housing

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:05 AM

it would be nice to keep limited use of the airport, improve it, possibly provide low-income housing. Not sure how easy access to 'neighborhood serving' would be. need more information on that

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:17 AM

better - let's return as much of this large site as possible to uses that benefit the most people

Anonymous

Housing is possible, but any sales need an aviation easement, and

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/31/2023 08:19 AM

rental agreements need to acknowledge aviation operations and noise being present.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:24 AM

great for future aviation education programming / restaurant would bring out non-aviation folks

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:26 AM

I do not like the concept of living units at the airport. There needs to be separation between living units and airport operations.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:26 AM

Not sure what this means.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:27 AM

The most likely scenario I suppose, but people moving into the area need to know it's an airport and there is going to be noise

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:45 AM

Takes advantage of aviation and tech tie in. Pilots love to fly into airports with restaurants. Would love a car rental place as well. Could increase tourism

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:54 AM

If the airport continues to operate, there will always be challenges connecting multi-use paths in the area that would serve many more people in our community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:00 AM

Community does not need the airport!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:08 AM

Theoretically my favorite, but believe people who choose closer-in housing will amp up noise complaints.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:22 AM

Dislike. Combines incompatible uses, which is part of the existing problem.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:24 AM

The aviation community has a dire need for new pilots and A&P mechanics. Adding STEM education facilities will help foster the next generation, while creating jobs/income for Boulder.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:25 AM

neighborhood serving uses and limited is unacceptable for this established airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:37 AM

Dislike, people who move near the already existing airport develop NIMBY mindsets and try to push against this asset. This conflict is preventable but not through this plan

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:43 AM

Dislike neighborhood aspect

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:45 AM

Airports need a level of security that don't mix well with public uses. A secure functional airport is the priority..

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:54 AM

More luxury housing is not needed.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:30 AM

In essence, this could be a good proposal, but unless it is clear what the limited housing really entails and what the uses are, I cannot vote for this black-box scenario.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:31 AM

Combines many elements into one that serves many purposes and people

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:43 AM

See answer to question #4

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:47 AM

This is unnecessary as there is other land available.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:51 AM

Will become exclusive and I'm sure eventually cuts off public access

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:52 AM

3 is a good option because it will keep the airport open but also attempt to bring in more community engagement. And who doesn't love to watch airplanes while eating some lunch. Food trucks potentialy

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:53 AM

Boulder has a continued need for housing solutions that are close to the downtown and growing East Pearl corridors. The airport represents land that is not currently being used at its highest and best

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:54 AM</p>	<p>While some aspects are good, it's an airport, not a college nor self-sustaining community. It looks like the glider strip goes away. Not my choice.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:58 AM</p>	<p>I think this option would bring out the best the airport has to offer the community. I spent countless hours at the airport during my teens and twenties and items in this proposal would be amazing.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:59 AM</p>	<p>This continues to increase the number of housing units in conflict with the aviation uses of the airport. Many of these elements could and should be added to scenario 2.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:13 AM</p>	<p>Providing more access for people to learn about general aviation is fabulous. Teens and kids would benefit from learning about flying and all the mechanics behind the airplanes.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:17 AM</p>	<p>This is preferable to the first two but I prefer no airport at all.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:22 AM</p>	<p>A primary reason cited by those in favor of decommissioning the airport includes the noise impact of the airport. Building more housing near the airport would only increase the complaints .</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:34 AM</p>	<p>Likely to increase noise complaints from new residents, backdoor to getting rid of the airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:36 AM</p>	<p>Mixed use is a stupid idea for an airport. Noise and safety concerns should not be mixed with housing.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:43 AM</p>	<p>This keeps the airport and involves the neighborhood this is ok.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:47 AM</p>	<p>It keeps the airport and improves things for the community.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:53 AM</p>	<p>There are already many amenities and accommodations around the Airport, this is the wrong place to do more of this.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>Housing closer to the airport can cause unnecessary conflict, what</p>

7/31/2023 11:53 AM

examples of neighborhood serving uses.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:56 AM

This seems like a good location for lower income housing in Boulder.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 12:35 PM

Some housing and community development, but not enough housing.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 12:57 PM

There is a huge hangar waitlist and shortage and the airport space should be used to address that, not be co-opted into the larger existential housing issues of Boulder.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 01:17 PM

Building more housing doesn't do anything. Boulder is built. There are too many people. There is nothing environmentally safe about what Boulder is doing.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 01:19 PM

STEM and housing are great additions to the community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 01:21 PM

More noise and air pollution and serving a select few

Anonymous

7/31/2023 01:43 PM

Nothing! Adding housing in conjunction with the airport will create more negative comments about noise/pollution/etc. that are not needed in this community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 01:52 PM

I am not sure how compatible these two uses are. Nonetheless, airport infrastructure will take up a large footprint that can be used to build up density/affordability.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:13 PM

at least some benefit to the neighborhood

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:29 PM

This scenario would create what is happening at Centennial airport. Once housing was built complaining about the noise began. With the Correctional facilities nearby, not a good housing location.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:33 PM

The airport has been a part of the community for many years and I'd hate to see it take a backseat to new housing developments.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:34 PM

I like that the airport would remain and utilized for community learning and involvement with aviation. Leaves the door open for new flight technologies that could be unique learning opportunities.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:44 PM

Airport should be an airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:11 PM

Housing is not an appropriate use with an operating airport. Valmont cannot support add'l traffic. Bus transport is a joke on Valmont.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:13 PM

This addresses a critical community need for affordable housing while also mitigating environmental effects of airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:53 PM

Adds community and Keeps the airport open

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:00 PM

Housing should include camps, transitional housing etc

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:01 PM

The housing sounds like your master plan to get rid of the airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:25 PM

dislike adding more to the overpopulation of Boulder

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:55 PM

There is already a dog park, bike park, and frisbee golf course in the vicinity of the airport. The land should be used to add more hangers.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:00 PM

This is a bad idea, everyone would complain about the airplane noise. Kind of like how Longmont built new apartments on Main Street literally right next to the train tracks

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:04 PM

It's unnecessary, and does not serve the vision or needs of airport operations.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:23 PM

I would compromise this somewhere between scenario 2 and 3

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:17 PM	Airports will serve the community in case of local fire, some people love living near airports and watching the airplanes.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:26 PM	work/housing in limited area would be okay.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:26 PM	An improvement to status quo
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:28 PM	It keeps the airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:39 PM	dislike live/work hanger, Stem should be near other Boulder educational/library areas
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:40 PM	It keeps the airport, but adds some housing. I would prefer to have a more modern airport instead of more housing for more potential noise complaints.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:46 PM	Better than current situation
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:54 PM	I like that it keeps the airport around and again can have a positive impact on the community. I like the airport restaurant idea.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:57 PM	If you put housing next to the airport, people are inevitably going to complain about noise and hamstringing the operations at the airport, despite us being there first.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:57 PM	I like the opportunity for the airport and neighborhoods to coexist with proper planning and collaboration.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:12 PM	Depends upon the neighbourhood services and how limited the housing is. It would be an improvement over what we have now but that is not saying much.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:17 PM	This is a bad option and should not happen.

<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:18 PM</p>	<p>If there's a way to put a cap on what seems like 24/7 planes then this could work but I'm not aware of runways and mixed community housing.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:21 PM</p>	<p>I like the concept of a technology/learning center, but the community center seems ill-defined</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:22 PM</p>	<p>Best possible scenario. Huge economic growth and huge educational potential for the next generation of pilots! Bring the community in for events and social networking.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:42 PM</p>	<p>same as above</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:36 PM</p>	<p>Don't build any more houses near the airport. Don't be dumb. People are stupid and move close and then complain about noise.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:43 PM</p>	<p>I live in the flight path for the airport and strongly believe the airport should remain an airport. Adding additional non-airport uses will endanger federal funding and add pressure to close it.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:46 PM</p>	<p>It's inclusive and enhances the community. The airport still exists, but with services, it activates the space significantly..</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:02 PM</p>	<p>Everything is great *except* putting housing on the airport which, frankly, is idiotic.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:05 PM</p>	<p>Putting housing next to an airport is a bad idea.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:16 PM</p>	<p>This would be my top choice if the limited housing was homeless shelters/housing and the city decommissioned the North Boulder shelter and the proposed central shelter.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:18 PM</p>	<p>Would be cool to have mixed use if the investment is there, but it needs to be accessible airport to all. Not just rich people.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>NA</p>

7/31/2023 07:18 PM

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:22 PM

Like that it keeps an airport. I don't think housing is a compatible use with an airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:40 PM

Like: more neighborhood involvement and community involvement in gliding.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:54 PM

It keeps the airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:56 PM

Seems like the glider port would be removed, not in favor. The community center sounds great, but would also love more hangars.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:57 PM

This seems unrealistic.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:57 PM

Improve aviation services at one of the few remaining general aviation airports in the region, for training & recreation as opposed to simply private jet travel (Broomfield, Centennial)

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:58 PM

FAA granted funding should already prevent this from being a possibility. There's a tremendous history and active user base for the airport. Seems like an obvious developer money grab.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:58 PM

This will just create more problems down the road with people complaining about airplanes

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:59 PM

I dislike that this Scenario doesn't stop the noise.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:08 PM

I like Opportunities for more learning, especially since Boulder is such an aerospace centered town, I think this would be a great addition

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:06 PM

development that includes the airport may be more palatable to the nearby community, but some of the intended development may not have a reason for being located on-airport

Anonymous

This seems like improper use of funds.

7/31/2023 08:08 PM

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:20 PM

No housing is needed at the Airport site. There are other sites like the 500 acre parcel the City owns at 28th and Jay Rd.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:25 PM

Historically, we have seen that any housing near the airport is going to generate complaints. The City of Boulder has been negligent in allowing housing so close to the airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:22 PM

People already have a problem living by the airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:41 PM

I like the new hangars and the addition of STEM near the airport. That would hopefully get more children interested in aviation.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:47 PM

Dislike because housing that encroaches the airport vicinity through the years leads to noise complaints. People seem surprised by airplane noise, and yet the airport has been around since 1926.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:49 PM

People will move closer and inevitably complain about the noise, jeopardizing the airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:51 PM

I think this is the right thing to do but I'm not sure it is economically feasible or would be an attractive place to live so close to the airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:56 PM

i dislike that it restricts the airport from future growth

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:57 PM

We do not need to add more housing. We haven't shown as a city that we know how to implement housing plans. We need practical decisions, not just lip service.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:17 PM

The airport has been here for many years, much longer than most of the houses around it. I believe the airport should take precedence over existing and any future housing

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:19 PM

All of the other benefits of scenarios 1 and 2 but adding a restaurant and community areas brings people together and makes the airport a hub for community and commerce.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:26 PM

housing issues can be solved with other options.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:32 PM

I feel like this is taking away from the airport somewhat and could lead to further conflicts

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:50 PM

Like: there is a balance between community engagement and the needs of people who use the airport. Dislike: no clear promise to end leaded fuel air pollution,.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:50 PM

.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:20 PM

I like that the airport stays, but will only create more noise complaints if a neighborhood is built in even closer proximity.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:25 PM

Good location if noise is abated flights reduced

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:31 PM

I don't think this could be done without significant complaints taking place from those who oppose aviation.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:42 PM

This is a nice balance of living space and airport function, but I feel the airport should remain an airport/commercial space.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:51 PM

I would not like to see the airport lose its land to developers.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:52 PM

New live/work hangars could be fine, and financed based on long-term leases. Community meeting space costs must be justified with sound expectations of events to be held there.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:12 PM

Neighborhoods would eventually begin to complain about noise, and encroach on airport space.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:43 PM

Boulder benefits from its open space, a use compatible with aviation. Housing should not be a priority near the airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:04 AM

Airport continues to exist

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:14 AM

Providing neighbourhood uses could be beneficial, but building more housing nearby might result in occupants being displeased by the noise. (Which happens with other developments around the country.)

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:21 AM

I tend to like this option a lot, but the nature of the aviation redevelopment will be key

Anonymous

8/01/2023 03:36 AM

Exposes more people to noise & air toxins. Safety risks in the event of a crash or catastrophic event related to fuel storage.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 03:44 AM

Neighborhood should not be built close to the airport

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:15 AM

I feel that you are forgetting about local pilots who fly 4-seaters and want to live *at* the airport. Look at Parkland & Crawford airports, and Discovery Trails Farm airport Sequim WA.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:34 AM

Do people really want to live that close?

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:47 AM

Has potential, needs a lot more thought. Dislike the idea of housing even on a limited basis.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:45 AM

Noise. Some planes are too loud. If more jets are allowed to use the airport it will mean more noise.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:02 AM

this is a better response but how many boulderites - actually people from the city of boulder - use the airport? and is it a good use of public land?

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:12 AM

The area is always looking for housing, why not there?

Anonymous

The airport was here long before the growth around it. Limited

8/01/2023 06:17 AM

housing is needed to be compatible with airport operations.

Anonymous

This would improve the situation and start to benefit the community.

8/01/2023 06:17 AM

Anonymous

Yes

8/01/2023 06:34 AM

Anonymous

.

8/01/2023 06:35 AM

Anonymous

Removal of the glider strip to provide newer and enhanced services would do a good job at encouraging visitation to the airport (cafe!) while also providing community members with an improved location

8/01/2023 06:41 AM

Anonymous

don't want to make the airport more convenient for wealthy few who want liveable hangers!

8/01/2023 07:36 AM

Anonymous

The aviation capability should not be whittled away in exchange for a relatively small and completely undetermined function.

8/01/2023 08:11 AM

Anonymous

I don't believe adding housing in the airport space will mitigate the current situation caused by housing near the airport. As well, the housing based on previous construction will not be affordable.

8/01/2023 08:15 AM

Anonymous

I think this approach is unrealistic given the current makeup of the city council and lack of budget surplus needed.

8/01/2023 08:22 AM

Anonymous

Creating additional housing near the airport will only increase noise issues and complaints.

8/01/2023 08:39 AM

Anonymous

Housing would be bold, positive step toward a sustainable future that put a necessity over highly polluting small aircraft. Housing is needed so the entire lot should be developed into housing.

8/01/2023 08:44 AM

Anonymous

Aviation has high job growth and really like the idea for STEM resources for community. Seems like it could be a super unique program that would benefit kids & the community.

8/01/2023 08:52 AM

Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:57 AM	poses difficult problems in reconciling airport operations with social activities
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:17 AM	The last thing we need to do to the airport is limit, especially for housing for yet more people in our already overcrowded city.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:17 AM	Stop supplying subsidizing climate-ruining planes and start support affordable housing. NoBo is a nice place to live and will be better w/o the airport.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:27 AM	Concerned housing will be for homeless, less advantaged - who would want to live near there!!!
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:33 AM	That's not the purpose of the airport - to provide housing. Boulder will never get control of housing demand. They need to curb business development. Is Boulder going to convert parks into houses?
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:33 AM	It includes some housing and services, but too much aviation.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:33 AM	I like that it retains airport operations for general aviation. I dislike the idea of adding closer housing, as new residents begin to complain about airports that have been there for decades.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:34 AM	I don't care about a community center
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:37 AM	Adding hangars and providing STEM and job opportunities for local youth would be the best use of the airport over the long term.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:38 AM	The housing that goes there will complain of noise levels and restrict aviation use after a while.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:12 AM	No more housing in the area, please!!! Boulder has been stupid about housing in pathways.
Anonymous	Will help integrate more of the Boulder community with users of the

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

8/01/2023 10:15 AM	Boulder airport. Makes more land available for use for those who do not fly out of the airport. However it seems very expensive
Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:18 AM	Affordable housing options are limited and existing issues of air traffic control and safety will continue to escalate
Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:30 AM	STEM resources and vocational options are great. Expanding usage for bigger part of the community. Does not address noise and pollution.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:47 AM	Like: Visionary, proactive, niche, robust solution that could serve as a model for the area. Great ideas that meet many needs, and improve economic vitality alongside the usefulness of the airport.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:02 AM	Introducing housing near an airport invites complaints about airport noise. They do know the airport was there first, right?
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:13 AM	See above.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:17 AM	I think that the FAA will not allow housing on airport property. Also, jamming housing up against the airport will just generate more noise complaints
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:31 AM	I think the construction of a STEM learning center is a great opportunity to increase community engagement, especially by fostering local youth interest in aviation and aerospace engineering.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:38 AM	Dislike continued presence of airport in any form
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:48 AM	Dislike: limits Boulder air service, brings in people who move next to an airport and then complain about noise.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:52 AM	Just an advocate for keeping the airport.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 12:54 PM	This will compound neighbor issues

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:29 PM

I like this idea as an incubator for future pilots and STEM oriented citizens. I worry about the level of commitment required from the city and community.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:33 PM

Community spaces and STEM facilities are fantastic. More housing near the airport will create problems.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:47 PM

Dislike anything that keeps the airport open. Pilots flying out of there have NO respect for the people who live below

Anonymous

8/01/2023 02:04 PM

this will help with the tight housing market but only a little bit

Anonymous

8/01/2023 02:25 PM

Would support if housing was focused primarily on affordable housing for teachers and emergency service providers.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 02:29 PM

It's more important to provide housing than cater to the few who want to fly for fun.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 03:22 PM

I suppose this is the best compromise between the two options, but i worry that new residents will gripe about airplane noise even though the airport was there first

Anonymous

8/01/2023 03:35 PM

I like that it provides space for an airport restaurant and viewing area.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:04 PM

Restricting the airport isn't a good idea given the current shortage of aviation related professionals in both flying and maintenance roles.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:31 PM

Like....Limited airport use.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:36 PM

Keeping the airport but adding housing beats the first 2.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:41 PM

More community engagement, more opportunities for additional educational opportunities

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:59 PM

Still adds too much noise, pollution and risk to surrounding communities. Boulder lacks infrastructure to handle more housing here.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:15 PM

I'm opposed to building more housing in close proximity to the airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:19 PM

Adding facilities that can be used for those interested in aviation helps make the airport more beneficial to numerous citizens.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:59 PM

The additional housing/working space seems nice, but the glider ports are a huge tourism draw. It'd be a economic loss to lose those businesses.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:30 PM

Use the whole site for housing. Housing can serve more people and it meets a basic need. It is a much better use of public resource since it is needed in Boulder.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:32 PM

This is my favorite of the scenarios, as it re-imagines the airport as the centerpiece of a community neighborhood and makes the most of its space and unique economic, safety and training capabilities

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:45 PM

Nonhousing

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:55 PM

No additional housing

Anonymous

8/01/2023 07:31 PM

It allows for the best of both worlds in adding housing and upkeep of the airport

Anonymous

8/01/2023 07:54 PM

Any improvement in housing is better than airport-only options.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 07:58 PM

I do not want any housing at the Boulder Municipal Airport. It would cause more traffic, noise, and pollution.

Anonymous

you cannot place limited housing on the airport land. there are much

8/01/2023 08:02 PM

better locations in Boulder to develop.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:08 PM

I dislike this scenario as it does not make sense

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:41 PM

I dislike flights for people's enjoyment. Those few people affect thousands of other people trying to enjoy peace and quiet at their homes and parks. Plus they pollute air and waste fossil fuel.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:12 PM

Good opportunity to make the airport more beneficial to the community with STEM, housing and education.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:39 PM

This feels like the area outside the airport is encroaching on the airport.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 02:03 AM

I believe the airport should be decommissioned

Anonymous

8/02/2023 04:29 AM

Oppose. It's a carrot for scenario 3 that still causes harm to the health of nearby neighborhoods.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 05:17 AM

Trying to jam more housing around the airport makes no sense. Maybe the city could use some of their weed infested and prairie dog infested land to develop housing on rather than near the airport.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:11 AM

Could be cool to add more community engagement with aviation, while modernizing airport, although not completely necessary.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:19 AM

no room for this

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:23 AM

We like having the airport around and it would be great to make it more accessible to the public, with educational and observation areas

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:36 AM

There should be no housing around the airport. Building homes around the airport is what is causing complaints. It is absurd to think people should move in next to an airport then have a say

Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:20 AM	Scenario 3 would still mostly serve the current user group, rather than the Boulder community at large. The valuable space could be put to better use.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:21 AM	Only for people involved in Aviation.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:23 AM	keeps airport intact. don't like idea of housing on airport grounds
Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:23 AM	There are plenty of places to build housing in Boulder County. No added housing around Boulder Airport for complaining neighbors.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:44 AM	Use the whole property for housing. Everybody needs it and it is in short supply.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 09:13 AM	We do not need more housing. We have a high amount of vacancies in our existing housing. We cannot accommodate more traffic and nor do we want the additional negative effects that come with more car
Anonymous 8/02/2023 09:52 AM	While Boulder needs more housing, it needs more hangers more.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 10:00 AM	I think this a best of both worlds option. The airport needs improvements but finding a way to get some housing in there is a good middle ground
Anonymous 8/02/2023 10:01 AM	Creating housing where these communities are even more exposed to Lead toxins and AV pollution and Noise pollution is not a great solution.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 10:07 AM	Drawing in more of the community outside of aviation would expand appreciation of the whole facility.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 10:37 AM	too much noise but nice to build neighborhood components
Anonymous 8/02/2023 12:13 PM	Exposure to noise and lead pollution has serious health consequences. Decommission the airport and use all of the land for

housing.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:14 PM

Do NOT add more housing. Maybe a community movie nights or a nice park to watch the planes and helicopters take off and land

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:53 PM

Dislike 100%. There is no need for more housing in this area.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:14 PM

I would support this only as a transitional step to using the entire site for housing and while the 20-year obligation to the FAA is being completed.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:40 PM

We have trouble with people living too near the airport as it is.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:43 PM

It allows the neighborhood to have accesses to it but not a lot of housing.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 01:59 PM

This is a bad option because airports need unobstructed space for planes to operate. It's dangerous to crowd an airport with taller buildings.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 02:05 PM

Don't need housing at sirport

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:02 PM

Caters to the community & includes STEM training opportunities

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:24 PM

Housing not necessary...but STEM training, public viewing, food area, with education opportunities about importance of flight ops would be valuable to many. Look at success offood truck at Vance Brand

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:35 PM

Aviation is one of the biggest green house gas emitters that adds to the climate change crisis. Recreational flying/gliders should be banned by Boulder as a first step toward reducing carbon emissions

Anonymous

8/02/2023 03:46 PM

Airport has been used in rescue missions and putting out countless fires

Anonymous
8/02/2023 06:20 PM
I don't like this idea because more housing will inevitably create more auto traffic congestion.

Anonymous
8/02/2023 06:43 PM
3 and 4 are bull. Focus on 1 and 2.

Anonymous
8/02/2023 07:44 PM
I do not support housing at the airport nor closing the airport. Let's put housing ANY number of other places in Boulder.

Anonymous
8/02/2023 07:50 PM
Feels like the neighborhood is squeezing out the airport

Anonymous
8/02/2023 08:01 PM
There are so many community spaces and restaurants in Boulder and SO MUCH available retail space right now. The airport is something unique and special about Boulder.

Anonymous
8/02/2023 08:07 PM
Dislike. Higher-value uses exist. See <https://boulderbeat.news/2023/07/26/opinion-airport-redevelopment/amp/>

Anonymous
8/02/2023 08:18 PM
Adding housing and other services into the airport would impair the ability of the airport to perform its functions, and hurt the experiences of boulder residences.

Anonymous
8/02/2023 08:38 PM
I do not support this option.

Mandatory Question (961 response(s))

Question type: Single Line Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q7 | What do you like and/or dislike about Scenario 4: Decommission Airport and Create New Neighborhood?

Anonymous
7/13/2023 08:58 PM
The FAA would never allow this and it's not fair to the community to even have this option.

Anonymous 7/14/2023 07:19 AM	This is deceptive. It should read "Decommission Airport ... in 20 years after Grant Assurances have elapsed"
Anonymous 7/14/2023 11:57 AM	Current use is loud and disruptive, path and elevation rules rarely followed. This would create much needed living space for Boulder.
Anonymous 7/14/2023 12:07 PM	This is the best solution. It fits in with Boulder's needs and proposed visions for affordable housing and environmental stewardship.
Anonymous 7/15/2023 07:50 PM	More needs in Boulder for housing and neighborhood than a marginal, costly airport
Anonymous 7/16/2023 08:49 AM	The possibilities are endless! This is the most logical.
Anonymous 7/16/2023 12:11 PM	This is the only option that really appeals to myself and most of the neighbors I've spoken to in Gunbarrel who are affected by the all-day, every day touch-and-go training and glider two planes
Anonymous 7/16/2023 02:17 PM	Decommissioning the airport will allow the land to be repurposed and provide a much broader community benefit in the form of housing and neighborhood services to the city of Boulder.
Anonymous 7/16/2023 04:30 PM	The airport serves recreational pilots, of whom are a small percentage of the population. Yet, tens of thousands of Boulderites are impacted by the noise & pollution for enjoyment of the few.
Anonymous 7/16/2023 05:11 PM	This would be a wonderful option. Please, let's move towards more sustainability!
Anonymous 7/16/2023 05:13 PM	This is the only option for s sustainable future.
Anonymous 7/16/2023 08:01 PM	Like: help with Boulder's housing needs. Dislike:???
Anonymous 7/16/2023 08:30 PM	This is what Boulder needs.

Anonymous 7/16/2023 11:13 PM	We need the space and it makes sense for sustainability. However any toxins must be cleaned up
Anonymous 7/17/2023 05:32 AM	Ridiculous "option"! We need our complete airport! Hangar improvements & additions on South side necessary.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 05:38 AM	This plan removes a significant asset to the city of Boulder and replaces it with more housing congestion
Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:33 AM	Do not want the airport decommissioned
Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:01 AM	Inappropriate to dissolve a unique asset like the airport. Housing units can be created elsewhere, and the city should mandate, deed restrictions on more housing units, increase affordable %
Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:18 AM	We don't need more neighborhoods but we need more spaces and airports are spaces for people to look out and up together rather than in and down as often happens in neighborhood planning such as
Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:54 AM	Why is the city always pushing for more population here? How big do they want Boulder? It's crowded enough already.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 09:35 AM	Stops lead and noise pollution. Creates a livable space.
harmsalt 7/17/2023 09:39 AM	I think creating a new neighborhood from scratch would be extremely promising, since we can tie affordable housing with transportation / walking oriented design!
Anonymous 7/17/2023 10:18 AM	This is my ideal plan. Would be a great way to create a brand new neighborhood with housing, businesses, and spaces for community
Anonymous 7/17/2023 12:28 PM	More rentals? Sure, maybe we need some new rentals, but the only people I see being truly served by the lack of small homes to buy, are landlords and developers.
Anonymous	This is the best use of the land-the city can provide a lot of new

7/17/2023 01:16 PM	housing density in a location close to jobs and other uses
<p>dundorf</p> <p>7/17/2023 01:40 PM</p>	<p>This is a vital resource for transportation, recreation, and emergency services. This would be a great loss for the community</p>
<p>Anonymous</p> <p>7/17/2023 03:06 PM</p>	<p>It's far from current services and amenities and we could stand to infill areas closer to downtown instead of pull services and infrastructure to the east</p>
<p>Anonymous</p> <p>7/17/2023 03:36 PM</p>	<p>It best addresses the lack of affordable housing in Boulder County, while also providing a long-term ecological benefit. There would be less noise, less pollution and better connected..</p>
<p>Anonymous</p> <p>7/17/2023 03:50 PM</p>	<p>We don't need more growth.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p> <p>7/17/2023 04:15 PM</p>	<p>I love that it helps address the need for housing in Boulder. I also believe it's a better land use for a site so central to our community. I like that it removes the environmental pollution/noise.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p> <p>7/17/2023 04:20 PM</p>	<p>This would have the greatest community benefit as it may provide critical housing as we are in a nation-wide shortage.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p> <p>7/17/2023 04:24 PM</p>	<p>YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES!!! WE CANNOT POSSIBLY DO BETTER THAN TO ELIMINATE THIS HUGE SOURCE OF AIR AND NOISE POLLUTION AND REPLACE IT WITH AFFORDABLE, DENSE HOUSING!! PLEASE????</p>
<p>Anonymous</p> <p>7/17/2023 06:03 PM</p>	<p>Economically damaging and in violation of FAA grant. I would move my business out of Boulder if airport closes.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p> <p>7/17/2023 06:23 PM</p>	<p>Housing is needed in the Front Range and this site has incredible amenities. Perhaps the airport can be relocated with improvements.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p> <p>7/17/2023 06:33 PM</p>	<p>Everything! We desperately need housing and this site has some great amenities. The prison is not an issue.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>No way. UC needs to limit enrollment until THEY fix student housing.</p>

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/17/2023 07:14 PM

Don't put it on current residents to fix.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 07:23 PM

I don't like that it get rid of the airport just to put in "affordable" housing that won't be affordable and puts more tracked homes in Boulder.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 07:42 PM

No. Why do this? Boulder a great place to live because we have not jammed housing everywhere. It has a great diversity of activities and the airport is one of them, just like pools, bike trails, etc..

Anonymous

7/17/2023 08:36 PM

I like the preservation of emergency response aviation and additional open space

Anonymous

7/17/2023 08:54 PM

This is a terrible idea and unsafe.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 09:20 PM

Don't like

Anonymous

7/17/2023 09:43 PM

No! Keep the airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:12 AM

We need an airport; this is important to us.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 05:18 AM

We need more housing.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:18 AM

Like that noise would stop and many more can benefit from a better use of the land

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:20 AM

Boulder is over capacity already. We need to reduce additional traffic and people moving in to Boulder.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:25 AM

Would not like to see it decommissioned. Doubt there are any other options for aviation

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:43 AM

we have a housing crisis please make it affordable. also allow ADUs in boulder county because there is a housing crisis there too

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:07 AM

Absolute NO. Not the place. We need the Airport!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:38 AM

LIKE EVERYTHING changes the neighborhood and adds to the city

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:57 AM

For the love of God. Stop building. Stop taking away the views of the mountains. If you build a neighborhood here, they will make you move the jail and mental health next. Stop doing this to our city

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:01 AM

I'd prefer more open space over a new neighborhood. Boulder's claim to fame is open space. We need a train to Denver and not the build a new development

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:02 AM

Like: reduced noise, ozone, GHG emissions. Opportunity to build high efficiency, zero-emission housing stock. Dislike: more housing = more congestion, more carbon to build housing . . .

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:06 AM

I don't like that it would most likely be tailored toward the poor.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:07 AM

There are many underutilized areas in Boulder that can become housing, the airport serves an economic and recreational purpose and should not be decommissioned

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:22 AM

I do not support further housing, as Boulder is already over saturated.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:43 AM

It uses valuable land space for affordable housing and would make Boulder a slightly more equitable place than it is currently. And an opportunity to build a new sustainable neighborhood model.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:43 AM

Like: Leverages city ownership of land to create large-scale community benefit (housing and complete neighborhoods)

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:50 AM

This is the highest and best use. Also enables City to directly address affordability instead of relying on developers to address it.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:01 AM

Boulder needs more housing, and this housing needs to be affordable and walkable. Decommissioning the airport would improve noise and air pollution and provide housing space.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:24 AM

There is significant open space and planned housing space the city already has paid for and is in reserve for housing needs. Why remove CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE that will be forever gone for this?

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:34 AM

Boulder is a small town. Please stop adding on to it with more real estate. It's becoming a busy city with more crime.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:45 AM

Boulder does not need more population. Streets are stressed to the max now. It is a nonsensical pipe dream that building more housing would result in "affordable housing". It would only be subsidiz

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:47 AM

Boulder is having a huge housing crisis with missing middle, this would help provide varied options and potentially help with the lack of diversity in Boulder.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:49 AM

this is a terrible idea to a huge community of people whose livelihood depends on aviation. i am vehemently opposed to all such plans to decommission the boulder airport

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:59 AM

Please do not decommission the airport!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:00 AM

Air Traffic will be rerouted to Rocky Mountain Metropolitan which will greatly impact our lives in Superior/Louisville

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:02 AM

We'd be caving to millionaires & marginalizing those that move there by putting them where Boulder gov puts everything they need but don't want. It also hurts our diversity of experience & economy.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:07 AM

I think the airport is a vital part of what makes Boulder Boulder. We would lose an important part of the community without it.

Anonymous

I think this option would lose an amazing social and economic

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/18/2023 10:07 AM

resource. There's a vibrant community surrounding aviation in Boulder, that I'm glad is here and I'm proud to be part of it.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:14 AM

Dislike the entire concept of removing the airport. It is important to have the airport and keep it useable.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:14 AM

Solves Boulder's housing problem.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:16 AM

I hate the scenario because the airport ceases to exist

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:17 AM

The airport is essential for forest fire fighting and medical services

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:19 AM

The decommission of the airport will not only be costly due to the repayment of federal loans, robbing other more vital projects of funds, but it will also remove a vital area for practical learning.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:24 AM

I think this is a bad idea and will not get rid of airplanes Broomfield still has an airport so does Longmont go buy land east of north boulder and develop there

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:25 AM

I wouldn't mind the airport going away, just as long as there is a helicopter patch to use for emergencies.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:28 AM

Terrible idea that would be a huge loss to the community the airport brings a lot of potential to the community and puts boulder on the map as a place to go.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:33 AM

I live in the Velo condos next door to the airport. While i am not personally bothered by the airport - having a coffee house, restaurants, neighbors would be great.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:35 AM

Again, we need this airport for educational purposes. Getting in to fly at Broomfield is harder due to their commercial use, so this is a great spot for students

Anonymous

Absolutely not. We do not need anymore housing out by the jail.

7/18/2023 10:38 AM

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:40 AM

I'm feeling a false dichotomy here... you could sunset fixed wing operations and still maintain a heliport *AND* build a neighbourhood.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:41 AM

Very much dislike!!!! Decommissioning the airport should not be an option.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:42 AM

I believe the airport is extremely important to the local economy and environment. During the 2014 floods, the airport was extremely important. It's also vital during busy fire seasons.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:44 AM

This is a devastating loss to the community and many people will suffer a lost ability to pursue careers and passions because of this. As well it reduces the diversity and interest of the city.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:48 AM

Idiotic. The airport is vital. The FAA will fight the city. This is a waste of money. Boulder has plenty of other places to build housing.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:50 AM

The airport has a profound economic impact. The cost of paying back the FAA grants and developing the land for housing will lend itself only to high-cost and unaffordable housing developments.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:52 AM

Does boulder have the infrastructure to handle that many more houses?

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:53 AM

Scenario #4 is the only option that restores local control of regulating aircraft pollution , which is an essential requirement. Boulder citizens should choose how the land is repurposed.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:55 AM

Terrible. Where will we fly??

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:57 AM

Absolutely not. Airport is economic resource, important for emergency flood and fire scenarios as have been frequent in Boulder County in recent decades.

Anonymous

no need

7/18/2023 11:02 AM

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:03 AM

Absolutely not. Decommissioning the airport is a short-sided, politically driven move to address a tiny fraction of the noise issues in Boulder. A new neighborhood will still be prohibitively expensive

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:04 AM

This would handicap emergency response and eliminate a use that has been in Boulder longer than most residents

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:04 AM

Bad idea

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:10 AM

We NEED airports to grow aviation for the future of the industry. Longmont is overfilled.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:20 AM

Better use of the available land than private aviation.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:24 AM

Disagree with the air pollution and noise from the airport, especially near surrounding neighborhoods

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:30 AM

I am 100% concerned about the airport continuing, not housing in this area. I dislike weighing housing needs over aviation needs. Focus on lowering taxes not destroying airports. Stop burning tax revenue

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:30 AM

There's an enough new building in Boulder. The places are never actually affordable like promised

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:35 AM

This is the most appropriate use for this valuable land. This provides the most common good for the entire community.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:36 AM

Dislike getting rid of a historical institution that has been part of Boulder longer than most people have been living

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:36 AM

UNNECESSARY

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:51 AM

I moved here to be close to the airport. I don't want to see it closed.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:00 PM

An airport is a toxic site with fuels, oils, solvents. Clean up is extremely costly to repurpose for residential use. A shame to lose a gem of an airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:07 PM

The airport is critical infrastructure and valuable community space. Decommissioning the airport benefits only those who would profit from the redevelopment.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:12 PM

Horrible idea.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:52 PM

Don't need more housing there.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:26 PM

the airport is important for our economy, for foothill/mountain fire, search and rescue. closing it diminishes the community in many ways and puts search and fire missions at risk

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:34 PM

Everything! General aviation is for cross eyed retards. I hope every Cessna crashes

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:35 PM

We don't need a new neighborhood nearly as much as we need to continue airport operations.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:36 PM

Balance J/H. Dislike more population and housing.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:39 PM

Absolutely not an option in my opinion - see answer in #6

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:40 PM

We need housing pretty badly! It would be great to have some options here.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:41 PM

I think its important to have a local airstrip for future proofing (fire, potential alternative modes of transportation, etc.)

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:52 PM

The new neighborhood would only serve middle class for a short time period. As time goes on, those houses become more expensive,

eventually leading them to be for the high class, not middle.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 12:59 PM

This is an extreme idea. A community the size of Boulder needs an airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:01 PM

Ridiculus. the airport is needed to serve as an emergency center if needed. Stop Building more homes

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:04 PM

Worse option. Airport is a great asset for the city

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:10 PM

We do not need more housing. We need a place for emergency services to protect our existing housing.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:10 PM

I don't like that I won't be able to use the airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:25 PM

Really dislike. No new airports are being built. The current airport preserves "open space" and the skyline. We don't need more people or houses in boulder. It only leads to congestion.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:29 PM

I do not believe that a new neighborhood would help anyone but the landlords. I see this as nothing but a greedy attempt to buy up and profit from this land under the guise of "affordability"

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:30 PM

not necessary

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:35 PM

Ridiculous. We need the airport and the emergency functions it can provide

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:38 PM

Vehicular traffic is already far too great in Boulder. Adding another sprawl with houses or condos just exacerbates the issues.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 01:39 PM

I do not like this plan. The airport is a good thing. Why do we feel the need to get rid of a diverse activity in this town? Because it is not as popular as hiking, or biking? So get rid of it?

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:41 PM</p>	<p>This would result in the loss of a valuable community resource and a large pressure placed on the roads and other local airports. While housing is important there is no way to replace the airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:47 PM</p>	<p>This is the worst option. The airport offers many unique business and opportunities including open space which a neighborhood would destroy.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:06 PM</p>	<p>If it's for need based housing , yes. NOT grey star crap , nice adequate housing with community gardens. Practice what you all preach. People are angry here. Paying obscene rents</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:14 PM</p>	<p>This will not become affordable housing. The only reason the housing near the airport now is affordable is because of the airport. You remove that and it becomes high end housing</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:15 PM</p>	<p>.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:18 PM</p>	<p>I dislike that the airport will be decommissioned.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:35 PM</p>	<p>super bad idea - especially if government run. we need an airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:39 PM</p>	<p>I dislike everything about this. If you need more housing or commercial, develop up downtown or use the myriad of flatland around Boulder. Don't let the NIMBYs win and take away our airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:47 PM</p>	<p>Boulder needs more than just housing</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:55 PM</p>	<p>Desperate need for affordable housing in boulder and this provides an opportunity to dream big and ACT big on redesigning a mixed use neighborhood with affordable housing, cafes, and workspaces</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/18/2023 03:03 PM</p>	<p>Boulder's biggest challenge is the extreme and accelerating price of housing. Developing housing with lots of modest sizes and lots of permanently affordable is the top priority!</p>

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:09 PM

I like that the airport would be decommissioned.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:31 PM

This will not help Boulder. It will only add more cars to the road.
Existing residential areas must be designated as high density and must have commercial areas within walking distance.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:40 PM

There is limited land in Boulder - use it for the better for all, not the enjoyment of few

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:41 PM

I like that it repurposes this land as something valuable to many more residents of Boulder. I also love that it will reduce the constant weekend droning of glider pull planes, which is a nuisance.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:45 PM

Best use of land to benefit all of Boulder instead of 200 residents. We have very limited buildable land in Boulder and this would be an amazing opportunity to do something impactful .

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:45 PM

Best scenario. Airport needs to be closed. In 1928 it was great. It's in the middle of a city now and serves recreational needs for a very few.
LET IT GO!!!!!!!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:50 PM

This needs to happen.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:57 PM

A city with aerospace companies and education needs an airport.
Also helpful for emergency coverage and additional fire staging

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:04 PM

Our natl airport/airspace system is as vital to our future prosperity/security as our train and highway systems. Aviation capability trickles up from GA to pleasure, business, and DOD aviation.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:20 PM

Flat out stupid. The airport can be a vital resource and a necessary public good, especially in emergencies

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:21 PM

The radical thinking will just move it to someone else's neighborhood.
And the people That want this don't care about other people in the least.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:35 PM

Best choice for serving the public interest especially if it has parks and trails

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:42 PM

dumber still ... who comes up with this??

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:54 PM

Gets rid of airport and adds housing. Flying planes endangers lives and is not a wise use of resources. Would rather see housing that enables us to better care for neighbors.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:59 PM

This is the worst option. Whatever housing is added will not compensate for the loss of the airport. Please drop this

Anonymous

7/18/2023 05:09 PM

Despicable and short-sighted idea. Aviation is a spectacularly growing industry with multiple high paying job opportunities. Closing our airport shuts out local youth especially the disadvantaged

Anonymous

7/18/2023 05:18 PM

This scenario seeks to provide meaningful, wide-spread benefits to the community. Building a new neighborhood with commercial center similar to the Lucky's market shops is the best use of this land.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 05:37 PM

It would contribute to Boulder's extreme environmental "not in back yard" reputation. It would force current airplanes and activities to go to neighboring airports putting more pressure on them.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 05:43 PM

Awful idea

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:09 PM

I do not like this option at all because it destroys a vital functionality of Boulder. In addition, it will cost the city a lot of money to pay back the FAA and decommission the airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:11 PM

Best option. Increases housing which is badly needed. Eliminates noise and emissions from air traffic.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:11 PM

I like because it Creates more housing and limits airplane noise and environmental hazards

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:29 PM

This is insane. Once it's gone, you can't get it back. When public space is turned into housing, it never goes back. Why don't we just turn open space into housing? Because it kills quality of life.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:33 PM

Like how this benefits more than a few rich hobbyist

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:48 PM

This is the best option. The airport is not vital to the economy, it benefits very few people, and causes noise and lead pollution. Boulder needs more housing. This option benefits the most people.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:56 PM

Thus is the only responsible choice for values of sustainability, safety, economic vitality, healthy and livable. It's currently a black mark for Boulder.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:40 PM

Shut it down. I have nightly harrassment touch and go's directly over my Gunbarrel home, well North of the flight patter for noise abatement. Increasing since filing a complaint against Spartan Ed.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:46 PM

I do not like this idea. The airport is important to our family and our community and decommissioning it would be a big loss. Please do not decommission the airport.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 07:57 PM

This option just seems like a developer land grab & won't solve the housing problem, even if affordable housing. Boulder needs an airport otherwise traffic won't be distributed evenly.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:05 PM

i would hate to see our lovely airport go, I really enjoy watching the planes, and even flying them myself.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 08:35 PM

It would be more peaceful and provide more housing.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:12 PM

I like the leaded fuel will no longer be dropped on residents of Boulder continuously.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:37 PM

Close the airport - why!!!

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:37 PM

I feel like this is the better option. No lead pollution, no noise, and more affordable housing which is 100% one of the main things Boulder fails at.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:57 PM

We have a housing crisis not a rich people aviation crisis

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:40 PM

Closing the airport is expensive, legally problematic, and causes needless hardship. There are other housing solutions. We already have an airport and there is much demand for it, let's keep it.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:20 PM

Loss of the airport would be a significant negative development for Boulder and would likely lead to the loss of other valuable community features such as the golf course (too much water), etc.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 12:17 AM

It's time to reduce the noise and pollution in Boulder. Resources should be put into the Jeffco airport instead of patching Boulder's

Anonymous

7/19/2023 12:25 AM

I'd rather we keep the airport!!! If we remove it now then want it back, how and where would that ever possibly happen? Please don't remove it! We need our future pilots & other potential future uses!

Anonymous

7/19/2023 03:16 AM

I love no more loud planes disturbing the peace and less dangerous lead and other pollutants in the air we breathe. I love a new community will planned open space and affordable housing.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 05:08 AM

Affordable housing is an important goal, but removing the airport is not the answer. The airport is vital to the people who currently use it and has potential to be expanded for education!

Anonymous

7/19/2023 05:26 AM

Building single family homes with airport land would be a crime against humanity. Even high density, walkable housing would be pointless because the airport is so far from the city center.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:03 AM

Housing + people = economic vitality. Boulder is becoming rich people only. Make \$150k as a household and can barely afford to rent here - buying impossible. No housing = no people = dying economy

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:16 AM

The airport is needed. This is not an appropriate use of funds and displaces this vital asset

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:23 AM

Decommissioning the airport is asinine. There is hundreds of thousands of acres available to the city to consider for housing not the airport

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:37 AM

We need more housing!!

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:49 AM

I use the airport as a glider pilot. I would be extremely saddened to see the airport closed.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:50 AM

The cost that would be involved with the FAA Grant Assurances & legal process to decommission it

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:55 AM

We desperately need housing. Great location with supermarket, bike trails and parks.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:58 AM

I love this idea because Boulder needs more housing. The land could be used to help so many people instead of serving as a playground for only a few wealthy aviation enthusiasts.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:07 AM

Yes! We need more housing of all types.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:12 AM

This would be a disaster. There are not enough small airports in the area.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:13 AM

I want KBDU to be a part of this city and county for the long haul. It is special and a vital piece of infrastructure to this county in times of emergency and for visitors.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:17 AM

Cities like Boulder are attractive to people who use aircraft for business reasons.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:24 AM	Terrible idea, this is a great little airport that has served the Boulder area well for decades
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:29 AM	I like nothing about this plan. Boulder continues to look for ways to destroy our community by adding more people to a confined area.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:37 AM	YAY! We do not want to support wasteful and unsustainable habits such as private planes and leisure flying - no way! More houses, creative use of space to support lower income folks!!
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:37 AM	Ridiculous. If you want to create a new neighborhood, carve out some less historically significant land from Open Space and turn that into a new neighborhood. DO NOT DECOMMISSION THE AIRPORT!
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:53 AM	When is the city going to learn to live sustainably, instead of constantly growing and with an ever expanding population?
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:54 AM	This is preferable as it would benefit our community
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:11 AM	In order to keep a healthy and safe community we need an airport. With flood, fire and other natural disasters coming for frequently. You are putting our community at risk.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:16 AM	This scenario is incredibly wasteful. We lose an amazing resource and destroy part of our community (those involved in aviation). This is incredibly expensive and likely impossible due to FAA grants.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:27 AM	Housing is desperately needed and thus location is great! Proceeds could be used for other trnsponeds
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:52 AM	Worst possible outcome. The city will owe millions of dollars to the federal government and waste a vital resource.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 09:02 AM	Absolutely NOT!
Anonymous	Want more affordable housing? Require SFH to be owner-occupied.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/19/2023 09:06 AM

Investors own this city. The NY financier who stands to gain by this plan sits on the board. This is just another money-grab. What's new

Anonymous

7/19/2023 09:24 AM

This is a very bad idea as it would destroy an amazing resource and prevent educational opportunities

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:17 AM

Don't like the airport going away, the nation needs more pilots to meet the demand

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:20 AM

airport is a lifeline in case of emergencies

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:31 AM

I like Scenario 4 because it is the only option that addresses the primary concerns of noise/pollution and makes space for much better uses of the land. There is nothing I dislike about Scenario 4.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:40 AM

Destroys local aviation needs

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:43 AM

Boulder county and the region would lose across the board, missing the opportunity to make the airport more vital, serve the community and leave the county without the inspiration an airport provides

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:46 AM

The community doesn't need this airport. Let's build housing for those who cant afford Boulder prices.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:56 AM

Every area of Boulde has become a City land grab for affordable housing we need areas like to airport to fulfill various community needs including those that are vital to disaster response and recre

Anonymous

7/19/2023 11:12 AM

Boulder is the home to some of the best glider flying in the United States. This would be lost if the airport was decommissioned. Gliding is part of Boulder's uniqueness.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 11:50 AM

Don't think housing should be there

Anonymous

7/19/2023 12:21 PM

Dislike: Reduces number of skilled aviation jobs available. Reduces transportation options in/out of Boulder.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 01:02 PM

completely object to decommissioning

Anonymous

7/19/2023 01:11 PM

The airport is a valuable community resource which should be preserved. There is plenty of land for new residential development and losing the airport will have lasting negative impacts.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 02:22 PM

what I don't like about option 4- see one and three above. keep the awesome airport and better balance housing/jobs by re-zoning office to residential. try looking around. office is empty.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 02:26 PM

Already too many people (for the infrastructure and roads) living here.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 04:36 PM

Dislike = where's the airport? Pushes the externalities of airport operations (e.g., noise) to other communities.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 04:39 PM

WE REALLY NEED HOUSING!!!!!!!

Anonymous

7/19/2023 04:45 PM

Housing is a desperate need here as all over the country.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 04:50 PM

Absolutely not

7/19/2023 05:24 PM

Our communitiy has a desperate housing shortage. This could provide a real and meaningful boost to our housing stock.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:17 PM

On word.....Stupid idea. An airport is a gem to a community

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:35 PM

The trade off on more housing in place of an airport is a huge loss all the way around. Airports bring in funding and foster STEM fields and interest from the community.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:51 PM

Love it! Housing is needed.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:04 PM

This is awful. It's destroying a legacy resource, limiting safety options, hurting inspiration options for youth and damaging education pipeline.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:04 PM

This is a great idea - housing is in great demand and the proceed could be used for several city projects.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:11 PM

Y

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:37 PM

It eliminates the airport. No more planes flying overhead (some very noisy) and no more noxious fuel that is not good for our health.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:40 PM

Terrible idea, there's plenty of room in other areas for new neighborhoods. There's a pilot shortage and we need all the new pilots we can get to help us out in the future

Anonymous

7/19/2023 07:56 PM

Best idea of the four. Lots of people want to live here.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:22 PM

We don't want to expand Boulder and we have no limits on commercial buildings. Enough is enough.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:32 PM

I do not agree to decommission the airport. Adding housing would be okay as long as it doesn't become a crime enclave.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:40 PM

The airport is an important part of Boulder. Decommissioning it would negatively impart the area and adding a few more houses would not offset that negative impact.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:39 PM

I use the airport regularly. This is a terrible option.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:47 PM

Boulder is turning into condos. It seem, every time a major Boulder icon is demolished. It seems they are replaced by condos.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 08:47 PM

I feel like this has the most potential for the city's needs and priorities, which for me is housing (especially affordable/mid-market) first. The airport land is a big opportunity to make an impact.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 10:21 PM

We need more affordable housing

Anonymous

7/20/2023 01:22 AM

I don't like that it will be more housing that will make boulder more crowded and cause more traffic, but I'd like the airport to be decommissioned for health reasons and also noise.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:21 AM

Don't do it! We need a small community airport for a variety of reasons. Find other places to develop housing!

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:35 AM

This is EGREGIOUS! Our airport is valuable, and well used! To take it away from the citizens of Boulder to build more boxes of people, when our infrastructure cannot handle what we have is ABSURD!

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:36 AM

Housing is necessary and the airport is not. Tax revenue should be spent on necessities.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:48 AM

Excellent idea! There is no better place in Boulder to add housing.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:03 AM

There is a housing shortage across Colorado. This is an excellent location for neighborhoods.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:26 AM

Clean, quiet, helps housing problem.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:32 AM

Love it! I want to buy a house and can't find any on the market. North Boulder had so many excellent amenities. If the airport wasn't here it should be even more attractive.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:32 AM

Thinking of the abomination on 4th St. and Sunshine canyon, isn't that enough ruining of beautiful places in Boulder?

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:45 AM

I like this idea best, but I do feel we need a small airport - not for tourism and glory flights - but for emergency and fire fighting planes. We need cheaper housing options, and we always open space

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:02 AM	Least favorable. I don;t get why we would consider losing a useful aspect of our community for some low end housing far from amenities. Terrible idea, probably checks a box to "find housing location"
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:03 AM	Housing is needed across the country and Colorado is especially in need of more housing..
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:17 AM	The helipad benefits the larger community and if used for primarily for emergency services will not be so objectionable to occupants of residences which help the city achieve other important goals.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:18 AM	More housing would be terrific since I have been wanting to buy for over five years.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:21 AM	The city would need to pay millions for the property to compensate the FAA monetary expenses incurred. Nmany people would like living near an emissions test facility and County prison.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:42 AM	I do like more housing to cut down on traffic congestion.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 10:06 AM	Yes, housing is much needed for all types of buyers. It has great amenities.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 10:50 AM	This option is most beneficial in my opinion. More affordable housing options. Better use of land. Less pollution. Less climate heating.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 11:03 AM	Yes, we need the housing.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 11:41 AM	Yes, I would live to buy a place in NoBo, especially if the airport goes away.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 11:46 AM	Yes, let's build housing and neighborhood serving retail on this space. Build Affordable Housing and middle income housing, not luxury mansions.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/20/2023 11:49 AM	The airport is too important when it comes to forest fire fighting, as well as giving character to the skies of our city.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 12:01 PM	We need more well-designed neighbors that have Anneliese for all family types.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 12:43 PM	Sounds like the best alternative. Many people want to live in Boulder and need housing.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 02:04 PM	Decommissioning the airport is something I am vehemently against.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 02:06 PM	This should not even be considered. How many millions of dollars will the taxpayers of Boulder be on the hook for to pay back all of the funding the FAA has provided? I assume that this is millions.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 02:11 PM	Let's focus on existing housing opportunities, like the Planning Reserve, that we own outright with no FAA issues. This is just a distraction for the city.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 02:20 PM	I don't like the expansion of the land to more housing. Boulder has a growth problem -- too much new housing!
Anonymous 7/20/2023 02:58 PM	Short sighted, expensive payback to FAA, we need more future pilots
Anonymous 7/20/2023 03:05 PM	Seriously? It would cost millions of dollars in a fight with the FAA to close the airport. A waste of tax payer money. The airport has been here since 1928 & people moved there knowing it was there
Anonymous 7/20/2023 03:22 PM	Not having a thriving airport in Boulder reduces our unique capacity of being a city with atmospheric scientists that conduct aviation scientific missions. The airport is a valuable asset to our town.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 03:25 PM	Killing the airport requires a fight with the FAA and every long term occupant. Do we really want to waste tens of millions of dollars on a losing fight? Plus, Boulder NEEDS an airport!

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 03:31 PM</p>	<p>The airport property is beautiful-- flat, developable, and with an awesome view. It overlooks the town and would be a great neighborhood. This is the last, big, open developable property in Boulder</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 03:39 PM</p>	<p>Yes, housing at all levels (affordable and market-rate) is needed.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 03:50 PM</p>	<p>The airport is a community asset and the short term gain of adding housing at this location will never solve our housing problem but we will lose a community asset that can never be regained.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 04:02 PM</p>	<p>We need housing. We don't need subsidized pollution. This is a no brainer.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 04:12 PM</p>	<p>Everyone must have forgotten the 2013 flood alreadyThen the . Was a superior command post with 3, 500 rescues. The fires also had excellent backup at the Boulder Airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 06:18 PM</p>	<p>I like it since I would like to buy a house.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 06:28 PM</p>	<p>This is a much better use for the site and our public land.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 06:45 PM</p>	<p>NO MORE HOUSING</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 06:45 PM</p>	<p>This idea is the best outcome. People and family need housing and there are good schools in NoBo.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:10 PM</p>	<p>I have been trying to buy in Boulder for 4 years and there isn't enough housing. So I like this option the most.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:22 PM</p>	<p>We do not need more housing.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:10 PM</p>	<p>More houses have shown only to raise the values thru out of state investors, second homes and the low wage jobs leaving and being replaced by apple, Google, ball aero, ...</p>

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:40 PM

serves more of community; we need more housing. Gets rid of loud, smelly, toxic airport that serves a handful of people

Anonymous

7/20/2023 08:41 PM

I dislike this scenario. I am totally against decommissioning the airport. Airports such as this one provide a start for future pilots. We need more pilots as demonstrated recently.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:03 AM

This survey is garbage without data supporting it or other possible uses for the area. How used is the airport, how else can it be used? Options are elimination or fix up, thats it? Uncreative.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:49 AM

This would be such a negative to the City of Boulder. We do NOT need more housing! The area is rural. More housing increases traffic and crime. Environmental Impact: hundreds of houses/cars is negativ

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:10 AM

I want to keep the airport functioning. Housing will add to city congestion

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:14 AM

It's sad to me to think that you would even consider this, you're building a bunch of crappy housing all over town. It's gone too far

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:51 AM

Need for affordable & more housing in Boulder is dire

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:54 AM

Can create more affordable housing and opportunities for small businesses

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:08 AM

More traffic in the area

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:08 AM

HORRIBLE IDEA

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:17 AM

We need to build more housing in order to reduce the cost of living in Boulder, to make our city more equitable. And what better way than removing an airport of dubious value.

Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:24 AM	The housing isn't identified as low or middle income, but I would hope it could be for our workers in Boulder. We need housing for professionals like teachers, law enforcement, and city workers.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:27 AM	Having lived in Boulder since 1979, I think it would be sad if the airport closes all together since recreational pilots have been able to use it for a long time.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:33 AM	I don't think it's in our best interest to decommission the airport for above noted reasons
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:33 AM	So tired hearing about "Affordable" housing in the same sentence as water shortage and concerns about the environment. Boulder city is building everywhere.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:38 AM	Airport isn't impacting the livelihood nor impacting the quality of life of most. Housiing died
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:52 AM	This is not the way forward. Transportation and interstate commerce infrastructure is more important than the potential improvements. I think there are better ways to tackle the problems on the table.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:01 AM	Other nearby sirports can serve the pleasure and emergency tole of Boulder, it is a luxury that benefits very few
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:11 AM	Terrible idea. The airport makes Boulder special, and it would be far better to convert already-built office space to housing, especially given the work-from-home movement. Less jobs, less housing.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:14 AM	This is a terrible idea as the airport cannot be replaced.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:22 AM	compare the number of local people who use the airport to the number who are affected by the noise and air pollution!!!
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:30 AM	Love the idea of decommissioning airport but let's start small with limited housing and see how it goes. Let's start small w limited housing.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:36 AM

This is a non starter. The city has many other options for "affordable housing. The airport is primarily targeted for housing by the noise complainers.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:52 AM

We do NOT need more wealthy people moving into Boulder just to keep running out all the natives and working class people. There is an over abundance of greed in Boulder now. Don't put your problems ont

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:06 AM

More housing and no airport noise from people learning how to fly

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:06 AM

By creating a large amount of truly affordable housing, many of the people that commute into Boulder will be able to live within city limits, cutting down on VMT.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:07 AM

We need housing. Rich spewing lead over Boulder for their entertainment is not equitable, environmentally acceptable nor sustainable.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:17 AM

Best use of the land. Good for city. Good for the environment. We could finally connect Boulder to Gunbarrel.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:21 AM

I want to keep the airport. Using it for more housing is not going to solve the shortage; people will always keep wanting to come here

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:24 AM

Good for Boulder to have more housing. It must be to buy, no rent, good pricing and sizes. No more 5000 sq ft. BUT dislike it being driven by real estate developers. No trust there for good.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:27 AM

Many more residents would be served by more housing than the elite few who benefit from the use of the airport for expensive recreational flights

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:34 AM

This just adds more traffic, pollution and creates further problems.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:38 AM

There will be thousands of homes added with no real infrastructure added. What happens to traffic on Jay road in the morning/evening

after all the homes are added?

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:40 AM

decrease pollution/contamination from airport; could support building of low income/senior housing; NO interest in another high density, expensive build at all; could support reclaim as open space

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:49 AM

New, affordable, for purchase, housing would be awesome.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 09:58 AM

Like: the skies might be quieter, The City insists on squeezing housing into single family neighborhoods, so why not build a whole new neighborhood.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:14 AM

get rid of lead gas. get rid of overflight noise. the airport is a nuisance

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:28 AM

Housing is a huge priority

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:29 AM

Can put this large block of land to the best use, addressing the community's highest priority: PERMANENTLY affordable housing + mid-level housing

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:38 AM

Housing would solve many issura, and it is a good use of tax revenue and public land.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:45 AM

Yes, I can't afford to buy in Boulder, but maybe this could be more affordable housing which I could buy.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:46 AM

Very unfair and unjust for the established aviation community. Should we decommission north boulder park to create more housing? The disc golf course? The golf course? Why the airport?

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:56 AM

We need more housing, especially more dense and affordable. No more McMansions!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:03 AM

I think Boulder needs more housing and family-friendly neighbor. No other large site are available for planned development.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:31 AM

I grew up in Boulder and now live her with my family and would be very, very sad to see the whole airport disappear. This perspective is shared by many people in my extended Boulder family.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:31 AM

Stupid idea that is being progressed under false story by quiet sky groups. If you want to increase housing, use other land such as golf course or reserve. if noise reduction, improve planes

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:33 AM

Yes, as long as the area is tested and remediated for lead. But shut down tbe airport, regardless. for leas

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:36 AM

We need our airport. This is a technical community and we need the airport for technical companies and people!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:43 AM

My kids want to move back to Boulder but can't find anything. I would my children to return home, so yes, more housing!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:45 AM

This NO GO. My personal life and business all depend on existance of Boulder airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:48 AM

Dislike that it removes vital resource

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:11 PM

I strongly oppose decommissioning the airport for yet more housing. There is wasted commercial space in GB that could be put to better use as housing .

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

Yes, my husband would like to buy, but the supply of housing is really low. My husband works off 55th so this is a great location and we like the Valmont dog park.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

Closing the airport will eliminate the many community benefits and services that general aviation provides, especially during disasters or emergencies. We would also lose its econmic benefit.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:54 PM

Once the airport is gone, you cannot get it back. It's a important resource to the community, regardless of complaints that can be addressed.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:55 PM

Big negative impact on aviation support and service

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:00 PM

more housing more housing

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:05 PM

I dislike that this gets rid of the airport all together. It should stay

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:07 PM

an airport is a community resource, even if many do not directly utilize it, it allows local expertise (flight training), local resources for emergency response (fire, flood). Scenario 4 is a net loss

c2

7/21/2023 02:08 PM

See answer to #6.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:10 PM

I like having a new neighborhood for families and single people. It seems like everywhere in Colorado housing is in short supply..

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:18 PM

So many people need housing to rent or buy all along the Front Range. The city needs to do more to provide it and this would be a good parcel to develop.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:28 PM

I fully support this proposal. Let's build some dense apartments!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:33 PM

BMA is an important element for Boulder. Creating new neighborhoods in times of drought and environmental concerns seems short sighted. There are so many vacant buildings in Boulder that could be repu

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:54 PM

Additional housing, parks and amenities for our community

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:57 PM

This is the best possible use of the airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:01 PM

Dislike: I very strongly believe that decommissioning the airport is detrimental to Boulder, to the vitality of businesses supported by

Boulder's aviation, to B'der's cultural and environmental benef

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:03 PM

Mitigates all noise problems and ends leaded fuel crop dusting. Must do remediation to clean up hazardous sites and soil containing lead. Half should be affordable housing units - no cash-in-lieu.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:09 PM

Removes noise issue, uses land for much needed housing

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:16 PM

Housing is needed and there not enough of it. Regular people can't live in Boulder anymore. The airport site could be a nice neighborhood.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:18 PM

Airport should stay

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:21 PM

I do NOT want to see the airport closed; I think it serves very important functions: transportation, pilot training, emergency access, and fun..

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:22 PM

There seems to be plenty of land that could be used to build housing that would not involve demolishing pre existing critical infrastructure and the businesses and education programs associated w/it.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:25 PM

Like: Uses this land to benefit the whole city, by providing housing for the lowest-paid workers, who provide essential but undervalued services and who can least afford to travel to Boulder for work.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 03:48 PM

More housing would generate more cars, but it would still probably generate less noise and lead pollution. Lead is really bad for children and adults.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 04:24 PM

Never. To take away the airport and deny aviators the thing they love and that sustains them would be criminal. I do not fly but every pilot I know speaks of the amazing feeling it gives them.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 04:59 PM

I really like adding more housing. People who work or go to school in Boulder should live in Boulder to cut down on congestion and tailpipe emissions. This would be a good use of city resources.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:09 PM

Lots of businesses would move out.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:40 PM

Housing serves the broader citizenry and is a more equitable use of public resources.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:01 PM

Plenty of places to put neighborhoods. Almost none for airports.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:01 PM

I don't like the idea of decommissioning the airport

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:30 PM

If we close the Boulder airport I am concerned it would encourage Longmont to grow theirs. Their impact has a higher negative impact on life in Boulder County. I don't mind touch and go planes

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:44 PM

bad idea

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:07 PM

It seems like it would be the most expensive option. I think we should pay back the Federal Government what we owe. If airport is closed would like to see more options for this land.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:12 PM

If Boulder does it right this could be so cool. This could be a leading sustainable village in America. Let's make this a sustainable template for neighborhoods.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:20 PM

It looks like the only way to get rid of this screaming airport. It's constant!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:52 PM

I like that there's green space on the map. We need a good grocery store here!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:25 PM

Would not want to lose aviation access to and from Boulder

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:42 PM

Would need to know more about economic impact either way.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 06:19 AM

We don't *need* an airport. We do need housing

Anonymous

7/22/2023 06:55 AM

All groups: seniors, working families, singles, first-time buyers, retirees- are looking for housing. High mortgage rates are here for the long run make newly built homes the best option.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:09 AM

Terrible idea. We need to support aviation.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:31 AM

I I would like to stay in Boulder. If the airport site is dedicated to housing that may mean I will have more options that are affordable.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 07:52 AM

I would for my kids to stay in Boulder, so yes, housing is much preferred option.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 08:31 AM

Boulder downs need more people or housing, the airport is part of the recreational lifestyle.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 08:33 AM

Too expensive! City must repay FAA. Climate change is happening, we will have more fires. STUPID to get rid of near my emergency response because some old neighbors are a little upset with noise

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:20 AM

The city has spent so much on affordable housing, and this seems like the most effective way to help mitigate the housing shortage

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:29 AM

There is nothing to like about this - this is a typical Boulder initiative for aggrandisement! More homes are not needed, the infrastructure can't cope. And you'll be concreting over open space.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 09:37 AM

Total support it. Good use of tax dollars.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 10:04 AM

I do not like this idea as I'm concerned it could be a disaster without the proper oversight

Anonymous

7/22/2023 10:16 AM

I can envision amazing new housing development to replace the airport. North Boulder with the bike trails and open spaces offers a lot

of amenities. Don't waste it on airplanes.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 10:25 AM

Living in North Boulder nearer my work would be really nice.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 11:32 AM

Housing makes more sense than having the airport.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 11:39 AM

Adds to Boulder's tendency to sprawl instead of focus on more compact/infill development; represents a loss of potential for creative uses of the airport as an aviation learning hub/firefighting tool.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 12:25 PM

Absolutely think the airport is an asset to the community and would be sad and disappointed if it was shut down

Anonymous

7/22/2023 12:45 PM

Good option to add much needed housing, but at the expense of airport operations

Anonymous

7/22/2023 01:41 PM

I have lived in Boulder for 35 and I know no one who uses the airport. Meanwhile, I know another of people who are looking for housing. This area would be nice once the airport is decommissioned.

Anonymous

7/22/2023 02:31 PM

Why on earth would you close an airport? Is that even legal? This is typical Boulder thinking, clueless as always. How about we make it a big homeless shelter? That's a great idea!

Anonymous

7/22/2023 02:33 PM

Likes: none. Dislikes: Removes iconic hub of true GA, glider operations, and aviation in a science and aerospace-heavy community. Sacrifices differentiated experience when space available elsewhere

Anonymous

7/22/2023 03:14 PM

DISLIKE: COMPLETELY eliminates/ignores the flood mitigation, Emergency Services + wildlife buffer the airport currently provides! It also disregards the rural setting for airportneighboring residents

Anonymous

7/22/2023 04:49 PM

We need housing. This is an ideal location for it.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/22/2023 09:11 PM	We absolutely need an airport, and decommissioning it is a major mistake. For public safety, access, and the local flying community - it is vital we have an airfield in Boulder.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 07:42 AM	Housing critically low. A recent Denver Post article Co ered the fear renter's have of losing their housing. The councils goal of affordable housing could be met with this parcel. Do it, please.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 07:53 AM	We stop letting leaded fuel rain down on the public. Fairer use of a shared resource. Remove major source of noise pollution. Create desperately needed modern housing.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 08:05 AM	Yes. More housing, less airplanes.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 08:15 AM	The city desperately needs more housing, so I'm not against this option. But I would hate to see the airport entirely go away.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 08:39 AM	I want the airport to continue to exist.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 08:48 AM	My rent went way up over the last three years. Boulder needs more affordable housing or else only people with jets will be able to live here.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 08:50 AM	This is my first choice because living in North-Central Boulder I'm already feeling overwhelmed by prop plane noise.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 09:33 AM	Makes Boulder a monochromatic town. Just housing. Like Stapleton. Ugh
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:07 AM	I don't think the airport should be decommissioned.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:21 AM	Decommission it. I want kids to live in Boulder if they want when they grow up, but Boulder needs more housing for families and singles.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:48 AM	Loss of the airport would make Boulder and Boulder County a poorer place. The airport is an important amenity.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:54 AM

We need more housing in Boulder. There is nothing affordable.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 11:17 AM

This is the best scenario. We need the housing.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 12:28 PM

See answer to 5

Anonymous

7/23/2023 12:32 PM

Needs to remain an airport. Don't want housing there.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:11 PM

I hate this idea. I love having an airport so close that is part of our city. I like the gliders, I like the planes flying overhead. I like everything about having a small airport!

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:21 PM

I think this idea is unrealistic and kills a great resource for the community. More housing drives more demand. If you build a bigger highway it brings more cars, same with housing and people.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:55 PM

wasterful and climate damaging

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:13 PM

It would be a beautiful new neighborhood with parks and open space and city facilities for tall the citizens of Boulder.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:17 PM

I don't like removing the airport.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:26 PM

Terrible idea. Boulder should not dispose of its airport. Better maintenance can solve problems and restrictions around flight times can address noise. Plane noise is inevitable, even if no airport.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:49 PM

we can use an airport. more dense housing will just make Boulder more congested.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:55 PM

Boulder needs more housing, specifically affordable housing. Creating a new neighborhood is an opportunity to provide affordable

housing for teachers, first responders,

Anonymous

7/23/2023 03:41 PM

yes

Anonymous

7/23/2023 04:25 PM

Benefits many more citizens. Adds trees and items to help with increasing heat/climate change. Best for the children of Boulder. Better economically.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 04:47 PM

There is WAY too much uncertainty to endorse this. Just using the word "housing" is wildly insufficient for an honest discussion. Zoning demands some connection to neighborhood character.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:12 PM

A new neighborhood is the best idea since no one can buy a house in Boulder anymore. I like that it will be mixed housing for different types of households.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:29 PM

I like this since this would maximize housing. The new neighborhood would have access to nice trails, bike paths, dog parks and grocery stores. Do this and help regular people live in Boulder.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:36 PM

The airport generates noise and pollution. It is negatively impacting quality of life in east boulder

Anonymous

7/23/2023 06:35 PM

North Boulder has many features that people want in a neighborhood. Having more people living in Boulder can reduce commuters and the resulting air pollution. Car emissions don't contain lead.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 06:39 PM

I feel that this is short sighted to the future importance of aviation connectivity (taxis, etc)

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:00 PM

A new neighborhood for all household would be a great improvement for many people who work in Boulder but can't find a place to live here.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:13 PM

Stop building up Boulder! The infrastructure can't support it!!!!

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:23 PM

Housing is good. We need more of it

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:05 PM

Value of an airport is high for tourism, economy and so much more, would be a loss for the city to rely entirely on neighboring cities and Denver for that

Anonymous

7/23/2023 09:58 PM

It would be a shame to lose the airport

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:21 PM

Boulder needs a first class airport for local pilots and corporate jets

Anonymous

7/24/2023 05:56 AM

We desperately need housing. I am a Boulder native, and have watched my family get priced out of Boulder. We currently own a home through Thistle, and is the only reason we could afford anything here

Anonymous

7/24/2023 06:14 AM

we have much bigger issues than the airport, like schools and lowering property tax

Anonymous

7/24/2023 06:36 AM

I like this a lot because it would reduce a significant amount of noise, and it would be ideal to have good quality, low income housing here at the city needs.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 07:37 AM

plenty of local airports with modern facilities. Better use of hand is for housing residents.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 07:43 AM

Boulder loses another piece of its history and a source of pleasure and commerce

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:01 AM

End air and noise pollution. Property development helps more people and is a better use of city land.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:26 AM

Housing is critically needed especially new housing and open space cannot be sacrificed to meet the need. Airplanes have multiple airports to chose from. Residents do not have many options in Boulder.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:41 AM

I have been wanting to buy for five years after having rented for years. I work in Boulder so i want to live here too so I can bike or bus to work.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:46 AM

Airports like Boulder should be preserved for light aircraft use. This type of airport is the safest kind to use for student flight instruction.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:52 AM

We need the housing and we need less pollution.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:00 AM

There is a national pilot and aircraft mechanic shortage. Young and youngish ppl need a place to find opportunities and community to identify career options. Local access to these opps is vital.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:09 AM

If the airport won't serve commercial flights, it's of no use to me. Boulder should build near their city center instead of overloading Gunbarrel - we're already adding at Celestial Seasonings.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:17 AM

North Boulder is a nice area to live in (the airport not withstanding.) People want more housing.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:19 AM

We do need part of this area as an emergency service point for fires and flood disasters.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:22 AM

Destroying an airport that has existed since 1928 - WAY before all these new developments went in - is pandering to a few that find any noise objectionable and is fiscally and socially irresponsible.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:46 AM

We need more housing

Anonymous

7/24/2023 09:58 AM

This is the only viable option! Boulder airport is a pollution engine - spewing lead and noise over our entire community. There is no safe level of lead.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 10:27 AM

Housing is critically need whereas airports on the Front Range are not.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:30 AM</p>	<p>We need the airport for the future. Bad idea to put 2,000 new homes in Boulder.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:35 AM</p>	<p>This is the best approach. Boulder County is in far bigger need of affordable house, versus an airport that likely will serve only hobby fliers.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:00 AM</p>	<p>More housing will be better for Boulder so Boulder does not continue to become more elitist as long as there is housing for a variety of household types and includes affordable housing.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:02 AM</p>	<p>I like the decommissioning idea. I don't want the space used for more housing. I don't want any more people in Boulder.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:13 AM</p>	<p>I have been looking to buy for years, but there is little inventory in my price range. I hope the housing will include affordable units.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:29 AM</p>	<p>I like it! Do it!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:28 AM</p>	<p>Closing the airport is unrealistic, and a bad idea to be talking about. Why is the airport the only place being considered for re-purposing? There are many places in Boulder that could be considered</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:36 AM</p>	<p>Absolutely not! So after the airport is developed, what next? Development creeping into our Parks and Open Space?</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:46 AM</p>	<p>Not a day goes by that I don't read a out the high cost and low supply of housing. We need to help young families, seniors and single get housing in healthy & livable neighborhoods.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:12 PM</p>	<p>This is the right thing to do for the community. Please move the airport to a less populated area.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:20 PM</p>	<p>Once it's gone it's gone forever. Near-term aviation technology advances will make having an airport extremely desirable as a sustainable, equitable transportation hub.</p>

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:43 PM

It would be a devastating loss if the airport were to be decommissioned. Additionally, adding housing to this low density part of Boulder county would be detrimental to the environment, ecosystems,

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:34 PM

Costing additional money for the land (FAA contract) and ripping up the airport infrastructure (such a waste of money and concrete/metal going into a landfill). PLEASE be smart, NO HOUSING here!

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:04 PM

I like the vision of building affordable housing and other community spaces on the property after the airport is closed.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:29 PM

I deeply dislike this solution; aviation is a critical part of the community's unique appeal and killing it would remove a part of me that I want to share with my kids and community.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:42 PM

An opportunity for a clean, quiet neighborhood for everybody. Interesting it still includes helicopter & emergency services. Sounds like a compromise. Would like to see a 100% housing option,.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 04:03 PM

What Boulder really needs is a lot more affordable housing -- for the benefit of all, not just the wealthy few

Anonymous

7/24/2023 04:17 PM

It helps reduce noise pollution in the area. It also promotes more affordable housing, which Boulder desperately needs

Anonymous

7/24/2023 07:40 PM

?

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:28 PM

I like have more housing Boulder. People need and want housing in our area.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 10:13 PM

I like how we focus on other activities and benefits by shutting down the airport!

Anonymous

7/25/2023 12:20 AM

I hate this Scenario. I find this idea as a land grab for developers and high tech companies moving in that need housing for their workers. This scenario does not benefit the long time residents.

Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:09 AM	What's wrong with wanting an airport which has been here longer than anyone?
Anonymous 7/25/2023 04:32 AM	Bad idea in every way. Leave it as an airport.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 05:30 AM	Ideal usage
Anonymous 7/25/2023 06:37 AM	I live in the area and am adamantly opposed to significantly more housing in this area.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 06:59 AM	Boulder has had a housing g shortage for decades. Developable public land should be used for housing.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:02 AM	Another silly idea from the worst city council ever. Developers will like it. It will cost a fortune to buy out the Federal government's investment in the airport. Why not buld on the frisbee golf c
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:21 AM	Housing is in demand. Build it and support our economy. Other commercial uses are not as much in demand and may sit vacant.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:34 AM	Housing is one hundred times better that using the land for an airport. Serve the many and not the few.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 08:40 AM	This is just to far. No decommissioned airport.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 08:53 AM	The airport is an important resource and contributes to the character of the town. Like 0% of this.We should infill in other parts of Boulder, preferable wherew we have already developed up the land.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 09:11 AM	Airplanes are a huge nuisance that pollute both noise and fuel in the air we breathe, including leaded fuel
Anonymous 7/25/2023 12:03 PM	Green space is nice around Hayden Lake, but god no. We need this airport

Anonymous

7/25/2023 01:34 PM

We need an airport, stick with the infill on larger lots.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 01:51 PM

Airport has been there longer than the people who are the most vocal in complaining about it.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 02:00 PM

I like this Scenario since airports are now becoming superfluous in the region, and this one conflicts with the sustainability vision for the City of Boulder in all aspects of life and health.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 02:47 PM

Buy out FAA. Community asset is in the land. Deliveries and drones, etc. and unleaded fuel and mufflers are not a worthwhile investment, or a reality. INVEST IN STABILIZING YOUR WORKFORCE via housing.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 02:58 PM

Endless potential here. This has my vote, esp if it's not a concrete jungle like a lot of Boulder now.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:02 PM

As long as it's not sardine housing this 100% gets my vote.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:11 PM

I am glad this conversation is taking place. I would love a park please! No one advocates for elbow room and animals. Let's be leaders here with pollinator plants!

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:17 PM

We can do anything here and I would hope Boulder is considerate. A lot of the new builds are cheap and ugly, and despite "enviro" friendly, by design are far from it. No shade or regard of sun heat.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 03:27 PM

Yes, housing is needed today. Housing in Boulder will reduce miles driven since many Boulder workers can live in Boulder. Transportation funds for the airport could be used to reduce CO2.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 04:05 PM

It would eliminate the noise of airplanes and create more needed housing!!

Anonymous

The airport has been vital in emergency situations, such as the 2012

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/25/2023 04:16 PM

floods. As well, it adds valuable economic impact and is a pipeline for addressing the pilot shortage for aviation.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:31 PM

This certainly eliminates liability issues, safety issues, and lead issues which I love. I hope dense housing doesn't swap us one can of worms for another.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:38 PM

the loss would be unrecoverable. it is a valuable city asset.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 05:58 PM

The airport feels culturally and geographically dividing so I like that we can unite in this. The land will be accessible to all and not just a few wealthy niche.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 07:01 PM

Dislike this entirely. People who live there will complain about the Heli pad, losing a resource to help protect the surrounding communities when a natural disaster occurs, piece of history

Anonymous

7/25/2023 07:53 PM

Not in favor of more housing development

Anonymous

7/25/2023 08:14 PM

Like this best, as it furthers our environmental goals.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 09:28 PM

Business hub area changes the existing feels and views. Perhaps that area on east side of runway could be developed into a park or green space with connecting path to Valmont.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:38 AM

I like that we get local control of the land Boulder owns, and we can do what we see fit but I hope Boulder makes sound decisions with it after that.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:45 AM

This is an incredible opportunity to actually create a significant amount of housing which Boulder desperately needs. Further this would eliminate noise and pollution problems from surrounding areas.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:18 AM

Housing is a good use for the airport site. The demand for housing is high and will only grow. Open space should not be used for it, and the airport has a lot of acreage to use.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 08:47 AM

Best option.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 09:21 AM

Healthy environments are a priority for Boulder and stopping climate change is another. Decommissioning the airport would help to accomplish both.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 10:45 AM

The airport threatens our health and safety. More parks and walkable neighborhoods would be a good addition.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:28 AM

Not pragmatic

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:38 AM

Not sure if this is possible.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 12:36 PM

All of Boulder would benefit from clean, noise free air, no leaded gas emissions, peace and quiet in skies. creation of housing and community fosters well-being engagement for everyone not just a few

Anonymous

7/26/2023 01:33 PM

I'd like to avoid solar roofs if possible bc of toxic solar cell chemical runoff getting into groundwater.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 02:16 PM

The loss of an airport represents a huge loss to the diversity of opportunity to the community. Exposure to flight and the aviation community can be life changing, both personally and professionally

Anonymous

7/26/2023 03:02 PM

Housing is in high demand. We need to make it easier for people who work in Boulder to live in Boulder.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:51 PM

We could be seriously creative leaders here with sharp climate goals, as opposed to the airport which works against carbon goals. P.S. grocery store!?!

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:01 PM

This takes us a direction that aligns with our needs as a community, and the environment.

Anonymous

Stifles growth of economically important sector of public use airport.

7/26/2023 07:29 PM

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:59 PM

We do not have the infrastructure to support an influx of a new population. Roads are hardly being maintained, crime has risen. Money better spent improving the existing community before expanding.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 08:33 PM

Dislike noise from the airport and the city would benefit from more housing. This option is the most exciting and benefits the most residents

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:46 PM

More housing and community benefit!

Anonymous

7/27/2023 05:11 AM

I don't think we should be building more housing on the periphery of town. Especially when it displaces a community asset like the airport.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 06:11 AM

This is the wrong answer.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 06:30 AM

The impacts of an airport don't belong in this area anymore.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 09:31 AM

This is my fear entirely. We do not have the infrastructure for more people so wanting this land just to "have it back" and turn around to put more homes/communities on it, makes no sense at all

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:19 AM

Boulder NEEDS more affordable housing! It is in our comp plan but we have few opps to make significant inroads on # of affordable units. Affordable housing also meets climate & sustainability goals.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:47 AM

The airport is preventing fully linking Gunbarrel bike paths from central Boulder - let's finally complete that link!

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:51 AM

Highest preference - meets the broader community's housing issues and makes highest and best use of expensive land.

Anonymous

Love this one! Love the opportunity to create a visionary

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/27/2023 11:10 AM

neighborhood from the ground up. Rare opportunity for middle income housing among other uses. Love keeping the helipad for emergency use ONLY.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:11 AM

Decommissioning an airport sounds drastic; I would need to see extensive research regarding this move. Again, I do not understand why we are talking about new housing/neighborhood.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:32 AM

This meets clear community needs. General Aviation has three nearby facilities they can choose from: Metropolitan, Longmont and Erie. Give us our City back.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:00 PM

There are many other options for creating new neighborhoods in the Boulder area without losing a unique facility that could never be replaced.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:18 PM

I believe scenario four benefits the citizens of boulder the most. A lot of the changes provide new spaces and opportunities for the general public

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:27 PM

Yes please. Get right of this outdated nuisance and subsidized carnival ride that only serves a few people. It's not a transportation hub, just a country club.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:05 PM

Aviation is a vital and historic part of Boulder. The last thing we need is to replace it with more high density East German developments

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:10 PM

Can be an incredible opportunity for a green and thriving hood!

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:15 PM

Housing is a great use of airport space

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:19 PM

No, absolutely not. This is insane. Not every square inch must have housing.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:25 PM

strongly dislike decommissioning - dislike placing housing at edge of community - disconnected from services and transport

Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:28 PM	Opportunity to make a truly walkable neighborhood!
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:37 PM	Na
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:38 PM	Boulder needs acres of housing, not acres of hobbies
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:53 PM	Addresses Boulder largest challenge: limited housing stock that is overall unaffordable for most people.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:55 PM	Perfect. But build with housing for middle class families, not a bunch of apartments
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:12 PM	We need more low and middle income housing, including for sale, so more families are able to build capital while living in the city.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:23 PM	I dislike locating new residents so far from the center of town. They would be dependent upon automobile transit. We should build in town in rezoned existing districts and not exacerbate sprawl.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:38 PM	I like this
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:39 PM	This avoids the various costs associated with the Boulder airport and allows the land to be used for higher priority uses.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:46 PM	Th is makes the most sense for the city's housing needs.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:53 PM	The airport is a vital service for the community and University and should remain.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:53 PM	Best alternative
Anonymous 7/27/2023 03:17 PM	Best use of this land in Boulder. This allows others to use the land and creates additional tax base.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:19 PM

It's vague but I hope we can do better than the airport had with this land.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:26 PM

Aside from emergencies, not sure what good airport is for. Need housing more than sight seeing gliders

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:29 PM

Too noisy in my neighborhood of Northfield Village. We live directly over the flight path. With the noise of the planes, 47th Street, Jay Road and the Diagonal, we are very UNHAPPY city residents.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:46 PM

no new neighborhoods until streets/roads are improved. traffic is already majorly congested; a new neighborhood will only make that worse; architecture of new buildings sucks

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:48 PM

Excellent opportunity to create low income and middle income housing which, as we all know, is sorely needed.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 03:49 PM

This is my favorite! By far and I have flown in and out of Boulder airport many times

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:01 PM

It would be terrible to loose the airport. It was one of the Boulder Plusses that made me decide to move to boulder 18 years ago.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:03 PM

Please allow my answer. See above

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:25 PM

A new neighborhood community could be added to help alleviate Boulder's housing problem.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 04:30 PM

Lots of opportunities here

Anonymous

7/27/2023 07:05 PM

Much better utilization of land.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 07:34 PM

Boulder desperately needs more housing. Decommissioning an unnecessary and heavily polluting (lead, C02, noise) airport would be

an improvement for the environment - especially people living nearby.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 08:13 PM

Boulder needs more affordable housing

Anonymous

7/27/2023 08:24 PM

I really like the idea of a new mix-used residential community in this area. We badly need housing that is affordable to middle income, and this is a great opportunity to start addressing that.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 08:41 PM

the opportunity for affordable housing is most important. Not investing in something that undermines our climate goals and serves the wealthy is also good.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 09:18 PM

need local airport, don't need more low income housing

Anonymous

7/28/2023 05:55 AM

Dislike that it gets rid of an important city infrastructure and emergency facility. Ends any future transportation use.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 06:29 AM

Everyone needs a place to live. Not everyone needs an airport.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 06:48 AM

The only option to ensure community goals and a compatible use of land. This is an opportunity design a community properly from the ground up and not piece meal.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 06:49 AM

Too much affordable housing emphasis has been placed on apartments. Families still want to own a home with a small yard. Look at deed restricted homes like in Breckenridge for middle-income locals.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 07:29 AM

I dislike this option as it removes an accessible form of recreation and access to boulder, it doesnt take into account increased traffic caused by the new neighborhood.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 07:30 AM

Why don't we move the jail it needs improvements and expansion. Keep the airport.

Anonymous

Best plan. I would prefer less growth but it is inevitable and this is

7/28/2023 07:47 AM

ideal place. Airport affects many with noise, benefits a few privileged people and allows noise violations. Future is housing.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 08:00 AM

I love the idea of having more affordable housing in Boulder and reclaiming this area from being an airport into community. This feels like the best option to me.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 08:55 AM

This is absurd.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:25 AM

Don't like it. Not sure why, or what is driving this??...besides Mark Wallach's personal dislike of it..

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:29 AM

Boulder desperately needs more housing, while preserving it's connection to nature. This is prime space to thoughtfully grow with good connections to road and nature..

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:31 AM

I like the attempt to make the airport more useful to Boulder.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:38 AM

Dislike removing the airport asset in the community, huge carbon footprint, and increased congestion.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 10:10 AM

As long as the entrance to the new development did not happen via Airport Rd., I'd be happy to see a new neighborhood created.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 10:25 AM

This scenario makes the most sense for Boulder's future. I like that there is mixed use. Would also like to see space for small retail like a convenience store for necessities for residents

Anonymous

7/28/2023 11:47 AM

As mentioned above, I see value in small scale aviation. If we decommission the Boulder airport, I would also be concerned how that impacts the Longmont airport. Would this encourage more growth there?

Anonymous

7/28/2023 11:55 AM

We have a housing shortage, bad air, and an overabundance of noise from airplanes.

<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 12:01 PM</p>	<p>Our community needs affordable housing & modern housing with energy & water conservation design. This could be a net zero CO2 neighborhood, and it is one of Boulder's last large developable parcel.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:11 PM</p>	<p>No. I disagree with razing the airport to provide housing. I feel like the big businesses who have brought more employees have shirked their responsibilities to fund housing and services.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:20 PM</p>	<p>Like no airplane noise over my house</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:27 PM</p>	<p>The airport is a vital resource that long predates housing development in the area. Airmen and businesses rely on this resource, which would not be replaceable if it were decommissioned</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:30 PM</p>	<p>Airport repurposed to serve the vital need for affordable housing.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 01:41 PM</p>	<p>We need housing, not privately owned airplanes. City-owned land offers affordability.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 03:35 PM</p>	<p>No decommissioning.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 04:35 PM</p>	<p>like: fewer NOISY plane; less LEAD in the air over my house where I'm now concerned about having my preschool grandchild spend time</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 05:21 PM</p>	<p>I like having more affordable housing. It is needed in Boulder and I don't want to see open space used for and there aren't many large sires left.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 07:40 PM</p>	<p>This is a terrible idea. It downgrades Boulder itself as a complete city. Boulder doesn't need more housing as much as it needs to engage the community of Boulder in all aspects of aviation, past, and</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 08:52 PM</p>	<p>Overcrowding I. The area. Already seeing major changes to the 55th/ Arapahoe/ Valmont area.</p>

<p>Anonymous 7/28/2023 09:39 PM</p>	<p>Additional housing and community space in Boulder supports our city and maintains the best environmental health. It would help more people than an airport serving a small number.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 05:19 AM</p>	<p>defiantly not a good idea, there is to much congestion on our roads now we don't more cars i Boulder</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 05:39 AM</p>	<p>Wrong place for housing. Boulder needs airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 06:02 AM</p>	<p>Terrible idea..a close knit airport community would be lost and have to spend their \$ in other counties. The rich college parents would have to fly into other airports not close by and likely not com</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 09:12 AM</p>	<p>Housing is more important for Boulder's economy, and there is an unmet need for housing that will probably persist for years to come.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 10:09 AM</p>	<p>makes this land more valuable and useful to Boulder residents, reduces air and noise pollution</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 10:34 AM</p>	<p>This is an unacceptable proposition. The airport was here for its purpose in the 20's and continues to serve the community. Find somewhere else to build low income housing and leave the airport alon</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:20 AM</p>	<p>Boulder needs affordable housing and I think this is a great opportunity.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:34 AM</p>	<p>Boulder does need new housing, but it also needs an airport, plus I like trains and planes, and the airport has been a great neighbor.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:43 AM</p>	<p>Regular people need to be able to live in Boulder & not have to drive an hour each way. Affordable housing is really hard to find & virtually nonexistent in Boulder. This could make a big difference.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:48 AM</p>	<p>This seems like a perfect solution. It makes Boulder more environmentally responsible and adds much needed, affordable housing. A win, win!!</p>

Anonymous

7/29/2023 12:04 PM

No good case for it.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 12:22 PM

I think more housing is desperately needed in Boulder. Teachers and nurses need places to live, too. Airplane should not take priority over housing.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 12:57 PM

Serves larger number of people and addresses housing needs.
Decreases noise pollution. Planes fly over our home.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 01:03 PM

Keeping the airport makes sense. Losing it doesn't really.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 01:05 PM

Boulder need housing of various sizes, and price points. The airport site is a great opportunity to provide housing. Let's use Holiday neighborhood as a model.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 01:36 PM

Affordable housing and a new neighborhood

Anonymous

7/29/2023 01:37 PM

I DO NOT SUPPORT Scenarios 3 and/or 4.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 02:02 PM

Like the use of the land to create more affordable housing and living in Boulder.

zenos_dog

7/29/2023 02:09 PM

My life will be quiet and enjoyable.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 02:54 PM

I like that the airport is decommissioned and the land is converted to a new neighborhood.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:24 PM

I love the airport. What a harebrained idea to close it. Let's focus on the Planning Reserve for housing.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:31 PM

We need an airport for natural disasters we get here. I have worked during the fires/floods and we need to be able use our airport for these emergencies that will continue to happen in our community.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:44 PM

It is so hard to find housing in and around Boulder. I would rather people live in Boulder than commute into Boulder every day.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 04:52 PM

There is significant new housing stock being created all around Boulder county right now. In comparison, it is unlikely there will ever be another airport built in Boulder county.

Anonymous

7/29/2023 05:11 PM

I'm honestly against adding large populations of people to Boulder and horrified that the developers have acquired power and are packing people in and trying to overthrow the 4 story limit

Anonymous

7/29/2023 07:18 PM

Unnecessary burden

Anonymous

7/29/2023 08:37 PM

I would prefer if the new neighborhood is for low income housing. Forget 'affordable housing,'

Anonymous

7/29/2023 08:53 PM

like: new neighborhood, maximizing housing opportunities as well as neighborhood services (while keeping the helipad for emergency services)

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:53 AM

Remove the near constant overhead noise of the aviation component. Increase infill. Add infrastructure and transportation.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:06 AM

Enough development already

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:15 AM

Eliminating this airport forces more air traffic congestion on to nearby communities, just like eliminating highway 36 thru Boulder - good for local residence, bad for surrounding communities.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:17 AM

A new neighborhood would be a welcomed change for the site. North Boulder has had a lot of public investment already in open space, dog parks and bike lanes which makes it a nice place to live.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:37 AM

I don't see why this would be wanted.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:40 AM

HATE everything about this. I live in the flight path, and I'm arguing to KEEP the airport. I'm so sick and tired of every oppy the City sees to build high density housing. NO no no for the airport.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:44 AM

Airport operations can move to Longmont. Close by. Boulder needs affordable housing, what an amazing opportunity.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:14 AM

meets needs of community better and decreases noise and air pollution.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:18 AM

Current noise from the airport detracts from healthy living in East Boulder. The gliders are especially awful.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:21 AM

No Boulder needs an airport.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:21 AM

Plenty of other city owned land that can be used if politicians were serious . Golf courses , open space etc.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:47 AM

It totally sucks.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:33 AM

This offers sustainable housing options and eliminates air traffic which is carbon intensive and noisy

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:35 AM

Housing will be in high demand for the next 100 yrs as people move to escape tye broiling heat caused by climate change.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:37 AM

An airport is more important than another housing neighborhood, which is just more of what already exists.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:40 AM

The airport needs to go. But, there has been no "conversation" around the role of the FAA, and/or refusing and/or returning FAA grant money in order to be free of their selfish manipulations.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:56 AM

Meets need for affordable housing

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:59 AM

Positive use of land and money allowing for potential reductions in air and noise pollution.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:03 AM

I want to see my grandkids and can't because my kids have to live in Colorado Springs for housing.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:03 AM

The airport is via tap to Boulder and boulder county and should not considered for more housing of any type. The city does not have sufficient resources for adding an endless supply of dwellings

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:16 AM

I strongly dislike this option. The Boulder Airport is vital to this community for safety, recreation, learning. Boulder does not need more apartment buildings.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:30 AM

The airport serves the community well. There is plenty of space in Boulder Co for new neighborhoods w/out decommissioning the airport. It would be similar (and far worse) to decommissioning bus RTD

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:37 AM

Boulder airport is one of the best glider ports in the world; do not destroy it!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:38 AM

I strongly oppose this, Boulder housing problem needs to addressed synoptically, not by removing critical elements of Boulder.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:48 AM

Airport is vital. We should do everything we can to maintain an aviation presence.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:01 AM

I don't feel like the airport is a priority and should not compete for city funds with other programs

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:03 AM

I believe it removes a crucial piece of our community and the opportunities for new/old pilots to have a place to fly. There are already too many apartments in Boulder already!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:16 AM

Why close such a viable economic and emergency resource when there are so many other places to build a community?entity

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:21 AM

Really dislike this option, it removes an important resource for Boulder and emergency services

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:25 AM

Like:Less noise,needed housing,retains emergency helicopter pad. Maybe Independence Rd could be used as emergency landing strip if ever needed. (Why is green space on the map? It's already there.)

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:32 AM

we may need airport facilities to fight future wildfires

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:43 AM

I dislike the noise and pollution from the use of leaded gas. Therefore this is the best alternative.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:51 AM

Totally opposed to this. The airport is unique in its location as a 'gateway to the skies' along the front range and closing it, apart from all the legal hoops to jump through, would be a huge mistake

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 AM

Losing the airport will prove to be a critically short sighted decision when the realities of modern air travel continue to allow access to aviation

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:01 AM

The cost-benefits of housing make it a better asset for Boulder and helps improve our overall carbon footprint since Boulder workers can live in Boulder and not have to commute.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:08 AM

completely wrong

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:13 AM

Decommissioning the airport removes a critical safety and economic advantage to Boulder residents.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:19 AM

Aviation is vital for wildfire and air ambulance

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:23 AM

The airport helps support the surrounding open space; if neighborhoods replace, then we lose the open space and a key part of Boulder history, the airport. Aviation is important and should remain!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:24 AM

This is frankly not an option considering the promise that the City made to the FAA.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:25 AM

Absolutly not! Boulder doesn't need another residential development. There are plenty of areas where affordable housing could be built without closing an important Boulder feature.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:26 AM

Even though I live right down the road from the airport, the accompanying noise is minimal, and I don't think it should be decommissioned.,

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:34 AM

Would be way too much traffic hard to get out of existing mobile home park already

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:39 AM

I like that the land would be used for more important things like housing & community meeting areas. We need to clean up chemicals on the airport land & repurpose it. Less pollution & noise!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:46 AM

Worst option. Getting rid of an important asset to please a small group of whiners.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:58 AM

The airport is a valuable resource that is already built. If a new neighborhood is desirable, build it on empty land.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:00 PM

Housing is the best land use option for this site. East Valmont has tons of amenities that makes it a really nice place to live, paths, open space, shops, dog parks, arterial roads, Boulder Creek.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:02 PM

No need to decommission, but PLEASE reduce tow plane traffic noise over Arapahoe Ridge area. It's very loud and frequent.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:07 PM

I would like to see the airport far away from residential neighborhoods like mine. Over the 40 years I have lived in Gunbarrel the noise from low flying and loud aircraft has become more disturbing.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:07 PM

Yes housing is the best idea.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:15 PM

The airport is only needed for emergencies purposes in my opinion.
We pay too much for the privileges of a few.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:25 PM

LOVE IT! I want the airport gone and a neighborhood with options to terminate the current food desert status of East Boulder installed.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:54 PM

THIS IS COMPLETELY UNREALISTIC without THE CITY VIOLATING GRANT ASSURANCES..AND WOULD TAKE SO MANY YEARS THAT IT IS NOT AN APPROPRIATE OPTION..THE CITY SHOULD POST THE RECENT FAA LETTER ON ITS WEBSITE

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:55 PM

Not an airport

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:55 PM

This is NOT an option. Fly over Boulder & you will see countless acres of open space that are fantastic locations for in-building new housing. The airport is part of the Boulder community. Stop this!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:58 PM

I like the airport being there

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:11 PM

like, peace and quiet,

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:12 PM

This alternative is awful. It would require the city to pay back FAA a lot of money. It would deprive the city of a critical aviation resource.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:14 PM

I would HATE to see the airport go :(and then can't imagine how bad the traffic would get on airport

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:16 PM

The loss of the second greatest soaring site in the US would be heartbreaking.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 01:31 PM

I don't trust new housing will be under 150K

Anonymous

Don't need more employment and once decommissioned, it will not

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/30/2023 01:31 PM

be available if general aviation becomes more broadly useful

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:04 PM

This is a terrible idea. We do not need Boulder to sprawl to the east any more.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:16 PM

Totally unnecessary!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:21 PM

This is a terrible idea and in opposition to the FAA's mandate that an airport be in this location for perpetuity.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:36 PM

WATER!! Boulder is located in a semi arid region and opening up new housing will significantly affect the rest of boulder to receive adequate water services

Anonymous

7/30/2023 02:45 PM

Get with the economy and get up to date with this area. It's still to rural.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:13 PM

We already host the second largest housing authority (BHP) in the state behind DHA. There are millions of empty sq feet of open business spaces empty with in the city. Use those resources for housin

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:29 PM

Removes a valuable resource for Boulder County and area pilots.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:30 PM

There is no guarantee this would be affordable housing considering the views. Green space should be larger for regional recreation.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:30 PM

Given the FAA commitments this option is not only not feasible but short-sighted. There are better opportunities for housing (which I support) on other sites in the City.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:36 PM

Boulder desperately needs more housing, and this would be a much better use of the close-in land to expand urban housing, hopefully with a commitment to affordability.

Anonymous

Good luck telling the FAA you want to get rid of the airport

7/30/2023 03:41 PM

Anonymous

7/30/2023 03:58 PM

We don't need more neighborhoods in boulder. Nor do we need more people living here. We need a bigger jail.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:03 PM

This is a terrible option. It destroys at least four local businesses that can't be easily relocated due to lack of space at other airports. Housing is important, but not at an unnecessary social cost

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:05 PM

Very much support this idea. With city owned land, the city can mandate building of affordable and inclusive housing on this property. We shouldn't miss this opportunity to get new housing!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:09 PM

The future of aviation is slower aircraft that are more efficient. If we get rid of the airport before seeing the utility with the next generation of flight, it is just short sighted.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:45 PM

No! Horrible ideas. Do not decommission the airport. As the climate crisis worsens we will need this airport for wildfires, evacuations and other emergency type measures. No more housing.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:57 PM

Ridiculous. If you want a neighborhood, carve out some less strategic & historically significant land from Open Space and turn that into a new neighborhood. DO NOT DECOMMISSION THE AIRPORT!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:03 PM

I like the idea of getting the airport out of Boulder and Boulder County

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:19 PM

Opportunity to significantly increase housing stock and build a cutting-edge, sustainable, walkable, car-light community. Such a community has the potential to inspire further visions.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:07 PM

I do not want the airport turned into housing.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:38 PM

Boulder needs the airport. If lost it can never be replace.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:25 PM

Best idea - create more housing and services to accommodate Boulder's growing needs in a new infill community, while reducing

noise pollution. Most equitable outcome for city-owned property.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:39 PM

There are no road outlets. One road into the area is not enough for any further traffic. Airport Rd. suffers badly from congestion with any problems occurring on Valmont.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:19 PM

Illegal

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:39 PM

We need more housing, specifically affordable housing.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:59 PM

Yes! We will have an emergency strip. But no more noise and air pollution. Housing is needed. An airport for a privileged few is not.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:37 PM

Removing the airport is great. I'm not a fan of more mixed housing. Low income affordable housing is essential. As well as community parks n outdoor facilities

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 PM

Too much congestion in a hard-to-get-to area. Valmont alone will require a major upgrade.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:40 PM

We need housing, we don't need private airports. It serves the community better to shut it down.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:40 AM

This should be a transportation hub, not a neighborhood.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:14 AM

Likes: healthier living

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:13 AM

I think this is a horrible option as it would take away one of the amazing features of Boulder. Replacing it with another neighborhood just reduces our activity choices.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:55 AM

Beautiful neighborhoods for affordability, missing middle, and short commutes to downtown.

<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:01 AM</p>	<p>I love the idea of creating a new neighborhood that is a model for others here in terms of walkability and any housing developments we can get contribute to the makeup of the city positively.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:06 AM</p>	<p>Don't grow Boulder anymore. Keep the airport as a viable municipal entity.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:23 AM</p>	<p>How is covering every square inch of vacant land with houses good for the environment?</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:36 AM</p>	<p>Flatirons golf course is a much better solution for housing and the city already owns it.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:52 AM</p>	<p>See 6 above. the traffic, the tie-ups the number of people here is making it more and more unlivable. For those who want open spaces, trails & roads that are open Boulder has failed.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:06 AM</p>	<p>We need an airport.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:24 AM</p>	<p>The airport serves a very small subset of Boulder, but creates noise and air pollution that affect almost all of it.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:34 AM</p>	<p>Strongly opposed to this. Just one more step towards turning Boulder into a high rent high density bedroom community. We need diversified businesses, services, entertainment, etc.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:58 AM</p>	<p>Please decommission the airport and create a rec center for this part of East Boulder</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:04 AM</p>	<p>housing is much more important to Boulder's goals than having an airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:05 AM</p>	<p>does not make sense. nice in concept but how do you guarantee those living there will work there? increased traffic along Valmont Rd for those living there but not working and vice versa.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>excellent idea! a great urban infill opportunity (a la Denver or Austin).</p>

7/31/2023 08:17 AM

Boulder needs housing, including the helipad is smart, and more parks are always better (especially those accessible to homes)

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:19 AM

Removing a piece of Boulder History such as the airport would be horrible.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:24 AM

Poorest scenario.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:26 AM

This alternative is just not feasible. The FAA will not approve this approach.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:26 AM

I dislike this. The airport is needed. Noise complaints are unwarranted - those complaining knew the airport existed when they purchased their homes.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:27 AM

We have plenty of other places to build, this is silly to tear up a perfectly good airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:45 AM

Terrible idea. Having local airports improves aviation safety and accessibility. We love going to the airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:54 AM

I prefer this option: it has connectivity, uses that will serve a larger amount of people, & more green space. I would want to see the green space along the lake & multi-use paths on the plan.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:00 AM

This is a tremendous opportunity to meet critical community needs!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:08 AM

Who is going to pay off the govt when you decide you can't wait 18 years? Taxpayers of city of Boulder? Once again?

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:22 AM

Eliminates problems created by the airport and addresses housing/social/economic needs.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:24 AM

The gliding operations at Boulder airport are irreplaceable. The gliding club is an amazing group of mentors and enthusiasts which bring together pilots of all ages/races/genders.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:25 AM

Decommissioning is completely unacceptable for this viable community resource

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:37 AM

Dislike, Boulders housing problems need to be solved through creative planning no by displacing the jobs and public utilities that already exist

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:43 AM

Dislike neighborhood development

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:45 AM

I dislike this option of pushing air traffic to less affluent neighborhoods surrounding other airports. The amount of staff time would be enormous to accomplish this. Other project should be prioritiz

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:54 AM

This would be great IF another access road was added. Already too much traffic on Airport Road.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:30 AM

Aerospace industry is one of economic drivers in Colorado. Giving up on the local airports will diminish interest in Aerospace programs for kids and will hamper future workforce development. Bad idea.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:31 AM

I'm concerned about the health impacts of a new neighborhood at the airport site

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:43 AM

Now, this is what we need, and where we need it!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:47 AM

This is unnecessary as there is other land available.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:51 AM

No airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:52 AM

I strongly dislike option 4 since it will close the airport and redevelop it with "affordable" housing. It will close off a portion of the community to the amazing world of aviation.

Anonymous

This is the highest a best use of the land given today's population and

7/31/2023 10:53 AM

city needs. The airport serves a limited number of people. The impact is disproportionately negative to the community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:54 AM

Don't even think about this scenario. The Boulder Airport is a part of our community. Making it into unimaginative housing will just have people complaining about traffic instead of noise. No way.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:58 AM

This option is terrible. The airport has provided me personally my entire professional career. Houses can be built almost anywhere, airports cannot.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:59 AM

This is the permanent loss of massive community asset and would result in housing in a isolated area disconnected from stores, reasturants, services, and transit.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:13 AM

I don't like this idea because it would reduce access for flying and for gliders.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:17 AM

This will make the area more accessible to more people, reduce noise pollution, and potentially allow for establishment of green space/natural habitat. A park would be wonderful.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:22 AM

The airport is vital infrastructure to Boulder and the surrounding area. It as provided me with irreplaceable career training. It provides a great value to others wanting to join the field of aviation

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:34 AM

shows small minded thinking of the city council. there is plenty of space in Boulder county. make it easier to build, government created tenements are not the right answer

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:36 AM

Leave it alone, build your condos somewhere else!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:43 AM

Closing the airport is absolutely unacceptable

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:47 AM

It gets rid of the airport but helps the community

Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:53 AM	While housing is important, it can be met with more relaxed zoning in other areas, and losing the airport is not a good outcome for Boulder
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:53 AM	I do not support decommissioning the airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:56 AM	With rapid advances in aviation technology, eliminating the airport could have long term impact on access to more sustainable and accessible options as they become available.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 12:35 PM	Housing and community benefit as well as emergency services that benefit the entire community.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 12:57 PM	Having an airport is a special aspect of Boulder and we should not lose that.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:17 PM	Creating a new neighborhood is a bad idea. Again we have too many people in this town.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:19 PM	Creating a new neighborhood with all of these aspects in mind sounds perfect.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:21 PM	The greatest good for the greatest proportion of the public not just serving the select few Rich private pilots
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:43 PM	Nothing! This plan should be discarded! There are many other areas in and around the city that provide better new housing options. The airport is very important to this community...DO NOT Close it!
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:52 PM	Affordability and diversity is the issue at hand for Boulder. Boulder does not have much more land to create high-quality affordable neighborhood for its workers. No front range Aspen.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 02:13 PM	the constant noise is unbearable. this is the only plan that makes any sense to me
Anonymous 7/31/2023 02:29 PM	This scenario is the least palatable as housing near large commercial centers as well as correctional facilities creates strange bedfellows.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:33 PM

The Boulder airport and Boulder aviation community deserves to keep it's place in our community. It's crested jobs, inspired young people and provided a large sense of joy and recreation to many.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:34 PM

I want the airport to remain. This is a historical and unique aspect of Boulder that we can treasure an share with our community and visitors. I do not want a new neighborhood.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:44 PM

Why would anyone want to reduce aviation in Boulder? Stupid idea!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:11 PM

See answer to #6.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:13 PM

This addresses key need in our community for affordable housing, and mitigates environmental pollution caused by airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:53 PM

No Airport is the worst decision

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:00 PM

The airport should have limited fire fighting helicopter operations only. No general aviation needed. Develop the rest of the site with camps, Housing etc.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:01 PM

Stupid. I learned to fly there and the airport has always been a great experience except when the politicians get involved

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:25 PM

airplane traffic is a real nuisance so would rather see it decommissioned. But instead of neighborhood, would prefer to keep as open space and have a park.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:55 PM

The airport is vital to GA aviation and training. Removal of the airport would be working backwards. Access to aviation is a facet of Boulder I'd like to see continued.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:00 PM

We can't decommission this airport, it's too important

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:04 PM

Boulder has enough boxes being built on top of boxes. It's unnecessary, and airport operations that serve city state & country with quality flight training is far more important.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:23 PM

Don't think eliminating current and future transportation infrastructure is smart - plenty of open space for Boulder to re-purpose for housing projects if housing is really that critical.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:17 PM

Too many airports are going away in the country already.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:26 PM

NO - We don't need more housing and traffic on airport road!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:26 PM

Decrease airplane noise, largely solves Boulder's affordable housing crisis, will generate revenues for city by increasing tax base and will allow those who work in Boulder to live here. Yes!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:28 PM

More traffic, won't impact housing costs. Gets rid of the airport and potential emergency response.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:39 PM

Dislike decommissioning airport. It has served the area for many years, teaching students to learn to fly. It is used by business to access Boulder. Perhaps new neighborhood goes to Flarirons Golf.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:40 PM

It gets rid of the airport and all the potential emergency services it could provide, along with getting rid of the only glider school in the state which provides learning for high school students.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:46 PM

Solve Boulder's housing crisis, improve safety of area

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:54 PM

I dislike that it removes something so important to the economy of Boulder and gliding as a sport and hobby in the region.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:57 PM

I'd rather live by an airport than another block of boring, post-modern, soulless apartments or another block of cookie-cutter suburbs.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:57 PM

I dislike the loss of the airport for the sole purpose of creating new neighborhood. There are other areas in the city and county that could be considered for development.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:12 PM

This scenario will solve several problems at once. It will do away with pollution, noise, and nuisance created by the airport while providing much needed housing.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:17 PM

This is the worst option and should not happen.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:18 PM

I like that this could be a clean slate. We could use a grocery store here. I'd love trails to link the ponds/lakes to the new land and gunbarrel. It could be so lovely.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:21 PM

Closing the airport would be a massive loss to aviation (see wildfire/flood missions above). The new residential area would involve hundreds of car movements per day with associated noise / emissions

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:22 PM

Removal of this airport removes critical glider training opportunities for people who dream of flying. Inhibits possible youth aviation education, encouraging brain drain of talent to other cities.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:42 PM

Much of the use appears to be recreation, which has an unsustainable carbon footprint and is a frivolous activity resulting in unacceptable noise and dispersal of lead.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:36 PM

Absolutely not. The airport has been here for a very long time and this witch-hunt is just a poorly veiled land grab by developers.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:43 PM

I live in the flight path for the airport and strongly believe the airport should remain an airport. Closing it will adversely affect emergency response times, scientific studies and transportation

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:46 PM

I would like to know what percent of Boulder uses the airport currently? Are these mostly private jets carrying wealthy families? Are they emergency airplanes needed when we experience a wildland fire?

Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:02 PM	Our broader community in which we exist (front-range and US in general) has a shortage of airports, a shortage of hangars and our glider operations are even more rare. Decommissioning is rotten.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:05 PM	No one will want to live in the site of the former airfield and the decommissioned airport will be a blight on boulder
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:16 PM	Most challenging
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:18 PM	The Airport has been there well before neighborhoods around it, like most airports. I don't think airports should have to move because of gentrification of the community around it.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:18 PM	There are other suitable sites for residential development.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:22 PM	Don't like that it abandons an important community asset, our airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:40 PM	Like: more housing in Boulder (much needed). Dislike: closing of a rare resource, especially for gliders.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:54 PM	It destroys the airport
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:56 PM	I dislike this option, removing existing important transportation infrastructure seems like a poor choice. I've been in the construction industry for 20 years. I know how it works, generally poorly.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:57 PM	We would lose a vital community resource
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:57 PM	Unacceptable to close the only commercial gliderport in the state!
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:58 PM	Complete money grabbing joke. The phrasing, images, and continued ignorance of the airport manager who has minimal understanding of his position indicate obvious bias.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:58 PM

This is the dumbest idea pushed by big Real estate developers this airport has been providing a better service to the community in then building cookie-cutter homes.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:59 PM

I like that airport noise and pollution are eliminated. Valuable land is put to better use with housing and retail for the neglected E. Boulder neighborhood. I dislike that 57th doesn't go to Valmont.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:08 PM

I severely dislike the idea of tearing down the airport, a building that serves as a vital part of the aviation and aerospace community in Boulder and filling it with more soulless suburban housing

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:06 PM

KBDU predates nearby residential development, and residents with buyers' remorse scapegoat airports across the US. These airports shouldn't be the victims of NIMBYs and profiteering RE developers.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:08 PM

The airport is critical to support aviation education

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:20 PM

With all the "for lease" signs around Boulder, it's obvious that new builds are NOT needed. Stop the un-needed growth. People will just keep coming and ruin the City that residents want to live in.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:25 PM

The airport is a contributing business area in the Boulder community. The opportunities that will be lost if the airport closes will be devastating to the aviation community.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:22 PM

We don't need another new neighborhood

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:41 PM

I INTENSELY dislike the idea of closing the airport. "Activity center" and "employment hub" are not nearly defined enough to justify closing the existing airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:47 PM

Strongly dislike. How quickly we forget the Great Flood of 2013 when the airport served as the main evacuation point for stranded mountain residents. Biggest airlift since Katrina, at the time.

Anonymous

I believe it's in the public interest for the airport to remain.

7/31/2023 08:49 PM

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:51 PM

It helps solve some of our housing issues in Boulder but I'm not convinced it's a good/practical site for additional housing

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:56 PM

i strongly dislike this option as it closes the airport leaving hundreds out of work and millions of dollars out of the city.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:57 PM

Adamantly opposed to this.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:17 PM

This is BY FAR the worst possible scenario! The airport is a vital part of aviation in our community, it's an important part of our history and what makes Boulder country a great place to live!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:19 PM

There's no need to dismantle such a vibrant aspect of our community. Housing needs can be met elsewhere and we should not cave to opportunistic developers.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:26 PM

housing issues can be solved with other options.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:32 PM

I dislike this entirely

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:50 PM

Like: City land is a scarce resource. It would be better to use it to house people, not planes. Also, this scenario would end the noise and air pollution from the airport.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 09:50 PM

.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:20 PM

This scenario is terrible and gives in to the opinions and complaints of mostly people who moved in far after the airport was built.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:25 PM

Serves needs of most number of residents. Lessens noise and pollution inflicted by wealthy elites. On peace and quiet loving residents.

<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:31 PM</p>	<p>Terrible resolution to a problem only voiced by few. Those who dislike aviation rarely have a good reason why. This would harm boulder and it's history irreparably.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:42 PM</p>	<p>I love going to the airport to watch planes land. Having the airport decommissioned would be a tremendous disappointment for me and my children.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:51 PM</p>	<p>The airport serves a vital role to the community. Changing it into housing benefits a couple developers and wealthy home owners</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:52 PM</p>	<p>There are other areas in the city that could be (re)developed without loss of an irreplaceable city resource.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:12 PM</p>	<p>More neighborhoods would be damaging to the surrounding area, particularly in regards to congestion with current infrastructure.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:43 PM</p>	<p>The boulder airport is a gem of the community. Decommissioning it would be a tragedy.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:04 AM</p>	<p>The airport is destroyed forever.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:14 AM</p>	<p>This would be a major loss for the Boulder community. The airport enables us to have better rescue services, creates and enables jobs, and inspires interest in STEM for kids (it did for me!).</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:21 AM</p>	<p>This is the worst possible option. The airport is an irreplaceable asset, both for safety of aircraft around the region and as the only access to one of the greatest soaring (glider) sites in the world</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 03:36 AM</p>	<p>Quality of life with elimination of disruptive noise & decreased toxins in the air.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 03:44 AM</p>	<p>No need to close airport. It is a wonderful thing for the community.</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>If you want to create more housing, make use of the existing airport to</p>

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

8/01/2023 04:15 AM

provide on-airport housing for people who love aviation or have a little plane.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:34 AM

Seems like a popular airport, but if people hate it then sure?

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:47 AM

Dislike, I would think there are other/ better options that are more easily attained.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:45 AM

The noise problem goes away.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:02 AM

this is the BEST option - lets re-envision another way of using the land - we will all be the beneficiarys of it.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:12 AM

The airport serves a very few people at the expense of a great many more.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:17 AM

All this does is enrich developers. Of course this will be the final decision because everyone knows the leadership of our city cater to developers and increased taxes.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:17 AM

The current situation benefits a small number of people at the expense of the entire community. It is an awful waste of fuel, and the noise and pollution impacts of the airport is terrible.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:34 AM

Just no

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:35 AM

No

Anonymous

8/01/2023 06:41 AM

Extremely detrimental to the city of Boulder. Loss of the airport in exchange for lining greedy developer pockets and satisfying NIMBY Karens would be a slap in the face of what BLDR stands for.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 07:36 AM

Boulder needs more affordable housing. If the plan provides a good mix of affordable and middle class housing, it would provide something desirable. It should not include any LUXURY housing.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:11 AM

Boulder has been on an ill-conceived expansion program for the last 20 years. Crowding has diminished the Boulder quality of life. Adding a large new housing pool will just increase that problem.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:15 AM

I don't believe adding housing in the airport space will mitigate the current situation caused by housing near the airport. As well, the housing based on previous construction will not be affordable.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:22 AM

This is a luddite proposal. Every community of size needs an airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:39 AM

The airport serves a vital role for pilots in the front range. Decommissioning it would reduce access to the community and removes an important base for wildfire operations.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:44 AM

More housing unit are desperately needed on the Front Range and particularly in Boulder. With climate change, more housing will be demanded in our area. We must build for the future.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:52 AM

This plan would destroy a great resource in our community that supports diverse business, recreational, and emergency needs of the community. What happens when urban fires are more regular?

Anonymous

8/01/2023 08:57 AM

opens a can of worms, involving costs, impediments to converting airport to non-airport functions, likely delays in planning, financing, and reconstruction, unrealistic expectations for housing goals

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:17 AM

The airport is a vital piece of our business and infrastructure. We already have too many people in Boulder, building housing for even more is a terrible idea.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:17 AM

More housing is a great idea. I hate having to have a roommate to live in an outdated apartment. I work in Boulder so I want to live here, too.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:27 AM

Boulder needs more housing, open space, parks, trails, live-work neighborhoods. Builds community

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:33 AM</p>	<p>Worst option. Boulder airport used to be great until the 1990s.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:33 AM</p>	<p>It includes potentially a great deal of new housing, services, open space, plus a helipad for emergencies.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:33 AM</p>	<p>I completely dislike this idea because it decommissions a fantastic airport that remains important to Colorado general aviation in favor of greedy land developers.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:34 AM</p>	<p>Do NOT want to decommission airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:37 AM</p>	<p>GA airports are in the crosshairs everywhere. Once gone, they'll never come back.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:38 AM</p>	<p>You are removing a huge community focal point that enhances the loves of locals.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:12 AM</p>	<p>I live below it. I love the airport and bit of open undeveloped land. We've lost meadowlarks, Jay's, others, hawks, foxes, coyotes, deer already due to condos. Stop building here!</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:15 AM</p>	<p>Takes away Boulder industries (flight training and travel), Will be very expensive for taxpayers to pay back the FAA grants. Takes away important Boulder history.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:18 AM</p>	<p>The airport location is a problem as the city has bgrown around it. This is a win win as it also opens up a large parcel of land for affordable housing.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:30 AM</p>	<p>Love mixed use. Helicopter pad is a good idea.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:47 AM</p>	<p>Dislike everything about it. Short-sighted, doesn't capitalize on the opportunities in aviation or the brilliant solutions proposed in scenario 3. Loss for the region and loss of emergency uses</p>
<p>Anonymous</p>	<p>Eliminates the airport. Nearest Civil Aviation Facility is Longmont.</p>

8/01/2023 11:02 AM

Boulder needs its own airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:13 AM

Do not close the airport! Aviation is important!

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:17 AM

First, the FAA states clearly that this is a non-starter. Second, you are taking a valuable community resource and turning it into more shapeless boxes.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:31 AM

I dislike the decommissioning of the airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:38 AM

Decrease airplane noise, largely solves Boulder's affordable housing crisis, will generate revenues for city by increasing tax base and will allow those who work in Boulder to live here. Yes!,

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:48 AM

Dislike: Eliminates all Boulder air service, increases already-too-high population density.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 11:52 AM

Just an advocate for keeping the airport.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 12:54 PM

Boulder road infrastructure is already overwhelmed with the new high-density residences being built. Adding more will only compound the problem!

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:29 PM

This seems like trying to address a housing shortage in the area, an issue that's needs much bigger solutions; at the expense of long term workforce development.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:33 PM

This would create overcrowding in Boulder and rob the city of a tremendous asset.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 01:47 PM

Like...no more airport noise. The Gunbarrel area did not get the affordable housing they were promised ed on Spine and Lookout rds so this would be a good start

Anonymous

8/01/2023 02:04 PM

5-8 more Holiday neighborhoods? yes please!

Anonymous

8/01/2023 02:25 PM

Instead of focusing housing on the periphery of the city, see east across the road from Pleasant View Fields, focus on filling in closer to the center.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 02:29 PM

I like this one the most. Housing in Boulder is more important than flying planes this close to the city.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 03:22 PM

I really do not like this idea, the FAA gave boulder 13 million dollars to repave the runway which the city will have to give back, plus erasing this airport will hurt Colorado's general aviation

Anonymous

8/01/2023 03:35 PM

I do not like that this option gets rid of the airport entirely.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:04 PM

It's dumb. They built the neighborhoods after the airport and knew what they were getting into.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:31 PM

Like.....a quieter environment and enhanced health benefits.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:36 PM

More housing, less land dedicated to narrow use.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:41 PM

Please don't. The land will be sold to the highest bidding developer and the housing will not be affordable. Rental properties do not foster community growth and expansion. Up traffic/down resources.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 04:59 PM

Good that general aviation eliminated. Bad that new housing overloads Boulder infrastructure

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:15 PM

We need to increase general aviation access and usage, not the other way around.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 05:19 PM

I dislike this option as housing in boulder has never been affordable, a brand new development wont be either. This also increases aviation costs in CO due to a reduction in hangar space.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:59 PM	I like having the airport in my community and do not want high density housing there.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:30 PM	Housing is a good fit for North Boulder since there are some great parks and open space. Grocery store and cafes are nearby, too.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:32 PM	This is a terrible, expensive idea that only developers could love. The FAA grants would need to be repaid (at taxpayer expense) and we would irrevocably lose a valuable community asset
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:45 PM	Terrible idea
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:55 PM	Absolutely not
Anonymous 8/01/2023 07:31 PM	It would remove a vital part of the Colorado aviation community. Make's it increasingly more difficult for young aspiring pilots to access flight training. Pilots would not have easy access to Boulder
Anonymous 8/01/2023 07:54 PM	Boulder has a housing deficit that creates inequalities. More and denser housing is needed.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 07:58 PM	We need our Boulder Airport for the revenue to Boulder, training, fire and flood protection. We do not need to destroy such a valuable asset. The City build elsewhere.. See #6.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:02 PM	If the airport is decommissioned then I will leave boulder. We need an airport. It is the duty of Boulder City to maintain the airport for future generations and to ensure our city is connected.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:08 PM	We cannot afford to lose the airport - we need to develop the airport for the future of electric aviation and eVTOL transportation. Chose another location to develop and keep the airport
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:41 PM	I like that it stops flights for fun. But I dislike a big development there. In Warminster, PA they turned an old airport into a park. People ride bicycles and walk on the old runway.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:12 PM

Option 4 would be a huge loss for Boulder's economy.

Anonymous

8/01/2023 09:39 PM

Losing the airport would be an absolute drevestation

Anonymous

8/02/2023 02:03 AM

I believe the airport should be decommissioned. The airport noise is degrading the quality of life for far more people than the airport benefits

Anonymous

8/02/2023 04:29 AM

I support this scenario, but question the possible health ramifications for residents living on potentially contaminated land.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 05:17 AM

Not a sane idea. The airport is used for emergency services - flight for life, fire fighting and pilot training schools. To close it would eliminate all those options for emergencies and training.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:11 AM

Would be a huge loss for the aviation community in Boulder and surrounding areas.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:19 AM

should NOT abandon aviation in Boulder

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:23 AM

The airport is part of the character of Boulder. Would like it to keep existing.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 06:36 AM

This is extremely irresponsible of government officials to continue this discussion. Also the airport manager & operations manager don't even live in boulder county yet they get to make these decisions

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:20 AM

Scenario 4 represents a huge opportunity for the City to develop an affordable neighborhood and address the housing crisis here that is constantly discussed. Time to take action, rather than talk.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:21 AM

The dream of a savage developer

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:23 AM

don't like removal of airport. community loses the airport completely. it needs to stay

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:23 AM

We need to keep the Boulder Airport. There are plenty of other places to build more housing.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:44 AM

Housing for everyone instead of planes for a few.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 09:13 AM

We do not need more housing. I would like to see the percentage of occupancy in the new housing units and also would like to see a report on the crime associated with the new housing units

Anonymous

8/02/2023 09:52 AM

Dislike: I purchased my house in east boulder to be close to the airport. Removing the unique local resource would be a loss.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:00 AM

I don't like this idea at all. The airport is an important area that creates jobs and brings people with different interests into the town.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:01 AM

This is only viable option for me and almost all I know in the area. When the airport was built we did not have housing nearby, now we have a lot. Lead & Noise pollution are big issues, esp w kids

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:07 AM

Removing community resources like the airport would devalue the place we call home.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:37 AM

More and adffordable houseing in boulder ,and less noise.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:13 PM

Housing is difficult to develop in Boulder so public land that is not fit for open space should be dedicated to housing as the current supply can't meet demand now or the foreseeable future.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:14 PM

Absolutely do NOT need more housing, people and trash in the area. Fill in the areas on 30th, 28th and downtown. The airport is a VITAL asset to our community.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:53 PM

Dislike 100%. There is no need for more housing in this area.

Anonymous 8/02/2023 01:14 PM	Decommission the airport to building housing that is desperately needed.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 01:40 PM	We NEED the airp[ort for the well-bewing of the city and the technical residents. Businesses too use the airport.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 01:43 PM	No space for aircraft landing.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 01:59 PM	This is a bad idea. A city the size of Boulder needs an airport for business, recreation, public safety, etc. Boulderites should not be forced into their cars to go use an airport in another city.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 02:05 PM	Do need functional airport for community needs, youth education and job creation. All those living near knew what they were buying.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 03:02 PM	This option seems to create suburbia, and takes away opportunities for learning in GA
Anonymous 8/02/2023 03:24 PM	I think it would be a shame, and a lost economic opportunity.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 03:35 PM	Removing the Airport is by far the best solution. It makes Boulder a nationwide leader in removing a big source of greenhouse gas/carbon emissions and reduces noise pollution.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 03:46 PM	Absolutely do not need more housing here in lieu of airport. That would be a grievous mistake.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 06:20 PM	I really dislike this idea because the 2800 proposed new homes will mean thousands more cars, much more traffic congestion and pollution.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 06:43 PM	Decommissioning the airport would be a massive mistake.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 07:44 PM	I do not support housing at the airport nor closing the airport. Let's put housing ANY number of other places in Boulder.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 07:50 PM

This is a horrible idea. There' are other places to develop.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:01 PM

Not sure why this seems to be the ultimate goal of the city. Take a flight over the flatirons if you don't understand - the airport is something that makes us truly special.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:07 PM

Like! High-value use of the land. See <https://boulderbeat.news/2023/07/26/opinion-airport-redevelopment/amp/>

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:18 PM

This would rob this city of a unique service, and prevent the residents of Boulder from experiencing the wonders of aviation. Developing the airport would erode the wonderful culture of this city.

Anonymous


8/02/2023 08:38 PM

I do NOT support thus option, it would be heartbreaking to lose the ability to just go up in a glider or plane and to appreciate the sheer beauty of the flat irons and where we live from the sky.

Mandatory Question (961 response(s))**Question type:** Single Line Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q8 Listed below are physical and programmatic elements that have been identified by the public as potential long-term improvements to the site. Please select all potential long-term improvements you would like to see incorporated into your preferred s...



Question options

- None
- Space for native plants and animals
- Community park
- Non-aviation related businesses
- Aviation related businesses
- Additional airport hangers
- Bike path and/or nature trail
- Live/work spaces
- Restaurant and/or cafe
- Improved aviation facilities
- Improved public aviation viewing area
- A range of housing types
- Job opportunities for local youth
- Outdoor public programming/meeting spaces
- Indoor public programming/meeting spaces

Mandatory Question (961 response(s))

Question type: Checkbox Question

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q9 | During previous community engagement, the community also shared desired short-term changes for the airport site. Please view the Boulder Municipal Airport Desired Action Items PDF shared with the project Community Working Group. What additional potential short-term action items do you think should be implemented at the airport?

Anonymous 7/13/2023 08:58 PM	immediate hangar building
Anonymous 7/14/2023 07:19 AM	lead free fuel
Anonymous 7/14/2023 11:57 AM	-
Anonymous 7/14/2023 12:07 PM	Noise complaints must be addressed more thoroughly. The airport should position itself against touch and go landings.
Anonymous 7/15/2023 07:50 PM	Decommission and start planning a new, sustainable neighborhood.
Anonymous 7/16/2023 08:49 AM	We need to stop the endless noise spilling out of the airport and no one thinks that can be stymied. I hope we close it ASAP for this reason alone.
Anonymous 7/16/2023 12:11 PM	enforcement of some sort of quota on number, frequency etc of touch and go flights. unleaded fuel only. effective mufflers on training and tow planes
Anonymous 7/16/2023 02:17 PM	Begin process of decommissioning the airport and accept no new funding from FAA for any improvements to the current facility.
Anonymous 7/16/2023 04:30 PM	Clarify if there is any truth to rumors by some pilots that the city would owe the FAA monies, and why, if it's decommissioned.
Anonymous	Emissions (e.g., GHGs, lead, VOCs, etc.) of all aircraft should be

7/16/2023 05:11 PM

posted on a public website.

Anonymous

Limit flight traffic immediately and penalize bad actors.

7/16/2023 05:13 PM

Anonymous

Close the disrepair runway

7/16/2023 08:01 PM

Anonymous

Large indoor action sports facility to become a hub for action sports events

7/16/2023 08:30 PM

Anonymous

N/a

7/16/2023 11:13 PM

Anonymous

PDF nebulous. NO need to "investigate" Pb fuel, just get rid of it ASAP. Yes: Long leases, more hangars. Better airport management that's responsive to constituents.

7/17/2023 05:32 AM

Anonymous

Adding bathrooms to the north side of the field.

7/17/2023 05:38 AM

Anonymous

none to add

7/17/2023 06:33 AM

Anonymous

The list of potential enhancements generated is very good

7/17/2023 08:01 AM

Anonymous

Outdoor viewing area and a small cafe restaurant

7/17/2023 08:18 AM

Anonymous

don't know

7/17/2023 08:54 AM

Anonymous

Do not allow planes that run another fuel or exceed decibel levels set within the easement agreements.

7/17/2023 09:35 AM

harmsalt

I think the unleaded fuel option is probably the most critical, and more generally the health and environmental impacts. Anyone complaining about noise moved to a place with an existing airport.

7/17/2023 09:39 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/17/2023 10:18 AM	N/a
Anonymous 7/17/2023 12:28 PM	hyper-local community day at the airport
Anonymous 7/17/2023 01:16 PM	begin environmental cleanup
dundorf 7/17/2023 01:40 PM	None
Anonymous 7/17/2023 03:06 PM	I don't know
Anonymous 7/17/2023 03:36 PM	Noise abatement strategies, require unleaded AV fuel.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 03:50 PM	NA
Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:15 PM	Raise all fees, stop selling leaded gasoline, limit flight takeoff / landing times.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:20 PM	unleaded gasoline; better flight tracking for public viewing
Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:24 PM	Please decommission the airport ASAP. The air and noise pollution is a scourge on our community.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:03 PM	Keep the airport operating as an airport....period.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:23 PM	ban leaded fuel
Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:33 PM	stop using leaded fuel
Anonymous 7/17/2023 07:14 PM	More hangars

Anonymous

7/17/2023 07:23 PM

Improve hangar management.

Anonymous

7/17/2023 07:42 PM

develop more hanger space, encourage aviation business,

Anonymous

7/17/2023 08:36 PM

enforcement of noise procedures, expedite lead free fuel, evaluate proximity to natural features, etc; incentivize electric planes, land use compatibility and mandatory disclosure, flight mgmt system

Anonymous

7/17/2023 08:54 PM

Improve the existing facilities ASAP with support from the feds

Anonymous

7/17/2023 09:20 PM

Na

Anonymous

7/17/2023 09:43 PM

Updates

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:12 AM

I think more outreach to the community to make gliding more accessible to others

Anonymous

7/18/2023 05:18 AM

.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:18 AM

Levy fines for aircraft violating any noise abatement; create a no-fly zone over specific areas

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:20 AM

Improvements asap

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:25 AM

Maintenance plan for infrastructure

Anonymous

7/18/2023 06:43 AM

none of this matters because this airport is like practice for a real airport

Anonymous

Nothing

7/18/2023 07:07 AM

Anonymous

A road connecting AIRPORT road to the north

7/18/2023 07:38 AM

Anonymous

Stop spending money on the short term and actually just fix things?

7/18/2023 07:57 AM

Anonymous

The boulder airport should discontinue the use of leaded aviation fuels ASAP and before the National goal of 2030

7/18/2023 08:01 AM

Anonymous

Again - please phase out noisy, high emissions sightseeing and recreational flights. Time to address this unnecessary burning of fossil fuels.

7/18/2023 08:02 AM

Anonymous

Better places for pilots to meet.

7/18/2023 08:06 AM

Anonymous

Expand hanger space, improve accessibility

7/18/2023 08:07 AM

Anonymous

NA

7/18/2023 08:22 AM

Anonymous

Identify all areas that could be regreened or reclaimed and begin planting native and pollinator plants in all of these areas in the short-term

7/18/2023 08:43 AM

Anonymous

N/A

7/18/2023 08:43 AM

Anonymous

Ban leaded fuel

7/18/2023 08:50 AM

Anonymous

WHY IS IT USING LEADED FUEL? The airplanes and the airplane users that use that fuel should be responsible for those costs, not the city, and those planes should not be allowed to fly.

7/18/2023 09:01 AM

Anonymous

Within Safety - upgrade existing facilities to provide for Class D airspace - requiring ADSB, radio and air traffic control

7/18/2023 09:24 AM

Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:34 AM	None
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:45 AM	None
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:47 AM	NA
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:49 AM	none
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:59 AM	None
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:00 AM	N/A
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:02 AM	This list is incredibly anti-aviation! For example, limiting flying hours to peak heat of the day reduces safety by having pilots deal with high density altitudes. Quit caving to the rich!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:07 AM	NA
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:07 AM	Bringing unleaded fuel on site and finding ways to subsidize electric aircraft for intense activities (ie. flight training) seem most interesting.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:14 AM	Additional approach equipment to make the airport more useable
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:14 AM	None
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:16 AM	aircraft covered parking for tenants
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:17 AM	more hangars

Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:19 AM	Promote community events and programs.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:24 AM	A restaurant would be really cool for local youth to work and and you could watch planes takeoff and land all day nothing fancy. This might bring in more business to boulder as well
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:25 AM	Noise abatement and environmental impact are most important
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:28 AM	I think we should focus on growing the use of the airport and growing the flight training program as well as maintenance programs on the field for aviation.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:33 AM	no comment
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:35 AM	N/A
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:38 AM	Cafe, nice place to watching take off and landings. Youth opportunities
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:40 AM	managed retreat from north to south of airport grounds ceding to other uses (FAA land used envelope dependent)
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:41 AM	Build more hangers!!!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:42 AM	None. Too many already.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:44 AM	Clean up the airport and make it more inviting to the public and provide scholarships to differently advantaged youth to make a successful career in aviation
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:48 AM	Resurface pavement that is cracking. Build more hangars.
Anonymous	Offer ethanol-free MOGAS in addition to speeding up the transition to

7/18/2023 10:50 AM

lead-free AV fuels

Anonymous

Not sure

7/18/2023 10:52 AM

Anonymous

With few exceptions, the City has no authority to regulate negative impacts - particularly noise - until you take the bold step of saying No to FAA grant monies. Adopt the landing fees now - you can.

7/18/2023 10:53 AM

Anonymous

None

7/18/2023 10:55 AM

Anonymous

glider training area modifications, unleaded fuel delivery, promote community events & programs, maintenance plan for aging infrastructure, update airport rules & regs

7/18/2023 10:57 AM

Anonymous

upgrade current facilities

7/18/2023 11:02 AM

Anonymous

Increased public viewing area and aviation-related community outreach. More hangars. Strong push towards unleaded fuel.

7/18/2023 11:03 AM

Anonymous

Nothing to add

7/18/2023 11:04 AM

Anonymous

Update maintenance

7/18/2023 11:04 AM

Anonymous

The Noise items are awful for an airport. It was here before the residents. We need to fly any time people want to fly - early training flights, early and late arrivals.

7/18/2023 11:10 AM

Anonymous

Can't think of any.

7/18/2023 11:20 AM

Anonymous

Regulation on air pollution

7/18/2023 11:24 AM

Anonymous

Add facility for overnight stays for pilots crossing country. Save tax

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/18/2023 11:30 AM

payer money! Cut property and sales taxes asap!

Anonymous

None

7/18/2023 11:30 AM

Anonymous

Immediately codify the intent to sunset this airport by no longer accepting FAA funding.

7/18/2023 11:35 AM

Anonymous

Improve security at the airport

7/18/2023 11:36 AM

Anonymous

flying

7/18/2023 11:36 AM

Anonymous

Advise people not to buy homes near the airport if they're noise sensitive. For those with noise sensitivity, consider programs to help them sell their home and find a quieter place.

7/18/2023 11:51 AM

Anonymous

Reduce non-aviation noise, such as lawn mowers and bush/tree trimmers

7/18/2023 12:00 PM

Anonymous

Improve or add non-automotive access to the airport.

7/18/2023 12:07 PM

Anonymous

New hangers

7/18/2023 12:12 PM

Anonymous

None.

7/18/2023 12:52 PM

Anonymous

update leasing structure, commit to long term maintenance, do an economic impact study

7/18/2023 12:26 PM

Anonymous

Stop flying planes! All of the pilots should have to suck a lawn more planes tail pipe

7/18/2023 12:34 PM

Anonymous

No additional. Adding housing would be a detriment to the area.

7/18/2023 12:35 PM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:36 PM	None.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:39 PM	Short term actions should be directed toward aviation safety and maintenance.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:40 PM	Not sure.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:41 PM	noise, fees, unleaded fuel, minimum FAA standards
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:52 PM	Work spaces. Fencing all around - right now you can walk around the airport to get to the planes without needing a code. More space for a bigger rental fleet. Parking lot needs to be redone
Anonymous 7/18/2023 12:59 PM	More events and communication
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:01 PM	Please leave it alone. Been there forever and never caused a problem. It is small and can stay that way.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:04 PM	Updated airport facilities as needed
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:10 PM	We do not need more housing. We need a place for emergency services to protect our existing housing.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:10 PM	na
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:25 PM	Like the airport economics section. Don't like the noise section. Too strict. 7 am to 9 pm year round is very reasonable. The city is not being DEI. Aviation is promotes DEI.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:29 PM	I disagree with the proposed change to introduce landing fees in order to deter traffic. The airport should remain a free and accessible area to conduct flight training for locals, especially students

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:30 PM	more community engagement with youth, huge opportunities with a little site update
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:35 PM	Improved appreciation for what it provides
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:38 PM	Find ways to minimize the tow plane and glider activities.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:39 PM	Bike path/nature trail. Connect to nearby existing trails.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:41 PM	It seems that the focus on noise is rather one-sided. The airport was built in 1928 and almost all surrounding areas were developed later. Similar to freeways some infrastructure must be prioritized.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:47 PM	Make it a more welcoming place for events and other uses in the community (not housing)
Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:06 PM	None. Airport is not needed. Noise pollution as well.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:14 PM	Public education of why small airports are important.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:15 PM	.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:18 PM	None
Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:35 PM	enhanced safety for incoming aircraft
Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:39 PM	No noise related changes should be made. We do our best to avoid flying over houses. The NIMBYs are a vocal minority and chose to live next to the airport,they shouldn't get to change our way of life.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:47 PM	N/A

Anonymous

7/18/2023 02:55 PM

Na

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:03 PM

The desired action items are limited to improvements assuming continuing use as an airport. This sounds like a predetermined outcome. The Community Working Group should take a very broad approach.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:09 PM

none

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:31 PM

N/A

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:40 PM

Develop and regulate noise abatement strategies to reduce the impact of noise from aircraft, Incorporate carbon reduction strategies into airport policies and future developments/ improvements

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:41 PM

Fly only on Sat OR Sunday - ruining both of my weekend days (which I typically spend outdoors with my kids) with airplane noise is terrible.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:45 PM

Noise abatement

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:45 PM

Noise abatement. Get rid of the leaded fuel. NOW.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:50 PM

Park

Anonymous

7/18/2023 03:57 PM

A full cafe and more activities for private pilots to engage youth. Mechanics that take interns and teach young people.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:04 PM

Subsidize/expedite the introduction of non-leaded fuels, rework/clarify voluntary noise abatement procedures. Educate anyone who ever flies commercially the importance of General Aviation

Anonymous

7/18/2023 04:20 PM

More hangars, better taxiways

Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:21 PM	Stop building non aviation things around the airport.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:35 PM	Improve ways to report noise. Use unleaded fuel.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:42 PM	improved Gunbarrel bicycle access in area would be great, connecting Airport Rd, Valmont, r 61st, 57th, Independence via east-of Hayden Lake (possible?)
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:54 PM	Anything that reduces flights and decreases environmental impact
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:59 PM	Your list covers most the items that occurred to me.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:09 PM	NO LANDING FEES!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:18 PM	Refuse any federal subsidies that require maintaining the airport use. Begin the process of voiding impediments to de-commissioning. Accept no more long-term stipulations that limit local control.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:37 PM	No landing fees, don't limit airport activities - it one reason to have an airport. I can use unleaded auto fuel in my airplane but it is not available at BDU
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:43 PM	None
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:09 PM	N/A
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:11 PM	address noise from noisiest operations, i.e. glider tow planes. Change flight paths / use quieter aircraft
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:11 PM	Put tiny homes there for unhoused people

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:29 PM	None
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:33 PM	Na
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:48 PM	The noise must be brought under control and leaded gas eliminated.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:56 PM	The noise is horrific over Gunbarrel. That and the lead pollution must be addressed.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 07:40 PM	No leaded fuel. An airport manager willing to pressure flight schools into abiding by noise procedures. Mufflers on touch and go and tow planes. Reprimand for harrassment flights directly over homes
Anonymous 7/18/2023 07:46 PM	I like the real time messaging to pilots. Maybe some sort of event where pilots can meet and talk to people who live nearby to determine the best ways to coexist in the area?
Anonymous 7/18/2023 07:57 PM	Attract and support electric aircraft r&d companies
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:05 PM	i would like to see someone send in a cleaning and general maintenance crew for a day or two scrub the hangers of rust and service the main building similarly.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:35 PM	I think you've covered the main ones.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:12 PM	Elimination of recreational flights. It pollutes the air and drops lead on residents, and is only accessible to those who can afford it. Not progressive.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:37 PM	None
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:37 PM	Water and soil pollution near airports need to be inspected, waste control, global environmental impacts from airport use, impacts to birds

Anonymous

7/18/2023 09:57 PM

n/a

Anonymous

7/18/2023 10:40 PM

I'm sympathetic to noise concerns, but hopefully we're not prohibiting flight after 5 PM, which seems crazy restrictive. Forbidding late night takeoffs (but landings permitted) might be tolerable.

Anonymous

7/18/2023 11:20 PM

Please take steps to provide regular unleaded gasoline to eliminate the use of AV Gas (100LL) low lead, a number of aircraft can already use this and it will help address concerns over lead emissions.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 12:17 AM

close the airport

Anonymous

7/19/2023 12:25 AM

unleaded fuels only

Anonymous

7/19/2023 03:16 AM

unleaded fuel

Anonymous

7/19/2023 05:08 AM

I like that the City recognizes this is a long-term plan and is willing to take the time to create a plan that best meets the needs for the most interest groups. Keep it up!

Anonymous

7/19/2023 05:26 AM

As a pilot and aerospace engineering student, I'll say the following: PLEASE expedite/subsidize unleaded fuel. EVTOL will be a pipe dream for decades. Talk to the FAA for stricter noise abatement.

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:03 AM

Mandatory noise abatement and flight paths with enforceable penalties - fines for rule breaking. Story about jackass from Longmont Skydiving buzzing houses was unacceptable

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:16 AM

Update infrastructure

Anonymous

7/19/2023 06:23 AM

Manage the airport for the long term community asset.... As an AIRPORT!

Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:37 AM	Ban all leaded gasoline and planes that use it.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:49 AM	acquire a winch for glider operations
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:50 AM	The FAA will have and has some authority on the changes. Until the FAA approves the changes no changes should occur.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:55 AM	Only unleaded fuel
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:58 AM	None
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:07 AM	No more leaded fuel
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:12 AM	Unleaded aviation fuel when it's available. Some non-alcohol mogas is available now and would help.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:13 AM	Economic study on impact of a local airport during emergencies (wildfires, mudslides)
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:17 AM	Maintenance!!
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:24 AM	Periodically hold an airport day like Longmont does every two years
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:29 AM	Community relations, Safety, Noise abatement, Environmental Impact actions
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:37 AM	Start winnowing its use and re-homing planes to the Rocky Mountain Airport ;)
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:37 AM	Improve hangars and support gliding activity now and in the future.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:53 AM	None
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:54 AM	None
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:11 AM	Leave it alone!
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:16 AM	Improve existing hangars and build new ones. Sell unleaded fuel. Add an instrument approach. Better separation between grass and paved runway. Improve fencing and add electronic gates.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:27 AM	No more leaded fuel
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:52 AM	Improve access for aviation related businesses.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 09:02 AM	Community Relations
Anonymous 7/19/2023 09:06 AM	Getting lead out of aviation fuels is a priority. Publishing noise complaint data map, excluding pii, would be great in understanding noise hotspots for noise abatement updates.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 09:24 AM	Talking with flight schools in Broomfield about the noise abatement procedures and potentially changing the flight pattern direction to avoid houses
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:17 AM	Maintenance plan for aging infrastructure
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:20 AM	not sure
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:31 AM	Ban leaded fuel immediately. It should have been banned in the 80's. Ban takeoffs to the west. Regulate and tax flight schools and business operations that externalize noise and pollution for profit.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:40 AM	Needs to be updated to aviation's needs
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:43 AM	accelerate unleaded and SAF delivery. develop vertiport infrastructure and electric flight infrastructure. require all new development be net zero (hangar/building). local STEM engagement.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:46 AM	STOP training flights, period.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:56 AM	None, the PDF with desired outcomes already seems over reaching and extensive.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 11:12 AM	Evaluate what aviation related events the community may benefit from, especially to form relationships between neighbors and pilots, that can be held with existing facilities.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 11:50 AM	None
Anonymous 7/19/2023 12:21 PM	Work with flight schools at nearby airports to follow noise abatement (majority of violations come from non-BDU based aircraft). Host STEM activity days at the airport.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 01:02 PM	environmental impact (carbon reduction) should be top priority
Anonymous 7/19/2023 01:11 PM	Avoid spending money on studies that will not result in policy changes.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 02:22 PM	none
Anonymous 7/19/2023 02:26 PM	I would emphasize the immediate change to unleaded gas and noise control.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:36 PM	Food truck like at Longmont Vance Brand airport; brings community members to the airport (and kids love it).

<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:39 PM</p>	<p>Forget the airport. BUILD AFFORDABLE HOUSING!!!!!!!!!!</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:45 PM</p>	<p>Focus on affordability in the housing, not market rate. I realize Kurt doesn't care about we citizens, but it would be great to house more of our service workers.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:50 PM</p>	<p>A viewing area with basic information about flying to enhance knowledge/understanding/thrill of flight The US needs more young people interested in becoming a pilot.</p>
<p>7/19/2023 05:24 PM</p>	<p>Lead free fuel required. Open the area to the east of the runways for additional hiking biking activities.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:17 PM</p>	<p>Reduced Airport Hanger Tax</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:35 PM</p>	<p>Engagement with local academia. Engage with students of all ages to foster a positive view of aviation and foster the next generation of aviation enthusiasts to preserve it in the boulder community.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:51 PM</p>	<p>Stop all planes from using leaded gasoline.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:04 PM</p>	<p>Better separation between 2 runways.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:04 PM</p>	<p>Reduce the noise</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:11 PM</p>	<p>That makes a lot of sense.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:37 PM</p>	<p>Unknown.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:40 PM</p>	<p>A lot of these don't seem feasible. The airport has always been here, people just want something to complain about. Worry about getting the train to Boulder to better serve the community.</p>

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:56 PM	Less planes.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:22 PM	None
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:32 PM	None
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:40 PM	Viewing areas for the public, PAPI / VASI on runway 08 - safety improvement.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:39 PM	Add more hangers
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:47 PM	Update, repair.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:47 PM	No additional items, but I put high priority on phasing out leaded fuel and reworking helicopter flight paths. Current flight paths are low-altitude, right over homes. It's disruptive and dangerous.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:21 PM	None
Anonymous 7/20/2023 01:22 AM	no more flying
Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:21 AM	While being good neighbors is important, many of the short term goals will impact safety by limiting training for pilots. I don't approve of any of the short term goals.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:35 AM	Improvements to any and all aviation related issues. NO MORE HOUSING!!
Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:36 AM	Stop low flying planes and lead pollution.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:48 AM	Reduce tow plane noise and all lead pollution.

Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:03 AM	Stop providing leaded fuel. Given FAA regs there is very little else the city or airport can do.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:26 AM	Shut it down.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:32 AM	No leaded gas on site. Restrict hours it operates.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:32 AM	None needed.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:45 AM	I strongly support noise reduction and greater care of environmental and health improvements. Less tourism by airplane. It is unsustainable and we have DEN and airport buses.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:02 AM	Develop community outreach program to educate the public and explain the benefits of having a small local community airport in Boulder. The airport needs a champion and promoter!
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:03 AM	No more leaded gas
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:17 AM	Reduced daily flights permitted and limited window for take off and landing.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:18 AM	Ban leaded gasoline.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:21 AM	Hanger construction
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:42 AM	Less lead pollution.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 10:06 AM	Unleaded fuel only. Otherwise the city cannot limit hours or enforce noise limits which are also needed.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/20/2023 10:50 AM	Any of the suggestions that would decrease noise and air pollution to the area.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 11:03 AM	No leaded gas
Anonymous 7/20/2023 11:41 AM	Stop.the noise and lead pollution.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 11:46 AM	Start the process of decommissioning it
Anonymous 7/20/2023 11:49 AM	N/A
Anonymous 7/20/2023 12:01 PM	Limited the number of plane or just shut it down.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 12:43 PM	Can the selling of leaded fuel be discontinued?
Anonymous 7/20/2023 02:04 PM	Something to address the hostile attitudes of those who rudely report noise issues. All of the pilots I've spoken to care about noise abatement, but the abuse towards them is unjustified.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 02:06 PM	Incentivize electric aircraft and extol. Create maintenance plan for airport
Anonymous 7/20/2023 02:11 PM	Please discount a number of the recommendations, which are made by people who don't understand an airport. City of Boulder airport & transportation employees and should "lift up" the airport. Try it.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 02:20 PM	limit touch and go activities; mandate quieter exhaust and muffler; eliminate leaded fuel; better ways to measure and report noise; expand noise sensitive area to residential area west of 47th st
Anonymous 7/20/2023 02:58 PM	additional hangars and better maintenance
Anonymous	Safety! And next time a council person gets on a plane for a trip,

7/20/2023 03:05 PM

remember you are flying in a plane flown by trained pilots. With the shortage of pilots it would be great to add a training school.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:22 PM

Enhanced CU Boulder Aerospace presence for training / teaching.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:25 PM

We need to expand the number of hangars and resurface some of the pavement.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:31 PM

Open this area to public use and connect it to the existing (awesome) Valmont Park.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:39 PM

Fuels that do not have lead

Anonymous

7/20/2023 03:50 PM

Help support airport to bring unleaded fuel to airport, aviation related community USES and updating of infrastructure.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 04:02 PM

Ban leaded fuel immediately

Anonymous

7/20/2023 04:12 PM

No landings after 9 pm. Early departures are critical in summer 7 am

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:18 PM

Limit flights and types of air craft to reduce noise.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:28 PM

Stop distributing leaded fuel.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:45 PM

Don't care

Anonymous

7/20/2023 06:45 PM

Do not offer lead fuel on site.

Anonymous

7/20/2023 07:10 PM

Limit the hours of operation and type of aircraft.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:22 PM	No comment
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:10 PM	Incorporate carbon reduction into airport policy but no housing
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:40 PM	Limit range and time of flights. Get rid of leaded gas.
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:41 PM	Better airport maintenance
Anonymous 7/21/2023 05:03 AM	education opportunities
Anonymous 7/21/2023 05:49 AM	Update according to pilots and FAA. If neighbors are complaining of noise why did they buy a house next to an airport? Tell them to move somewhere else.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:10 AM	none
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:14 AM	Pretty satisfied with how the airport works right now
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:51 AM	Unsure
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:54 AM	love limiting noise and environmental impact
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:08 AM	Noise abatement
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:08 AM	Safety measures
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:17 AM	Discontinue using lead fuel
Anonymous	Vocational classes to be held on site. How cool to learn mechanics or

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/21/2023 07:24 AM	piloting for young people.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:27 AM	I don't know
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:33 AM	Update economics at airport to cover expenses
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:33 AM	no comment
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:38 AM	Gather more feedback from communities
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:52 AM	Survey frequent and occasional business users of the airport for the impact of proposed changes to airport operations and infrastructure and publish the aggregate response.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:01 AM	Dont waste good money , have clear shutdown plan
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:11 AM	None
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:14 AM	Public education about the economic and emergency benefits that the airport brings to Boulder.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:22 AM	NOISE!, Environmental effects, Safety
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:30 AM	No comment
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:36 AM	Hire a new Airport Manager. Current manager has no clue how to make soaring safe at KDBU. Very poor relationship with users.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 08:52 AM	Maintain what is there better.
Anonymous	I appreciate all of the noise abatement changes here - specifically,

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

7/21/2023 09:06 AM

touch-and-go. It would be nice to assign that practice elsewhere.

Anonymous

Everything in this plan looks good.

7/21/2023 09:06 AM

Anonymous

Quickly eliminate lead based fuels.

7/21/2023 09:07 AM

Anonymous

Immediate ban of leaded gasoline!

7/21/2023 09:17 AM

Anonymous

none

7/21/2023 09:21 AM

Anonymous

None, focus on economics and community use.

7/21/2023 09:24 AM

Anonymous

Like the noise abatement and non lead fuel

7/21/2023 09:27 AM

Anonymous

Can't think of any

7/21/2023 09:34 AM

Anonymous

IDK

7/21/2023 09:38 AM

Anonymous

timeline is unclear to me so I don't know what nearterm means

7/21/2023 09:40 AM

Anonymous

don't know/

7/21/2023 09:49 AM

Anonymous

Less airplane noise over the city and cleaner aviation fuel.

7/21/2023 09:58 AM

Anonymous

remove the airport immediately

7/21/2023 10:14 AM

Anonymous

Noise abatement

7/21/2023 10:28 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:29 AM	stop noisy joy-riding over residences and Open Space and Mountain Park lands
Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:38 AM	Stop using leaded gasoline/fuel.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:45 AM	Unleaded fuel only.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:46 AM	solar power? e-vehicle stations?
Anonymous 7/21/2023 10:56 AM	NA
Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:03 AM	Stop allowing the use of leaded gasoline or any fuel with lead.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:31 AM	This seems like a good and comprehensive list
Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:31 AM	grow airport
Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:33 AM	No lead!
Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:36 AM	Do not change hours of operation.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:43 AM	Track all flights traveling in the city's airspace and watersheds and publish it.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:45 AM	Allow normal use of airport for training, less restrictions.
Anonymous 7/21/2023 11:48 AM	Improve facilities
Anonymous 7/21/2023 12:11 PM	I like the idea of improving the airport's aviation-related economic viability

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

No leaded gasoline

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

Desirable as they are, some of the noise and environmental items are impractical or excessive or premature. Expediting unleaded fuel: Excellent & a feather in Boulder's cap, subject to availability.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:54 PM

Noise is the biggest, obvious complaint. That can be resolved with pilot education and runway signage.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 12:55 PM

Improve pilot service

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:00 PM

more plants less pavement

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:05 PM

None

Anonymous

7/21/2023 01:07 PM

collect and share data on noise (new sensors)

c2

7/21/2023 02:08 PM

Most of what's in Noise, Airport Economics, and Safety

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:10 PM

Stop selling leaded fuel.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:18 PM

Stop the use of leaded fuel and do let plane that use it land at the airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:28 PM

No idea. I don't use the airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 02:33 PM

Way too many actions listed on pdf. Unrealistic. I think safety and community relations items are a reasonable first start.

Anonymous

Noise reduction and environmental impact

7/21/2023 02:54 PM

Anonymous

I think these short term goals are positive

7/21/2023 02:57 PM

Anonymous

Remove action items that charge fees and limit/restrict landings and flight training. These existing actions may appease some community members, but they are detrimental to many who fly.

7/21/2023 03:01 PM

Anonymous

Switch to unleaded fuel only!

7/21/2023 03:03 PM

Anonymous

The noise abatement items don't seem like they'll solve the main problem of too many planes flying over the area. This activity serves a select few with high impact to others. Housing is needed.

7/21/2023 03:09 PM

Anonymous

Stop so many plane, especially those from out of town, from landing since they fly low over town.

7/21/2023 03:16 PM

Anonymous

I don't know

7/21/2023 03:18 PM

Anonymous

none

7/21/2023 03:21 PM

Anonymous

Steps should be taken to ensure all current infrastructure is being utilized and well maintained.

7/21/2023 03:22 PM

Anonymous

All suggested noise, environmental and health strategies. Complaint data to city council. No flying events. Coordination of pilots and neighbors. Update contracts to cover costs. Publish safety data.

7/21/2023 03:25 PM

Anonymous

No lead.

7/21/2023 03:48 PM

Anonymous

Those seem good.

7/21/2023 04:24 PM

Anonymous

No more lead fuel or planes that use it.

7/21/2023 04:59 PM

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:09 PM

Expedite the delivery of unleaded aviation fuel to BDU – establish timeline for discontinuing use of leaded fuel, Investigate the potential for transport aviation,

Anonymous

7/21/2023 05:40 PM

No lead fuel; no flights between 8 pm and 8 am

Anonymous

7/21/2023 06:01 PM

COMMUNITY RELATIONS

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:01 PM

Foster more opportunities for general aviation.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:30 PM

A hub for environmentally friendly air travel. Allow periodic events. Promote lower noise aircraft. Learning center for zero emission aviation

Anonymous

7/21/2023 07:44 PM

better fencing

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:07 PM

Why can't Boulder be a leader and push for unleaded fuel at their airport.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:12 PM

Close airport?

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:20 PM

There's been too much increase in noise pollution. Anything to put a lid on this please!

Anonymous

7/21/2023 08:52 PM

Flight traffic is all day long. What can we do about it? There are days we don't get a break from it. It makes me not want to be home.

Anonymous

7/21/2023 10:25 PM

Agree with the recommended actions in this link

Anonymous

7/21/2023 11:42 PM

None

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/22/2023 06:19 AM	Begin decommissioning
Anonymous 7/22/2023 06:55 AM	Eliminate lead pollution
Anonymous 7/22/2023 07:09 AM	Improved Aviation Facilities
Anonymous 7/22/2023 07:31 AM	If lead fuel is being used that needs to stop.
Anonymous 7/22/2023 07:52 AM	Stop supplying leaded fuel.
Anonymous 7/22/2023 08:31 AM	None
Anonymous 7/22/2023 08:33 AM	Put real fences around the airport. Military transport aircraft will never land at BDU without fences, and they bring a TON of economic impact to the airport
Anonymous 7/22/2023 09:20 AM	Stop selling leaded gas.
Anonymous 7/22/2023 09:29 AM	Keep it maintained and encourage aviation!
Anonymous 7/22/2023 09:37 AM	Stop using and storing leaded fuel
Anonymous 7/22/2023 10:04 AM	Improved aviation
Anonymous 7/22/2023 10:16 AM	No lead gasoline, please. Otherwise, there is no much more that the city can do to reduce the noise and CO2 emissions.
Anonymous 7/22/2023 10:25 AM	Stop using and supplying leaded fuel.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 11:32 AM</p>	<p>The link isn't working. Less pollution and noise.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 11:39 AM</p>	<p>Schedule regular food truck hours/community events at the airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 12:25 PM</p>	<p>I like the airport as is. I enjoy viewing the planes over my neighborhood and also going to the airport to plane spot.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 12:45 PM</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 01:41 PM</p>	<p>Restrict or ban the use of leaded fuel.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 02:31 PM</p>	<p>Communication center for aviation job grants (there appear to be many opportunities for youth) and development for aircraft maintenance & repair training facilities (for youth and adult careers).</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 02:33 PM</p>	<p>The national airspace system is federal. Don't try to limit local aircraft configurations etc (not possible); encourage green aerospace tech businesses in Boulder and use airport as hub.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 03:14 PM</p>	<p>I don't agree with all that is there, yet I can't think of others currently</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 04:49 PM</p>	<p>I want to see it closed. Any changes I would want, such as restricted hours and no flight training facility are infeasible because of FAA regulations.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/22/2023 09:11 PM</p>	<p>Keep it an airport</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/23/2023 07:42 AM</p>	<p>Remove leaded fuel from the site.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/23/2023 07:53 AM</p>	<p>We should be aggressively pursuing short-term actions to reduce or remove leaded fuel and noise pollution. Those are serious hazards.</p>

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:05 AM

Stop noisy planes that use leaded gas.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:15 AM

Noise is the issue I'd most like to see addressed. Advanced propellers can be used that reduce noise nearly 10dB. Second, efforts to reduce/eliminate leaded fuel. In the short term this means elect

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:39 AM

I realize this is not what you are asking, but I am opposed to landing fees.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:48 AM

Start cleaning up the pollution on site.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 08:50 AM

Whatever happens, do not take money from or enter into a new long term agreement with the FAA. It will tie our hands.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 09:33 AM

Your list is adequate

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:07 AM

None

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:21 AM

Lead is really bad very kids. Get rid of it in fuels.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:48 AM

I have nothing to add.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:54 AM

Less leaded fuel or none at all.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 11:17 AM

Only allow electric planes, encourage the development of electric planes as an R&D site.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 12:28 PM

There's too much already identified. Shorten the existing list to what is reasonably attainable short term.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 12:32 PM

Better communication with the community.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:11 PM

I have nothing to add to that list.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:21 PM

I would love to see more active tenants in the main hangars adjacent to taxi way Alpha.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 01:55 PM

mandate non leaded aviation fuel

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:13 PM

Close it

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:17 PM

N/A

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:26 PM

Improved maintenance and repairs.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:49 PM

the list makes sense.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 02:55 PM

Develop and implement noise abatement strategies

Anonymous

7/23/2023 03:41 PM

safety measures, both environmental and human, for all stakeholders involved.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 04:25 PM

Plant way more trees.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 04:47 PM

Northern neighbors do not want their views ruined. Talk of 50+ foot construction. Independence Rd. is a PROTECTED view corridor-ruined if buildings are this tall. No increased traffic on Independenc

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:12 PM

No lead and less CO2 emissions.

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:29 PM

I have read that some airports may stop using lead furl because of the health dangers. End the use of leaded gas is first thing to do.

Anonymous
7/23/2023 05:36 PM
Require unleaded gasoline. I just loathe these planes flying overhead all day long, spreading a neurotoxin

Anonymous
7/23/2023 06:35 PM
Stop supplying lead gasoline.

Anonymous
7/23/2023 06:39 PM
The alleys between hangars seem exceptionally wide, one could imagine narrowing these and getting more rental income!

Anonymous
7/23/2023 07:00 PM
Stop the use of leaded fuel.

Anonymous
7/23/2023 07:13 PM
None

Anonymous
7/23/2023 07:23 PM
We should just get started building houses as soon as possible.

Anonymous
7/23/2023 08:05 PM
Focus on environmental and health, and economic

Anonymous
7/23/2023 09:58 PM
Noise abatement and studying environmental impacts seem important

Anonymous
7/23/2023 10:21 PM
Na

Anonymous
7/24/2023 05:56 AM
Environmental and Health Impact

Anonymous
7/24/2023 06:14 AM
we have much bigger issues than the airport, like schools and lowering property tax

Anonymous
7/24/2023 06:36 AM
Ban lead based fuel, moved to electric airplanes,, and significantly reduce the amount of noise. These should be prioritized.

Anonymous
7/24/2023 07:37 AM
close 1 day week as a test

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/24/2023 07:43 AM	Outreach to and direct involvement in airport decision making for immediate neighbors
Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:01 AM	These cover shortening of hours and adding more uses if current land.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:26 AM	No leaded fuel.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:41 AM	Start building homes/apartments as soon as possible
Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:46 AM	student aviators exempt from touch and go restriction.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:52 AM	Only unleaded fuel
Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:00 AM	none
Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:09 AM	Community involvement
Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:17 AM	Remove leaded gasoline.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:19 AM	Enforce the 1000ft above ground elevation requirement. Track the planes and fine the pilots who violate this by flying so low over neighborhoods.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:22 AM	Cafe.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:46 AM	N/A
Anonymous 7/24/2023 09:58 AM	Landing fees. Ban leaded fuel sales. Enforce operational hours. Increase noise abatement zone to 8500' and to cover all of Boulder.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:27 AM	Start cleaning up any toxin at the site to make it a safe place to live.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:30 AM	2 mains complaints from public. Noise and leaded fuel. City could mandate IMMEDIATELY no more sale of leaded fuel at airport. City could mandate that based aircraft at BDU have upgrade quieter muffler
Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:35 AM	None. Decommission as soon as possible
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:00 AM	Less plane and less pollution.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:02 AM	Get rid of leaded fuel, get rid of the gliders. Tell the gliders to go back to circling near the airport and not fly south to annoy me!
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:13 AM	Remove leaded fuel tanks.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:29 AM	Fewer planes especially before 8 am.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:28 AM	Be realistic about what can and cannot be done. Put a stopp to the dis-information
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:36 AM	Environmental & Health impacts, and Airport Economics should be priorities.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:46 AM	No leaded fuel on-site.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:12 PM	Flight restrictions
Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:20 PM	The Desired Action Items PDF is a great start.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:43 PM	I live about .5 miles from the airport, and I am unbothered by the short term change topics. I like the airport as it is.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 12:34 PM

Keep the airport open and focus on how to make aviation more sustainable (what a great opportunity for Boulder to be a part of the future of aviation!!).

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:04 PM

The Airport Authority should require all planes to be less noisy, and that they be considerate of the homes they are flying past by keeping their altitude higher.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:29 PM

PLEASE bring unleaded fuel! That is the number one improvement we need as the airport continues.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 02:42 PM

A MUP connection to 61st Street

Anonymous

7/24/2023 04:03 PM

Noise reduction and carbon emission reduction - reduce negative impacts on surrounding neighborhoods

Anonymous

7/24/2023 04:17 PM

Don't allow plains with leaded fuel.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 07:40 PM

?

Anonymous

7/24/2023 08:28 PM

Eliminate leaded fuels at the airport.

Anonymous

7/24/2023 10:13 PM

NA

Anonymous

7/25/2023 12:20 AM

Give youth in the community the opportunity to tour the airport and learn about aviation.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 02:09 AM

No opinion

Anonymous

7/25/2023 04:32 AM

Some noise abatement strategies might be OK, but leave it as an airport.

Anonymous 7/25/2023 05:30 AM	A community pool for year round use
Anonymous 7/25/2023 06:37 AM	Limit recreational air traffic and require quieter air craft.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 06:59 AM	Stop the use and distribution of leaded fuels.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:02 AM	Start putting price tags on all the items being imagined.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:21 AM	Stop polluting the site which make redevelopment more expensive and may prohibit certain uses.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:34 AM	No more leaded fuel
Anonymous 7/25/2023 08:40 AM	No leaded gas
Anonymous 7/25/2023 08:53 AM	I support the airport economics and safety items but object to events being stopped at BDU. In particular glider events should still be offered and the public encouraged to attend.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 09:11 AM	Ban flightsnon the weekends
Anonymous 7/25/2023 12:03 PM	None, I think if Boulder could accomplish those 39 tasks in the next few years then the airport will be much improved
Anonymous 7/25/2023 01:34 PM	can't think of any additional ones
Anonymous 7/25/2023 01:51 PM	I think these action items are sufficient.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:00 PM	Working with RMRA and Vance Brand Airports to agree on noise sensitive areas

Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:47 PM	IMMEDIATELY STOP TAKING FAA GRANT FUNDS. IMMEDIATELY BAN THE GLIDER TOW PLANES FROM OPERATING. THE NOISE IS BONE CRUSHING AND SHOULD BE ILLEGAL!! THE ENTIRE COMUNITY IS BEING VIOLENTLY ASSAULTED!
Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:58 PM	Limited hours for fights. All day long every day is too much.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:02 PM	Landing fees for all pilots/planes should stimmy the bottomless impacts here.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:11 PM	So much plane noise, what can we do? I trust what others have started here.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:17 PM	Landing fees and can er get rid of the tow planes? Those are obnoxious.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:27 PM	No leaded gas at the airport.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 04:05 PM	Anything to alleviate the NOISE!
Anonymous 7/25/2023 04:16 PM	none
Anonymous 7/25/2023 05:31 PM	Landing fees for all pilots, but this list looks fantastic.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 05:38 PM	low income subsidies for skydiving
Anonymous 7/25/2023 05:58 PM	Ban tow planes?
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:01 PM	There are no additional needed changes. There are too many listed here already. To implement these doesn't seem realistic.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:53 PM	Improve existing facilities

Anonymous

7/25/2023 08:14 PM

Limiting hours of operation, charging fees to account for noise and climate impacts, health assessments so kids don't end up with high lead levels like to the south of us.

Anonymous

7/25/2023 09:28 PM

Connector path from bike path recently put in up to 61st so that it would connect into valmont and a path paralleling independence to connect the 61st dead end with the cottonwood trail.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:38 AM

Landing fees and going down to 1 runway will help.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 06:45 AM

I support all of the action items to reduce noise pollution and limit environmental and health impacts

Anonymous

7/26/2023 07:18 AM

Stop distributing leaded fuels.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 08:47 AM

Noise control.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 09:21 AM

No lead

Anonymous

7/26/2023 10:45 AM

Prep the property for housing development.

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:28 AM

Noise reduction and phasing out leaded fuel

Anonymous

7/26/2023 11:38 AM

Noise reduction

Anonymous

7/26/2023 12:36 PM

extended Quiet hours. Limiting number of planes per day. mandate lead free gas for all aircraft

Anonymous

7/26/2023 01:33 PM

that list seems to really hate on the airport.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 02:16 PM</p>	<p>Education of the public regarding current aviation best practices (i.e. unleaded fuel coming, noise reduction advisory vs punitive, Value judgement bias (i.e. motorcycles vs airplanes, difference? why)</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 03:02 PM</p>	<p>Tax airplanes that use leaded fuel or ban the use of it.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 06:51 PM</p>	<p>Shut down the runway ASAP and don't let the FAA bully Boulders climate goals. The FAA needs a swift reality check and kick in the ass. They've been screwing over communities left and right.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 07:01 PM</p>	<p>Stand up to the FAA. They don't offer an exit, so Boulder makes one up that works best for the community. Boulder would be heroic- for real. Other towns have done it.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 07:29 PM</p>	<p>Review operating hours to satisfy neighbors and licensure requirements for training of pilots.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 07:59 PM</p>	<p>Noise and Environmental Health impact</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 08:33 PM</p>	<p>Nothing additional</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/26/2023 11:46 PM</p>	<p>The airport should be shut down and existing users referred to other airports.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 05:11 AM</p>	<p>Update and maintain.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 06:11 AM</p>	<p>None.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 06:30 AM</p>	<p>It seems like they do what they want where and when. Our NE open space has been taken over, bike paths taken over, you can't get away from this airport. Closure ASAP seems like the best option.</p>
<p>Anonymous 7/27/2023 09:31 AM</p>	<p>You set rules? Follow them. Simple as that. It's infuriating that as a city, infrastructure is never addressed for the longevity of boulder county and surrounding counties. More people isn't the answer</p>

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:19 AM

I can't think of any, but the Environmental and Health Impact changes are most important to me.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:47 AM

Investigate what can be done about easement restrictions preventing path construction from 61st to Valmont

Anonymous

7/27/2023 10:51 AM

Community relations, noise

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:10 AM

Prioritize airport safety, data transparency, reducing impacts to neighbors from noise and lead. Also, prioritize helping existing businesses transition off the airport before decommissioning.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:11 AM

Noise Abatement and updated hours of operations

Anonymous

7/27/2023 11:32 AM

Both Noise and Safety should be our priority.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:00 PM

Add more hangars to rent to increase revenues. Replace glider training launches with an electric winch system - quieter and more environmentally friendly.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:18 PM

Investigating the impact on children's health, green house gas impact and incentivize the use of electric airplanes and create community programs for underserved communities at the airport.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 12:27 PM

Stop the tow plane operations and limit touch and go operations. I have airplanes flying over my home nearly every 5 minutes all day, every day. Unbearable

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:05 PM

Noise abatement and aviation safety. Also how about restricting early morning flights (5:00 AM to 6:30 AM) along south - north corridor next to flatirons? No sunrise tourist or commuter flights.

Anonymous

7/27/2023 01:10 PM

deconstruction

Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:15 PM	Close it
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:19 PM	none. other than what I mentioned
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:25 PM	NONE
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:28 PM	Phase out aviation operations - no new aircraft, gradually reduce hours and days of operation
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:37 PM	Na
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:38 PM	Planning for affordable, mixed-use, and transit accessible neighborhood
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:53 PM	N/A
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:55 PM	Start Decommissioning process
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:12 PM	Additional taxes for private planes
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:23 PM	Better aviation oriented facilities.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:38 PM	Impact on environment and nature
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:39 PM	The airport site should be used for other purposes than aviation.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:46 PM	None
Anonymous	Dedicated food truck on weekends, with larger food truck gatherings

7/27/2023 02:53 PM

in conjunction with community-driven aviation events.

Anonymous

Restrict flights over current adjacent neighborhoods

7/27/2023 02:53 PM

Anonymous

Remove leaded fuel.

7/27/2023 03:17 PM

Anonymous

Close it asap

7/27/2023 03:19 PM

Anonymous

Na

7/27/2023 03:26 PM

Anonymous

Immediate closure of the airport

7/27/2023 03:29 PM

Anonymous

no new growth

7/27/2023 03:46 PM

Anonymous

Investigate aviation lead emissions and the impact they're having on the surrounding environment and human health

7/27/2023 03:48 PM

Anonymous

I like live/work but the example you showed is truly ugly and if it looks like that I would hate it

7/27/2023 03:49 PM

Anonymous

Do not penalize pilots with the many restrictions you plan

7/27/2023 04:01 PM

Anonymous

Please provide more information about current conditions at airport

7/27/2023 04:03 PM

Anonymous

none that I am concerned about currently

7/27/2023 04:25 PM

Anonymous

Close asap

7/27/2023 04:30 PM

Anonymous

Housing with a small amount of airport to serve forest fire assistance.

7/27/2023 07:05 PM

Anonymous 7/27/2023 07:34 PM	Expedite unleaded fuel, limit flight hours/events, publish estimates of lead emissions, investigate impact of lead and greenhouse gasses
Anonymous 7/27/2023 08:13 PM	N/A
Anonymous 7/27/2023 08:24 PM	I think the identified short-term actions make sense.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 08:41 PM	to me the most important action items have to do with the health and environmental impacts
Anonymous 7/27/2023 09:18 PM	improve existing facilities
Anonymous 7/28/2023 05:55 AM	Encourage the use of lead free fuel. Night time noise abatement
Anonymous 7/28/2023 06:29 AM	Stop.the noise.
Anonymous 7/28/2023 06:48 AM	Rezone ASAP and allow developers to submit proposals
Anonymous 7/28/2023 06:49 AM	don't know
Anonymous 7/28/2023 07:29 AM	?
Anonymous 7/28/2023 07:30 AM	I have lived in North Bulder for 25 years I think a small group of people are complaining. I think getting rid of it will create more noise from longmont and Jefco airports
Anonymous 7/28/2023 07:47 AM	Unsure
Anonymous 7/28/2023 08:00 AM	I like the ones listed in Environmental and Health

Anonymous

7/28/2023 08:55 AM

N/A

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:25 AM

Not sure

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:29 AM

A minority of pilots ignore the noise guidelines. Our community needs mechanisms to enforce the rules for all. Also, make this hobby for the wealthy free from spewing toxic lead over homes and schools

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:31 AM

Noise abatement and environmental safeguards.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 09:38 AM

None.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 10:10 AM

rerouting of helicopters away from Vista Village and prohibiting leaded fuel

Anonymous

7/28/2023 10:25 AM

I don't know enough about the airport to have an opinion on this.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 11:47 AM

I value the educational elements of the airport and hope those can be expanded. I recognize the need for touch n go landings in training

Anonymous

7/28/2023 11:55 AM

Eliminate airport, noise, and pollution.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 12:01 PM

Make it carbon free by 2030, and eliminate lead now.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 01:11 PM

I agree with most of the suggestions; especially the touch and go practice be limited and maybe directed to the Longmont airport and helicopter tours be suspended.

Anonymous

7/28/2023 01:20 PM

Noise level limitation for airplanes; there are some which are extremely loud

Anonymous

Publish FAA regulations so that residents are aware of existing

7/28/2023 01:27 PM

federal aviation requirements and guidelines for noise complaints

Anonymous

Open space, community housing.

7/28/2023 01:30 PM

Anonymous

Don't know the cost/benefit of proposed actions and therefore cannot comment.

7/28/2023 01:41 PM

Anonymous

Keep reminding neighbors that with airport operations comes noise. It was their choice to live in an area where airport noise is a regular occurrence.

7/28/2023 03:35 PM

Anonymous

whatever it takes to make the planes, especially the really low-flying ones, not be so incredibly NOISY; require planes to use unleaded fuel, just like the rest of us have to use unleaded fuel

7/28/2023 04:35 PM

Anonymous

Get ready for residential buildings so they can be built sooner than later.

7/28/2023 05:21 PM

Anonymous

Build an aviation museum. Include Boulder's role in aviation, aerospace, the Apollo program and more.

7/28/2023 07:40 PM

Anonymous

Reduction of noise and focus on safety

7/28/2023 08:52 PM

Anonymous

Current hours or operation and noise abatement strategies are voluntary, not enforceable and not followed. Separate out which of these ideas are guidelines and which are enforceable requirements

7/28/2023 09:39 PM

Anonymous

more maintenance and improvements

7/29/2023 05:19 AM

Anonymous

Shortterm changes...fix hangers, add food, add park, improve terminle bldg, better management!!!!

7/29/2023 05:39 AM

Anonymous

Better city and airport relations

7/29/2023 06:02 AM

Anonymous 7/29/2023 09:12 AM	Start planning infrastructure to serve to new neighborhoods.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 10:09 AM	Include South Boulder in Voluntary Noise Abatement; Monitor aviation noise levels in residential areas
Anonymous 7/29/2023 10:34 AM	More involvement with children and the community to support aviation in the community. Better leases that are tenant friendly so we can continue to maintain and restore aircraft
Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:20 AM	I support ideas for Economic and environmental health for boulder.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:34 AM	I've lived out here for over thirty years and there really isn't a noise issue, but as for additional short term action, I'd be good with multi-use paths and re-opening convos w/FAA would be good.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:43 AM	Stop the lead pollution and loud airplanes.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:48 AM	I would focus on Noise and Health and Environmental initiatives.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 12:04 PM	Design for the right set of users. Aviation is a broad term and the future can unfold opportunities for sustainable air travel.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 12:22 PM	Housing as soon. As
Anonymous 7/29/2023 12:57 PM	-No additions.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:03 PM	rework flight paths to the extent safe and possible.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:05 PM	No suggestions
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:36 PM	Pilots should help cleanup

Anonymous
7/29/2023 01:37 PM

Improved facility maintenance, and terminal building. Repair hangars.
No housing.

Anonymous
7/29/2023 02:02 PM

Decommission airport as soon as possible and start to create more
mixed use housing at the site.

zenos_dog
7/29/2023 02:09 PM

Somehow pilots that fly low over neighborhoods need to be punished

Anonymous
7/29/2023 02:54 PM

Shut it down. Set limited hours for flights. The planes are landing at
630am and they fly over our house.

Anonymous
7/29/2023 04:24 PM

Most of these ideas came from people who hate the airport. What
about real ideas to improve the airport and make it best-in-class?
You're trying to fix problems that are problems for a small number.

Anonymous
7/29/2023 04:31 PM

I think we need to look at some of the noise concerns and
environment impact.

Anonymous
7/29/2023 04:44 PM

Less pollution

Anonymous
7/29/2023 04:52 PM

Offer G100UL unleaded fuels to encourage a safe transition from
leaded.

Anonymous
7/29/2023 05:11 PM

I have patients who live in the trailer park on airport road and they are
concerned about homeless camps leaving raw sewage in open areas
near the airport

Anonymous
7/29/2023 07:18 PM

one year hangar lease

Anonymous
7/29/2023 08:37 PM

Most action items seem to benefit neighborhoods other than those
immediately adjacent to the airport.

Anonymous
7/29/2023 08:53 PM

Of the items in the PDF, I most support those under noise and
environmental/health impact. I support actions with more direct
impacts (like switching fuel) over studies that are more indirect.

Anonymous 7/30/2023 05:53 AM	Reduce the loud overflights over neighborhoods. It used to be mostly gliders and pull aircraft. Now we are buzzed over and over on all sunny days. It's awful.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:06 AM	More hangars
Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:15 AM	Safety - Implement operational procedures based on aviation expertise input and pilot community experience considerations.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:17 AM	Stop leaded gas sales
Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:37 AM	N/a
Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:40 AM	none
Anonymous 7/30/2023 06:44 AM	No opinion.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 07:14 AM	limit flight times to working hours 9-5
Anonymous 7/30/2023 07:18 AM	The noise abatement issues and environmental issues (especially leaded fuel) are most important to me.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 07:21 AM	None
Anonymous 7/30/2023 07:21 AM	Replace the airport manager
Anonymous 7/30/2023 07:47 AM	Not necessary. It's not yours - leave it alone.
Anonymous	None

7/30/2023 08:33 AM

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:35 AM

No leaded fuel. Climate change implication must be a guiding principle.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:37 AM

Airport Economics

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:40 AM

Get rid of all air traffic. Remediate the land.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:56 AM

Can't think of any

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:59 AM

Start decommissioning and land use planning.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:03 AM

Stop leaded fuel. Stop FAA funding.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:03 AM

More hangars

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:16 AM

Your list is comprehensive.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:30 AM

addl electrical outlets and improved infrastructure on N.side of airport.
Addl hanger space

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:37 AM

Landing fees for non-based aircraft only

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:38 AM

Incentivize the use of electric airplanes, Land use compatibility –
modify and strengthen the influence zone coordination for
construction and implement a mandatory disclosure program

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:48 AM

I think that many of the short term actions will negatively impact the
safety and viability of the airport. DO NOT IMPLEMENT.

Anonymous

safety, environmental impact

7/30/2023 10:01 AM

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:03 AM

More events, such as the 1940s themed party will help bring in more revenue and support to keep the airport running.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:16 AM

Glider electric charging outlets.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:21 AM

Encourage understanding of the importance, history, and science of aviation

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:25 AM

I think there are enough already listed

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:32 AM

no recommendations

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:43 AM

The action item list presented is very extensive. There is no need to add more items. If only 25% of those items could be implemented, then that would be a major accomplishment.t

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:51 AM

Reasonable noise abatement efforts should continue. Establish lead free gas as it becomes available. This is not a controlled airport. Don't try to make it into one.e

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 AM

Implementing landing fees is out of alignment with Boulder values and ideals. We do not charge for access to other public services or spaces and this may be in violation of existing FAA agreements

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:01 AM

No more FAA grants that limit local control over our public land. Stop selling and supplying leaded gasoline or fuel.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:08 AM

focus on aviation as a community tool

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:13 AM

Implement communication tools to enhance aviation safety

Anonymous

Aviation is vital for wildfire and air ambulance

7/30/2023 11:19 AM

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:23 AM

With enhanced Community Relations it could reduce conflicts and enhance understanding with those who are against. The airport has been around longer than many surrounding neighborhoods.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:24 AM

We have a beautiful small airport. Let's take care of it and make it work for the community as a whole.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:25 AM

Celebrate the gliding and soaring success and safety record. Boulder is one of the finest soaring sites in the US.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:26 AM

Noise abatement is always a good thing! Ditto on safety measures, airport economics, and community involvement. That space would be really nice as a mixed-usage area.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:34 AM

Noise abatement

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:39 AM

Lets think outside the box - what will Boulder want/need 20-50 years from now? Not an airport.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:46 AM

Improving the hangers and hanger availability

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:58 AM

The Airport Manager and staff should spend as much time managing expectations of the community as they do gathering and collating noise data. Sorry, but some airplanes are loud.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:00 PM

The leaded fuel eed to go immediately. No more FAA that limits local goverance.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:02 PM

Create a larger buffer area for glider tow planes. Currently they are supposed to stay N of Arapahoe and East of 55th, but I hear them all the time too close to my house in Arapahoe Ridge.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 12:07 PM

Pilots shouldn't fly low loud aircraft over neighborhoods. Many don't seem to care about the effect for people on the ground

Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:07 PM	Limit the number of planes and hours of use.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:15 PM	Change flight paths of all planes so they do not fly over populated areas.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:25 PM	Make people implement changes/improvements to quiet exceptionally noisy planes and to stop flying over our homes. Flights could easily go over the Skate Park where no one lives.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:54 PM	none
Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:55 PM	Get rid of the airport if that is an option. Longmont is just north and be service to people coming to Boulder. I am also under the flight path in Parkside neighborhood so more air traffic isn't best.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:55 PM	The new housing (which is good!) north of Old Tale Road and part of East Boulder Plan is under the glider box and should have avigation easements.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 12:58 PM	Place for watching airplanes landing and taking off. It's my grandson's favorite thing to do.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:11 PM	reduce hours of operation
Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:12 PM	Improve facilities/infrastructure for gliders, including electric self-launching gliders
Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:14 PM	Na
Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:16 PM	Unleaded Aviation Fuel.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:31 PM	I don't trust new housing will be under 150K

Anonymous 7/30/2023 01:31 PM	none
Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:04 PM	No opinion
Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:16 PM	Retuning to dual use - power and glider - operations
Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:21 PM	Safety; climate change reduction strategies.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:36 PM	Maintain what we have
Anonymous 7/30/2023 02:45 PM	Stop using fossil fuels.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:13 PM	Education to the non GA public and how it falls into their personal agenda/believes.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:29 PM	none
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:30 PM	The absolute minimum considering how many people use it. No more FAA grants. Compare this usage with Flatirons golf course.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:30 PM	unleaded fuel availability, local youth jobs and opportunities (ex. flight training scholarships), improvements to noise complaint process adding location data collection from commenters
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:36 PM	Cease the use of leaded fuel immediately. There are dense communities right next to the airport.
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:41 PM	Instrument approaches
Anonymous 7/30/2023 03:58 PM	None. Don't spend any more time or money planning any changes. Maybe clean up the homeless camps in that area and remove the overgrown invasive weeds.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:03 PM

The biggest opportunity is communication around and adherence to noise abatement procedures. Restricting training hours is unsafe, because it incentivizes flying when density altitude issues are worst

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:05 PM

Pay off the FAA and start planning to turn the area into high quality affordable housing with 15 minute service availability

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:09 PM

Find a way to connect the gunbarrel bike path to the boulder creek path around the runway exclusion zone.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:45 PM

Native plants

Anonymous

7/30/2023 04:57 PM

Improve hangars and support gliding activity now and in the future.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:03 PM

significantly decrease flights in and out of airport

Anonymous

7/30/2023 05:19 PM

Nothing to add

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:07 PM

Accessing the PDF does not allow me to return to the survey with saved results. Just leave the airport as an airport.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 06:38 PM

Electricity to become available throughout the airport.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:25 PM

Noise abatement, better economic terms and use of unleaded fuel.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 07:39 PM

No more developments that cause more road traffic. There are no other road outlets in case of any emergency and that is unsafe.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:19 PM

Fire airport manager- doing AWFUL job

Anonymous

Don't know

7/30/2023 08:39 PM

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:59 PM

No new airplanes. Much shorter hours on weekends. No lead fuel.
Easy access reports on airport usage and fees. I want to know if this serves only the wealthy

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:37 PM

I think the proposed short term actions sound good

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 PM

Noise abatement.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:40 PM

Reduced hours of aviation usage

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:40 AM

Safety measures for pilots

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:14 AM

n/a

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:13 AM

I would like to see more night flying allowed. I would like to see those who complain about aviation noise to be asked to move from the area.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:55 AM

provide better data for flight patterns and frequency.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:01 AM

NA

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:06 AM

Have resources at the airport available to the nearby tech park and bike park. Food trucks / camping sites for bike park events / events-music/ outdoor movies.....etc.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:23 AM

fix the existing facilities

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:36 AM

Added hangars

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:52 AM	none to add
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:06 AM	A
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:24 AM	Pilots actually follow altitude and flight path restrictions over residential areas.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:34 AM	Promote use of unleaded fuel when it is available and safe to use. Stop approving residential construction close to the airport which then generates noise complaints.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:58 AM	Limit the maximum recreational flights every day.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:04 AM	phase out of leaded fuel
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:05 AM	looks like it covered everything
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:17 AM	i strongly support the push to unleaded fuels and all the noise abatement actions
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:19 AM	Add the comment that noise is a part of aviation and that the aviation use of the site has been present since 1928.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:24 AM	Maintain all hangars // Upgrade AV fuel delivery systems
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:26 AM	already a lengthy list
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:26 AM	None needed.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:27 AM	none

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:45 AM	The noise abatement rules and hours of operation are terrible. I live in s boulder and love the planes flying over.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:54 AM	1. Report the amount of lead being dumped onto adjacent open space properties purchased by the City / community. 2. Reduce the influence zone so that multi-use trails can be implemented in the area.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:00 AM	All efforts to decommission and move toward housing
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:08 AM	Sensible realistic planning
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:22 AM	None. Airports should be decommissioned.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:24 AM	Improvements to glider operation safety procedures to allow use of dirt area between powered and glider runway for glider landing.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:25 AM	Advertise Young Eagles EAA rallies with pancake breakfast for families and opportunities to fly and learn about aviation
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:37 AM	I think the hanger leases should be improved to encourage buiseness development and lessee investment through better terms more similar to Longmont airport
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:43 AM	Enhanced noise abatement
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:45 AM	Safety and security measures should be a higher consideration.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:54 AM	Allow public walking access. Fencing everything off seems very exclusive.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:30 AM	In addition to unleaded aviation fuel, it would be nice to have access to high octane (91 or 94) MOGAS for all the small, fuel-efficient airplanes with Rotax or modified car engines.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:31 AM

n/a

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:43 AM

Please make some sort of regulatory changes to allow Gunbarrel residents to live in peace!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:47 AM

Consideration for anything that would improve fire-fighting actives.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:51 AM

Aiport elimination supports the growing pilot shortage issue. I think the non-aviation community at large is intimidated by going to a GA airport. A destination restaurant would improve the image.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:52 AM

I think if we update facilities for the airport and make it more community friendly, it will bring everyone closer together. A restaurant would be great but I really think we need more hangers.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:53 AM

Rework approach envelope to have planes not overfly homes on approach from the west, to utilize Pleasantview complex as approach marker. Current approach over Paonia has plans only 30-50' over homes.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:54 AM

Improve and upgrade facilities, without forgetting that it's an airport. That fact takes precedent over all others.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:58 AM

I am currently a United pilot out of DEN and I would have never had the opportunity to fly if it was not for this airport. Next time you go on a flight, think about where the pilot might have trained.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 10:59 AM

The City should help the airport transition to unleaded aviation fuel. The city should not try to interfere with safe aircraft operation and FAA rules

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:13 AM

No thoughts here

Anonymous

7/31/2023 11:17 AM

Noise is a form of pollution and it's a big problem stemming from the airport. I'd like to request prioritization of noise abatement strategies. Thank you for considering it.

Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:22 AM	Allow the airport to transition to lead-free fuel at a safe rate with adherence to FAA regulations. Improve local education regarding the trajectory of the fuel transition and impacts to safety
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:34 AM	dunno
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:36 AM	RNAV instrument approach procedures
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:43 AM	Finish the fence around the final hangar section
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:47 AM	None
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:53 AM	Push Boulder towards pioneering new types of fuel and power plants for aircraft
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:53 AM	Voluntary noise abatement procedures and more outreach to the aviation community that is positive rather than negative
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:56 AM	More rigorous noise abatement policies.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 12:35 PM	I agree with the majority of the ideas already discussed.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 12:57 PM	Promote community events and programs
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:17 PM	The Faa should start cracking down on pilots that don't obey rules. Don't allow leaded fuel
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:19 PM	Nothing more than what is already submitted.
Anonymous	Have pilots turn right or South rather than North to avoid coming over

7/31/2023 01:21 PM

the gun barrel twin lakes and other communities

Anonymous

7/31/2023 01:43 PM

The airport needs to be improved and brought into the 21st Century.
This airport is a vital transportation & business hub; not to mention that this facility is vital in training future airline pilots

Anonymous

7/31/2023 01:52 PM

Mock ups and studies to guide a new neighborhood development conversation and ultimate build out.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:13 PM

Explore feasibility of mandating quieter muffler/exhaust systems for based aircraft

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:29 PM

Better transit corridor access to the commercial corridor (Beyond the RTD 208 route) Connectivity from bike & walking paths and more transparency concerning strategic operations at BMA

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:33 PM

More aviation hangars

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:34 PM

Boulder can develop sustainable aviation and alleviate the pilot shortage by utilizing our historical airport to do so

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:44 PM

Airport improvements

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:11 PM

Most of what's in Noise, Airport Economics, and Safety

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:13 PM

Eliminate glider/tow planes

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:53 PM

Update and add more hangars

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:00 PM

Enhance fire fighting ops only

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:01 PM

More Hangars

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:25 PM

blank

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:55 PM

This PDF favors heavy modification to the airport and is inherently bias imo. Big ones: landings fees are unfair, it's already expensive to fly. Make unleaded fuel available and cheaper.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:00 PM

I can't think of any

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:04 PM

Anything that enhances the ability for kids, families & individuals to be able to explore the joys of flying, and the opportunities of an aviation career, should be the priority here.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:23 PM

Maintain the airport, when I was young the airport was a large influence in my pursuit of an aerospace career. Having that visceral up and touch it interplay vs the removed virtual world is important

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:17 PM

Real time messaging to pilots and geofencing

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:26 PM

The items listed pretty much covered everything.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:26 PM

Muffle all airplanes

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:28 PM

More hanger space and improvements to the terminal.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:39 PM

Instead of sending students to Longmont, keep them at Boulder. THE more operations (t/o and landing) the more federal funds Boulder will be eligible for.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:40 PM

More hangers

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:46 PM

Make airport quieter

Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:54 PM	Airport restaurant and other things to increase interest in aviation.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:57 PM	Get rid of landing fees. You're harming general aviation and training, which will eventually harm our already strained professional aviation community.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 05:57 PM	Hours for airport operation should not be limited to 4:00pm or 5:00pm. Pilots need to operate and train during night time to maintain currency and proficiency.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:12 PM	No usage of lead-based aviation fuel. Planes have to be silent. They should not fly over sensitive areas such as open space.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:17 PM	Flying education.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:18 PM	Landing fees, get rid of the tow planes?
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:21 PM	Investment from the City in community aviation projects (Young Eagles rallies, flight training scholarships)
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:22 PM	Expedite unleaded fuel to the airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:42 PM	Stop needless recreational flights over Boulder, reduce noise, eliminate leaded fuel. Only essential services, like emergency and wildfire response, is needed and could be served elsewhere
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:36 PM	None
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:43 PM	Encouraging the use of unleaded fuel
Anonymous 7/31/2023 06:46 PM	x

Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:02 PM	The boulder hangar lease structure is designed to kill non-governmental economic investment in the airport. The top priority should be to fix it. Most of the listed term items are anti-airport
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:05 PM	More tie down spaces
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:16 PM	Seems fine
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:18 PM	I agree with everything except the Noise issues. Noise issues are NIMBY (Not In My Back Yard) issues and people that moved in knew they were moving near an airport. Reasonable noise abatement is fine
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:18 PM	File wouldn't download
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:22 PM	none
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:40 PM	I do not agree with imposing landing fees and other onerous restrictions on what is already a very quiet airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:54 PM	More public access to watch planes take off and land, especially without being on a road
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:56 PM	None
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:57 PM	None
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:57 PM	none; the proposed noise abatement procedures are unsafe and will expose the students and owners to unacceptable expense
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:58 PM	Official, FAA published, noise reduction policy clearly outlined for pilots so that compliance is no longer a mystery to those not locally based.

Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:58 PM	Better awareness of how the airport provides great economic benefit to the local community
Anonymous 7/31/2023 07:59 PM	Use landing fees to fund the installation of noise monitoring equipment across Boulder to quantify and document the noise pollution problem.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:08 PM	Increase education programs accessible to upper school and middle school students
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:06 PM	Expedite the delivery of unleaded aviation fuel to BDU. Bureaucracy delayed the introduction of unleaded fuels. They were approved in 2022 and should be promoted.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:08 PM	N/a
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:20 PM	More Hangers and space for viewing
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:25 PM	The city of Boulder should honor the historic commitment to the aviation community.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:22 PM	Seems enough
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:41 PM	Addition of a FAA instrument approach procedure.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:47 PM	Real time messaging to pilots is distracting and dangerous. We don't text when we drive, so we also shouldn't when we fly.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:49 PM	Pdf won't download
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:51 PM	Airport Economics, Safety, Community Relations

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:56 PM	improving maintenance can always help to improve traffic numbers and the usability of the airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 08:57 PM	Safety only
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:17 PM	Short term and long term I am in favor of expanding and improving Aviation services at BDU
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:19 PM	Become active with the FAA to fast-track 100 octane unleaded fuel for integration into existing fleets. Enhance outreach and training for children and families to learn.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:26 PM	id love to see safety increased and local air companies to the surrounding areas, in the range of slc, to jackson hole to dallas. we would be the convenient airport for these flights. charge for it.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:32 PM	Not destroying it
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:50 PM	Completely stop using leaded fuel at the airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 09:50 PM	.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:20 PM	Additional hangar space, lengthened runway.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:25 PM	Noise reduction, restricted hours of operation, eliminate old dirty polluting planes, charge high fees for use to fund better future uses of the amazing location and views
Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:31 PM	Better security for the airport.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:42 PM	Focus on educating pilots from other adjacent airports to honor noise abatement and avoid low altitude flights

Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:51 PM	Make the airport more accessible to all and inspire future generations to get involved in aviation.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 10:52 PM	glider viewing area with shade
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:12 PM	Taxiway and runway improvements, hanger expansions and FBO renovations.
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:43 PM	Improve management of the airport to ensure federal grant funding for capital improvements are pursued.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:04 AM	Airport improvements
Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:14 AM	Expediting support for G100UL (unleaded fuel) at the airport (a clear and easy win for everyone). Help vising pilot's awareness of noise abatement procedures.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:21 AM	A nice restaurant with a view of flight activities would be a great way to bring the community into the airport and could be done fairly easily.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 03:36 AM	None. Document is comprehensive.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 03:44 AM	None of these should be implemented
Anonymous 8/01/2023 04:15 AM	Expedite the delivery of unleaded aviation fuel
Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:34 AM	Dunno
Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:47 AM	Nothing to add
Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:45 AM	Limits to noise

Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:02 AM	decomisison airport
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:12 AM	list in my categories of concern looks good; air quality & noise my major concerns
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:17 AM	Additional hangars and a restaurant
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:17 AM	Eliminate all recreational flights and airport uses.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:34 AM	More hangars
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:35 AM	.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:41 AM	Evaluate the technical and legal feasibility of options on the list. Some suggestions demonstrate significant incompetence and a lack of technical and legal understanding of the issue.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 07:36 AM	plans for decommissioning airport ASAP
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:11 AM	Become proactive in encouraging and developing environmentally friendly aviation technologies such as unleaded avgas and electric-powered airplanes.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:15 AM	N/A
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:22 AM	The noise from aircraft is less intense than that produced by lawnmowers, leaf blowers, and garbage trucks. The unleaded fuel issue will resolve itself when the FAA allows it. Ignore these items.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:39 AM	Additional funding for bringing in unleaded fuel. Additional hangar space.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:44 AM	No more lead and no more FAA grants
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:52 AM	n/a
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:57 AM	carefully evaluate and address concerns about noise and leaded fuel; thoroughly study possible need for airport facilities for public safety, including wildfire, flood, emergency rescue, etc.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:17 AM	Address any deferred maintenance and repairs.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:17 AM	No more lead, much less noise
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:27 AM	Require solar, geotheramal, wind FOR all energy applications.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:33 AM	Build more hangars!
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:33 AM	Begin a city planning process for transitioning to building a complete neighborhood with housing, services, community space, park/open space, emergency helipad, etc.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:33 AM	None.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:34 AM	i don't have additional ideas
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:37 AM	Remove the item for landing fees and limiting training flights.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:38 AM	More aviation related business, even a slight runway expansion to attract business jets.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:12 AM	Welcome the airport and critical support services they provide especially in disasters.

Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:15 AM	I would love to see more community events at the airport
Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:18 AM	Limit the number of aircraft refueled and flights daily
Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:30 AM	No leaded fuel, improved integration into community. Meeting spaces, STEM and vocational opportunities would be great immediate additions. Keep it affordable not fancy.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 10:47 AM	Community education about benefits of aviation: emergency use, STEM careers, youth activities and development, R&D and aerospace markets/businesses
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:02 AM	Noise mitigation, safety, and environmental impact
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:13 AM	Tell the NIMBY's to get out
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:17 AM	Do everything the city can to enhance the glider operation at the BDU airport. This is one of the special things that make the Boulder Airport unique.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:31 AM	Expedite the delivery of unleaded fuel to KBDU.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:38 AM	Less noise, air pollution
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:48 AM	Protection of current aviation activities.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 11:52 AM	Can't think of any at this time.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 12:54 PM	Limit touch & go landings in early morning and evenings. Reroute Metro flights to avoid overflights and bring in unleaded gas.

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:29 PM</p>	<p>Better road and area lighting.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:33 PM</p>	<p>Promote as a destination for world class gliding</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 01:47 PM</p>	<p>Stop the glider planes.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 02:04 PM</p>	<p>increase current use; it often seems nothing is happening there</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 02:25 PM</p>	<p>Consider working with existing businesses to create internships/apprenticeships.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 02:29 PM</p>	<p>Noise, CO2 and Safety are good short term. Not sure if I would spend more money on others if the long term plan is to shutdown.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 03:22 PM</p>	<p>I think that giving boulder residents more incentive to visit the airport is the most important thing, that way it'll become more important to the community</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 03:35 PM</p>	<p>None at the moment</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 04:04 PM</p>	<p>Get unleaded fuel facilities installed immediately.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 04:31 PM</p>	<p>Many issues have already been listed.</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 04:36 PM</p>	<p>None</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 04:41 PM</p>	<p>Increased safety measures for private aircraft</p>
<p>Anonymous 8/01/2023 04:59 PM</p>	<p>Eliminate all but emergency (or possibly scientific/research) use of airfield. Reduce non-emergency general aviation use. Set strict noise and overflight rules (not "guidelines").</p>

Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:15 PM	Airport economic and budgetary data easily accessible
Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:19 PM	Update airport hours to 9-5 on weekends
Anonymous 8/01/2023 05:59 PM	None are needed.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:30 PM	No more lead fuel, start prepping the site for development to start as soon as the FAA grant obligation ends.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:32 PM	The community should help lead the effort to bring unleaded aviation fuel SAFELY to the Front Range
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:45 PM	New hangars
Anonymous 8/01/2023 06:55 PM	Whatever best serves the airport
Anonymous 8/01/2023 07:31 PM	Increased viewing areas for the airport or a cafe
Anonymous 8/01/2023 07:54 PM	Implement landing fees and increase them annually to fund future development.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 07:58 PM	Investigate and implement a schedule to start using unleaded fuel or other less polluting fuel in aircraft, also try to get pilots to honor not flying after 9pm or before 6am.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:02 PM	planning and foresight for the future of electric aviation and the development of an EVTOL hub
Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:08 PM	Improved infrastructure for the impending wave of Electric aviation and eVTOL transportation. 10 years ago there was no infrastructure for electric cars - now charging stations are everywhere!

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 8/01/2023 08:41 PM	Planes heard from the ground should not fly over residential areas. Planes used for enjoyment using leaded gas should not fly. Share with the community the costs of keeping this airport.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:12 PM	More youth programs.
Anonymous 8/01/2023 09:39 PM	None
Anonymous 8/02/2023 02:03 AM	Research and publish the number of recreational flights and training flights per year and the total number of flights per year. Is the airport largely a playground or not?
Anonymous 8/02/2023 04:29 AM	Ban leaded fuel asap. Environmental and health impacts, and safety
Anonymous 8/02/2023 05:17 AM	Update maintenance of hangars and facilities.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 06:11 AM	Most seem reasonable.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 06:19 AM	more hangars
Anonymous 8/02/2023 06:23 AM	N/a
Anonymous 8/02/2023 06:36 AM	Security fencing completely around the entire airport. Gated access for users
Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:20 AM	Quantify the pollution coming from airport activities - air monitoring points around the perimeter and a general environmental site assessment (soil, surface water, groundwater)
Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:21 AM	Improve the airport as an airport. The Federal Government intended this airport to be in operation you cannot delete leaded fuel.
Anonymous	no additional. Allow offering flying events. Expand operating hours

8/02/2023 08:23 AM

beyond 9-5.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:23 AM

Start using unleaded fuel or other less polluting fuels. Have pilots honor not flying between 9pm and 6am.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:44 AM

No leaded gas

Anonymous

8/02/2023 09:13 AM

allow a cafe or restaurant for people to enjoy watching the planes. There is a lot of history that could be shared about aviation and we need to encourage that interest

Anonymous

8/02/2023 09:52 AM

None.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:00 AM

Unleaded fuel should be a requirement at this airport, it's unacceptable to not have that available.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:01 AM

Updating the flight patterns to not include Northfield Village and Palo Park. This not necessary for landing purposes and they can fly on 47th. Pollution on soccer field for children should be avoided

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:07 AM

continue offering flying events at BDU

Anonymous

8/02/2023 10:37 AM

none

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:13 PM

Remove leaded fuel and payback the FAA grant to eliminate the 20 year operation obligation.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:14 PM

5 action items cover necessary issues for short& long term goals. Shortsighted to get rid of the airport. presidents land there, used for fire fighting, rescuing kids during flood at Calwood. Essential

Anonymous

8/02/2023 12:53 PM

Creating more value in the airport could be easy by engaging the community more,

Anonymous 8/02/2023 01:14 PM	Only unleaded fuel should be used on site. Do not accept FAA grants the require the airport to stay in operation.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 01:40 PM	Unleaded fuel availability, though I usew unleaded auto gas now (legally.)
Anonymous 8/02/2023 01:43 PM	No opinion
Anonymous 8/02/2023 01:59 PM	Compliance with the official traffic pattern.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 02:05 PM	Anything that better prepares the airport for the future
Anonymous 8/02/2023 03:02 PM	More opportunities to inform public of benefits of GA/KBDU
Anonymous 8/02/2023 03:24 PM	Maintenance of existing buildings
Anonymous 8/02/2023 03:35 PM	Begin phase out of all fossil fuel propulsion aircraft. Allow only electric aircraft in the future.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 03:46 PM	Noise and safety are important action items
Anonymous 8/02/2023 06:20 PM	Deliver a statement to the community about the tremendous increase in car traffic, air pollution, and construction noise that would result from building thousands of new homes on the site.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 06:43 PM	Any action at the airport should support aviation only.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 07:44 PM	Support the aviation efforts. There is a big need for more pilots. Spread the noise abatement message. Subsidize unleaded fuel at the airport. Get a manager who serves the community.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 07:50 PM	None

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:01 PM

This survey was confusing (ex: when rating 1-4, which is highest?) I hope the outcome of this isn't pre-determined. The airport is a precious resource of the city that doesn't need change.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:07 PM

Bikeways and bike parking for large e-bikes

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:18 PM

I do not believe that the airport requires significant improvements, and worry that some of these changes could damage the airports ability to function.

Anonymous

8/02/2023 08:38 PM

None.

Mandatory Question (961 response(s))

Question type: Single Line Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q10 | Optional: Please share one word that describes how you envision the airport site. Be as creative as you want.

Anonymous

7/13/2023 08:58 PM

growing

Anonymous

7/14/2023 07:19 AM

aviation

Anonymous

7/14/2023 11:57 AM

Residential

Anonymous

7/14/2023 12:07 PM

Phoenix

Anonymous

7/15/2023 07:50 PM

Stapleton in Denver.

Anonymous

Regenerative uses!

7/16/2023 08:49 AM

Anonymous Housing
7/16/2023 12:11 PM

Anonymous Housing
7/16/2023 02:17 PM

Anonymous Egalitarian.
7/16/2023 04:30 PM

Anonymous Natural
7/16/2023 05:11 PM

Anonymous Decommissioned
7/16/2023 05:13 PM

Anonymous Not serving the few
7/16/2023 08:01 PM

Anonymous Quiet
7/16/2023 08:30 PM

Anonymous Accessible housing
7/16/2023 11:13 PM

Anonymous GetRealistic!
7/17/2023 05:32 AM

Anonymous Vital
7/17/2023 05:38 AM

Anonymous vibrant
7/17/2023 06:33 AM

Anonymous Enjoyable
7/17/2023 08:01 AM

Anonymous Fabric
7/17/2023 08:18 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/17/2023 08:54 AM	Same
Anonymous 7/17/2023 09:35 AM	GONE
harmsalt 7/17/2023 09:39 AM	Forward-thinking
Anonymous 7/17/2023 12:28 PM	revolutionary
Anonymous 7/17/2023 01:16 PM	Affordable
dundorf 7/17/2023 01:40 PM	Efficient
Anonymous 7/17/2023 03:36 PM	Next-generation
Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:15 PM	housing!
Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:20 PM	Move
Anonymous 7/17/2023 04:24 PM	HOME.
Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:03 PM	Airport
Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:23 PM	Housing
Anonymous 7/17/2023 06:33 PM	misplaced
Anonymous 7/17/2023 07:23 PM	Maintained

Anonymous community
7/17/2023 07:42 PM

Anonymous compliant
7/17/2023 08:36 PM

Anonymous Modern
7/17/2023 08:54 PM

Anonymous Renew
7/17/2023 09:43 PM

Anonymous Flight.
7/18/2023 04:12 AM

Anonymous .
7/18/2023 05:18 AM

Anonymous Shutdown
7/18/2023 06:18 AM

Anonymous Aviation
7/18/2023 06:20 AM

Anonymous 20 characters only?
7/18/2023 06:43 AM

Anonymous Unchanged
7/18/2023 07:07 AM

Anonymous Closer
7/18/2023 07:38 AM

Anonymous Nature
7/18/2023 07:57 AM

Anonymous Visionary
7/18/2023 08:02 AM

Anonymous Improved.
7/18/2023 08:06 AM

Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:07 AM	Integrated
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:22 AM	NA
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:43 AM	Vibrant
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:43 AM	Neighborhood
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:50 AM	Housing
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:01 AM	MIXED USE HOUSING
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:24 AM	Self-sustaining
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:45 AM	Same
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:49 AM	Celebrated!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:59 AM	AIRPORT!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:00 AM	Maintain
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:02 AM	Included
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:07 AM	Flying!
Anonymous	Open

7/18/2023 10:14 AM

Anonymous

Inspiring

7/18/2023 10:16 AM

Anonymous

Aerodynamic

7/18/2023 10:17 AM

Anonymous

Communal

7/18/2023 10:19 AM

Anonymous

educational

7/18/2023 10:24 AM

Anonymous

permanent

7/18/2023 10:28 AM

Anonymous

Community!

7/18/2023 10:33 AM

Anonymous

Inviting

7/18/2023 10:38 AM

Anonymous

helicopterhousing

7/18/2023 10:40 AM

Anonymous

Useful

7/18/2023 10:42 AM

Anonymous

STEM

7/18/2023 10:44 AM

Anonymous

Essential.

7/18/2023 10:48 AM

Anonymous

Airport

7/18/2023 10:52 AM

Anonymous

QUIET

7/18/2023 10:53 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:55 AM	Airport!!!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:57 AM	Inspiring
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:02 AM	safety
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:03 AM	Soaring
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:04 AM	Community
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:04 AM	Awesome
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:10 AM	Airport.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:20 AM	Airport-less
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:24 AM	Nature
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:30 AM	FLYING
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:30 AM	Would love a cafe
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:35 AM	EVOLVED
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:36 AM	Airport
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:36 AM	definitely no housin

Anonymous
7/18/2023 11:51 AM

Live-and-let-live

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:00 PM

Bustling

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:07 PM

Aviation

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:12 PM

None

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:52 PM

Unchanged.

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:26 PM

friendly airport

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:34 PM

Quiet

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:35 PM

Excellence

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:36 PM

Same.

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:39 PM

Keep (it)

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:41 PM

Preservation

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:52 PM

Aviation!

Anonymous
7/18/2023 12:59 PM

Vivid

Anonymous
7/18/2023 01:01 PM

as it is

Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:04 PM	asset
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:10 PM	Keep the existing
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:25 PM	Keep!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:29 PM	Accessible
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:30 PM	airport
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:35 PM	Invaluable
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:38 PM	Flight
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:39 PM	High-flying!
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:41 PM	soaring
Anonymous 7/18/2023 01:47 PM	Entertaining
Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:06 PM	Housing
Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:14 PM	Airport
Anonymous 7/18/2023 02:15 PM	.
Anonymous	financial sense?

7/18/2023 02:35 PM

Anonymous

Non-housing

7/18/2023 02:47 PM

Anonymous

Outofthebox

7/18/2023 02:55 PM

Anonymous

Urbanism

7/18/2023 03:03 PM

Anonymous

Nuisance

7/18/2023 03:09 PM

Anonymous

Enduring

7/18/2023 03:31 PM

Anonymous

Inclusive

7/18/2023 03:40 PM

Anonymous

15-minute city

7/18/2023 03:41 PM

Anonymous

Opportunity

7/18/2023 03:45 PM

Anonymous

PLANELESS.

7/18/2023 03:45 PM

Anonymous

Inclusive

7/18/2023 03:50 PM

Anonymous

Interactive

7/18/2023 03:57 PM

Anonymous

no GA, no airlines

7/18/2023 04:04 PM

Anonymous

Immortal

7/18/2023 04:20 PM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:21 PM	Aviation
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:35 PM	Rewild
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:42 PM	poorWorkBoulder
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:54 PM	peaceful
Anonymous 7/18/2023 04:59 PM	Useful
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:09 PM	Avibrant
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:18 PM	HOUSING
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:37 PM	Fun
Anonymous 7/18/2023 05:43 PM	Airport for safety
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:09 PM	Modern
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:11 PM	Inclusivity
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:11 PM	neighborly
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:29 PM	Aviation-specific
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:33 PM	Public-market

Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:48 PM	Repurposed
Anonymous 7/18/2023 06:56 PM	Nonaviation
Anonymous 7/18/2023 07:40 PM	Visionary community
Anonymous 7/18/2023 07:57 PM	YIMBY
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:05 PM	thriving
Anonymous 7/18/2023 08:35 PM	Peaceful, natural
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:12 PM	Environmental.
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:37 PM	Functional
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:37 PM	Nonredundant+forAll
Anonymous 7/18/2023 09:57 PM	rejuvenated
Anonymous 7/18/2023 10:40 PM	Vibrant
Anonymous 7/18/2023 11:20 PM	Futuristic!
Anonymous 7/19/2023 12:25 AM	needed
Anonymous 7/19/2023 03:16 AM	utopia

Anonymous 7/19/2023 05:08 AM	Inclusive
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:03 AM	Responsible
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:16 AM	Updated
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:23 AM	Airport
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:37 AM	housing
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:49 AM	Flying
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:50 AM	modernized
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:55 AM	Residential
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:58 AM	Safe
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:07 AM	Rooftops
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:12 AM	Airport.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:13 AM	Glorious
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:24 AM	Thrilling
Anonymous	Thriving

7/19/2023 07:29 AM

Anonymous

Sustainable

7/19/2023 07:37 AM

Anonymous

Support! (Airport)

7/19/2023 07:37 AM

Anonymous

UNCHANGED

7/19/2023 07:53 AM

Anonymous

Community

7/19/2023 07:54 AM

Anonymous

Necessary

7/19/2023 08:11 AM

Anonymous

Aeronautical

7/19/2023 08:16 AM

Anonymous

Gone

7/19/2023 08:27 AM

Anonymous

Aviation

7/19/2023 08:52 AM

Anonymous

Soaring

7/19/2023 09:06 AM

Anonymous

Thriving

7/19/2023 09:24 AM

Anonymous

na

7/19/2023 10:20 AM

Anonymous

Quiet

7/19/2023 10:31 AM

Anonymous

first Class

7/19/2023 10:40 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:43 AM	inspiration
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:46 AM	ProCommunity
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:56 AM	SAFETY
Anonymous 7/19/2023 11:12 AM	Essential
Anonymous 7/19/2023 11:50 AM	NotBrokenDontFix
Anonymous 7/19/2023 12:21 PM	STEM
Anonymous 7/19/2023 01:02 PM	innovative
Anonymous 7/19/2023 01:11 PM	Fine
Anonymous 7/19/2023 02:22 PM	Keep it real.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 02:26 PM	Noninvasive
Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:36 PM	Engaging
Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:39 PM	HOUSING
Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:45 PM	affordable housing
Anonymous 7/19/2023 04:50 PM	thrilling

7/19/2023 05:24 PM	Home
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:17 PM	Expansion
Anonymous 7/19/2023 06:51 PM	Homes
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:04 PM	Inspiring
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:04 PM	Housing
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:11 PM	Housing
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:37 PM	Replaced.
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:40 PM	aviation community
Anonymous 7/19/2023 07:56 PM	Homes
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:22 PM	Anti-change
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:32 PM	Some businesses, im,
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:40 PM	Inspire!
Anonymous 7/19/2023 08:39 PM	successful
Anonymous 7/19/2023 10:21 PM	Deconstructed

Anonymous 7/20/2023 01:22 AM	nature
Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:21 AM	Destructive
Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:35 AM	Aviation-ary!
Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:36 AM	Housing
Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:48 AM	Housing
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:03 AM	Neighborhoods
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:32 AM	Housing
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:32 AM	Landmark
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:45 AM	Opengreenspace
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:02 AM	Integrated
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:03 AM	Neighborhoods
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:17 AM	Not an airport
Anonymous 7/20/2023 09:18 AM	Housing-for-me-pleas
Anonymous	Aviation center

7/20/2023 09:21 AM

Anonymous Housing

7/20/2023 09:42 AM

Anonymous Houses

7/20/2023 10:06 AM

Anonymous It would be great if

7/20/2023 10:50 AM

Anonymous Homes

7/20/2023 11:03 AM

Anonymous Homes

7/20/2023 11:41 AM

Anonymous Housing

7/20/2023 11:46 AM

Anonymous Wondrous

7/20/2023 11:49 AM

Anonymous Housing

7/20/2023 12:01 PM

Anonymous Neighborhoods

7/20/2023 12:43 PM

Anonymous Thriving

7/20/2023 02:04 PM

Anonymous Electrified

7/20/2023 02:06 PM

Anonymous cooperative

7/20/2023 02:11 PM

Anonymous Quiet

7/20/2023 02:20 PM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous thriving GA airport

7/20/2023 02:58 PM

Anonymous Lifesaving

7/20/2023 03:05 PM

Anonymous ScottCarpenter

7/20/2023 03:22 PM

Anonymous Necessity

7/20/2023 03:25 PM

Anonymous Expansive

7/20/2023 03:31 PM

Anonymous Housing

7/20/2023 03:39 PM

Anonymous vibrant aviation

7/20/2023 03:50 PM

Anonymous Natural

7/20/2023 04:02 PM

Anonymous Essential

7/20/2023 04:12 PM

Anonymous Homes

7/20/2023 06:18 PM

Anonymous Neighborhoods

7/20/2023 06:28 PM

Anonymous Leave it alone

7/20/2023 06:45 PM

Anonymous Families

7/20/2023 06:45 PM

Anonymous Housing

7/20/2023 07:10 PM

Anonymous 7/20/2023 07:22 PM	Unique
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:10 PM	Unchanged
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:40 PM	Peaceful
Anonymous 7/20/2023 08:41 PM	Dynamic
Anonymous 7/21/2023 05:03 AM	Accessible
Anonymous 7/21/2023 05:49 AM	Support aviation!
Anonymous 7/21/2023 06:10 AM	Statusquo
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:08 AM	Move it
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:08 AM	Aviation!
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:17 AM	Opportunity
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:24 AM	Wings
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:27 AM	Community
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:33 AM	just enhance it
Anonymous 7/21/2023 07:52 AM	Prosperous

Anonymous Residential
7/21/2023 08:01 AM

Anonymous Unique
7/21/2023 08:11 AM

Anonymous Airport
7/21/2023 08:14 AM

Anonymous repurposed
7/21/2023 08:22 AM

Anonymous Quiet
7/21/2023 08:30 AM

Anonymous First-Class
7/21/2023 08:36 AM

Anonymous Nostalgic
7/21/2023 08:52 AM

Anonymous Housing
7/21/2023 09:06 AM

Anonymous Livable
7/21/2023 09:06 AM

Anonymous Conscious
7/21/2023 09:07 AM

Anonymous Compact, non-car.
7/21/2023 09:17 AM

Anonymous Airport
7/21/2023 09:21 AM

Anonymous Community
7/21/2023 09:24 AM

Anonymous Housing

7/21/2023 09:27 AM

Anonymous

Important

7/21/2023 09:34 AM

Anonymous

Reborn

7/21/2023 09:38 AM

Anonymous

Reclamation

7/21/2023 09:40 AM

Anonymous

People

7/21/2023 09:49 AM

Anonymous

community

7/21/2023 09:58 AM

Anonymous

community space with

7/21/2023 10:14 AM

Anonymous

useful

7/21/2023 10:29 AM

Anonymous

Housing

7/21/2023 10:38 AM

Anonymous

Housing

7/21/2023 10:45 AM

Anonymous

more activity

7/21/2023 10:46 AM

Anonymous

sustainable housing

7/21/2023 10:56 AM

Anonymous

Neighborhoods

7/21/2023 11:03 AM

Anonymous

Thriving (airport)

7/21/2023 11:31 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous valuable

7/21/2023 11:31 AM

Anonymous Airport-less

7/21/2023 11:33 AM

Anonymous Engaging

7/21/2023 11:36 AM

Anonymous Housing

7/21/2023 11:43 AM

Anonymous Airport

7/21/2023 11:48 AM

Anonymous Housing

7/21/2023 12:20 PM

Anonymous Neighborly

7/21/2023 12:54 PM

Anonymous Improve

7/21/2023 12:55 PM

Anonymous Condoland

7/21/2023 01:00 PM

Anonymous Useful

7/21/2023 01:05 PM

Anonymous Aviation

7/21/2023 01:07 PM

c2 As-is

7/21/2023 02:08 PM

Anonymous Neighborhood

7/21/2023 02:10 PM

Anonymous Houses

7/21/2023 02:18 PM

Anonymous
7/21/2023 02:28 PM

Apartments

Anonymous
7/21/2023 02:33 PM

AVIATION!!!

Anonymous
7/21/2023 02:54 PM

Parks

Anonymous
7/21/2023 02:57 PM

Without the airport

Anonymous
7/21/2023 03:01 PM

Thriving

Anonymous
7/21/2023 03:09 PM

Fair

Anonymous
7/21/2023 03:16 PM

Houses

Anonymous
7/21/2023 03:18 PM

Adaptable

Anonymous
7/21/2023 03:21 PM

vibrant

Anonymous
7/21/2023 03:22 PM

Potential

Anonymous
7/21/2023 03:25 PM

Neighborhood

Anonymous
7/21/2023 03:48 PM

Neighborhoods

Anonymous
7/21/2023 04:24 PM

Airplanes

Anonymous
7/21/2023 04:59 PM

Quiet

Anonymous
7/21/2023 05:09 PM

Vibrant

Anonymous
7/21/2023 05:40 PM

Homes

Anonymous
7/21/2023 06:01 PM

Proud

Anonymous
7/21/2023 07:01 PM

Keep

Anonymous
7/21/2023 07:30 PM

Innovative

Anonymous
7/21/2023 07:44 PM

Community

Anonymous
7/21/2023 08:07 PM

Airport

Anonymous
7/21/2023 08:12 PM

Closed airport!

Anonymous
7/21/2023 08:20 PM

Greenery

Anonymous
7/21/2023 08:52 PM

Pollinator gardens!

Anonymous
7/21/2023 10:25 PM

Green

Anonymous
7/21/2023 11:42 PM

Functional

Anonymous
7/22/2023 06:19 AM

Walkable

Anonymous

Housing

7/22/2023 06:55 AM

Anonymous

More active and fun.

7/22/2023 07:09 AM

Anonymous

Housing-for-all

7/22/2023 07:31 AM

Anonymous

Housing

7/22/2023 07:52 AM

Anonymous

I love it as is

7/22/2023 08:31 AM

Anonymous

Educational

7/22/2023 08:33 AM

Anonymous

Homes

7/22/2023 09:20 AM

Anonymous

Unchanged!

7/22/2023 09:29 AM

Anonymous

Houses

7/22/2023 09:37 AM

Anonymous

Neighborhoods

7/22/2023 10:16 AM

Anonymous

Houses

7/22/2023 10:25 AM

Anonymous

Housing

7/22/2023 11:32 AM

Anonymous

Irresistible

7/22/2023 11:39 AM

Anonymous

Car-free

7/22/2023 12:45 PM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous Neighborhoods

7/22/2023 01:41 PM

Anonymous Underappreciated

7/22/2023 02:31 PM

Anonymous Boulder-Identity

7/22/2023 02:33 PM

Anonymous CommunityAsset

7/22/2023 03:14 PM

Anonymous Green.

7/22/2023 04:49 PM

Anonymous Airport

7/22/2023 09:11 PM

Anonymous Neighborhoods

7/23/2023 07:42 AM

Anonymous Housing

7/23/2023 07:53 AM

Anonymous Houses!

7/23/2023 08:05 AM

Anonymous Quiet.

7/23/2023 08:15 AM

Anonymous Aviation-focused

7/23/2023 08:39 AM

Anonymous Houses

7/23/2023 08:48 AM

Anonymous Pollution-free

7/23/2023 08:50 AM

Anonymous Airport

7/23/2023 09:33 AM

Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:21 AM	Houses
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:48 AM	Necessary.
Anonymous 7/23/2023 10:54 AM	Housing
Anonymous 7/23/2023 11:17 AM	Houses
Anonymous 7/23/2023 12:28 PM	Interesting
Anonymous 7/23/2023 12:32 PM	Airport
Anonymous 7/23/2023 01:11 PM	Inspiring
Anonymous 7/23/2023 01:21 PM	Thriving
Anonymous 7/23/2023 01:55 PM	carbonsequesting
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:13 PM	Peaceful
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:17 PM	Airport
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:26 PM	Community-based
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:49 PM	vitality
Anonymous 7/23/2023 02:55 PM	Welcoming

Anonymous

7/23/2023 04:25 PM

AllCitizens

Anonymous

7/23/2023 04:47 PM

Quiet

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:12 PM

Neighborhoods

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:29 PM

Neighborhoods

Anonymous

7/23/2023 05:36 PM

Nature

Anonymous

7/23/2023 06:35 PM

Neighborhoods

Anonymous

7/23/2023 06:39 PM

Preeminent

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:00 PM

Neighborhood

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:13 PM

Rural!!!!!!!!!!!!

Anonymous

7/23/2023 07:23 PM

Houses

Anonymous

7/23/2023 09:58 PM

Sustainable

Anonymous

7/23/2023 10:21 PM

Sleek

Anonymous

7/24/2023 05:56 AM

Affordable Housing

Anonymous

Life-supporting

7/24/2023 06:36 AM

Anonymous closed

7/24/2023 07:37 AM

Anonymous Save the airport

7/24/2023 07:43 AM

Anonymous Welcoming

7/24/2023 08:01 AM

Anonymous Neighborhoods.

7/24/2023 08:26 AM

Anonymous Neighborhoods

7/24/2023 08:41 AM

Anonymous Aeronautic

7/24/2023 08:46 AM

Anonymous Neighborhoods

7/24/2023 08:52 AM

Anonymous Community

7/24/2023 09:00 AM

Anonymous Neighborly

7/24/2023 09:17 AM

Anonymous quiet

7/24/2023 09:19 AM

Anonymous Rejuvenating!

7/24/2023 09:22 AM

Anonymous Natural

7/24/2023 09:46 AM

Anonymous CLOSED.

7/24/2023 09:58 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:27 AM	Housing
Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:30 AM	Respectful
Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:35 AM	Housing
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:00 AM	Housing
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:02 AM	It's simple. Be gone
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:13 AM	Houses
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:29 AM	Neighborhoods
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:28 AM	Fun
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:36 AM	Modest improvements
Anonymous 7/24/2023 11:46 AM	Residences
Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:12 PM	Quiet
Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:20 PM	Futureproofing
Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:43 PM	Timeless
Anonymous 7/24/2023 12:34 PM	Keep The Airport!

Anonymous 7/24/2023 02:04 PM	Quiet
Anonymous 7/24/2023 02:29 PM	magnetic
Anonymous 7/24/2023 02:42 PM	housing
Anonymous 7/24/2023 04:03 PM	Home-friendly
Anonymous 7/24/2023 04:17 PM	Housing and nature.
Anonymous 7/24/2023 08:28 PM	Housing
Anonymous 7/24/2023 10:13 PM	Wilderness
Anonymous 7/25/2023 12:20 AM	Airport
Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:09 AM	Updated
Anonymous 7/25/2023 04:32 AM	Airport
Anonymous 7/25/2023 06:37 AM	Non-residential
Anonymous 7/25/2023 06:59 AM	Housing
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:02 AM	leaveitalone
Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:21 AM	Redevelopment

Anonymous 7/25/2023 07:34 AM	Houses
Anonymous 7/25/2023 08:53 AM	unconstrained
Anonymous 7/25/2023 12:03 PM	Unchanged
Anonymous 7/25/2023 01:34 PM	Local
Anonymous 7/25/2023 01:51 PM	Extant
Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:00 PM	Public-Tennis-Center
Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:47 PM	STABILIZEWORKFORCE!!
Anonymous 7/25/2023 02:58 PM	Plants not planes!
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:02 PM	Consider the climate
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:11 PM	Air quality!
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:17 PM	Climate. Health.
Anonymous 7/25/2023 03:27 PM	Low-carbon-footprint
Anonymous 7/25/2023 04:16 PM	asset
Anonymous	No more lead toxicity

7/25/2023 05:31 PM

Anonymous

Grocery store!

7/25/2023 05:58 PM

Anonymous

Historical

7/25/2023 07:01 PM

Anonymous

Open

7/25/2023 07:53 PM

Anonymous

Harmony

7/25/2023 08:14 PM

Anonymous

Remove lead concerns

7/26/2023 06:38 AM

Anonymous

Housing

7/26/2023 06:45 AM

Anonymous

Neighborhoods

7/26/2023 07:18 AM

Anonymous

Gone.

7/26/2023 08:47 AM

Anonymous

Neighbors

7/26/2023 09:21 AM

Anonymous

Neighbors

7/26/2023 10:45 AM

Anonymous

Quieter

7/26/2023 11:28 AM

Anonymous

Vibrant

7/26/2023 11:38 AM

Anonymous

Peaceful

7/26/2023 12:36 PM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/26/2023 01:33 PM	connected
Anonymous 7/26/2023 02:16 PM	Inspiring
Anonymous 7/26/2023 03:02 PM	Housing
Anonymous 7/26/2023 06:51 PM	Screw the FAA!
Anonymous 7/26/2023 07:01 PM	FAA are bullies.
Anonymous 7/26/2023 07:29 PM	Sustainable
Anonymous 7/26/2023 07:59 PM	Historical
Anonymous 7/26/2023 11:46 PM	Inclusive!
Anonymous 7/27/2023 05:11 AM	Connected
Anonymous 7/27/2023 06:30 AM	outdoor peace
Anonymous 7/27/2023 09:31 AM	Logical long term
Anonymous 7/27/2023 10:19 AM	Affordable housing
Anonymous 7/27/2023 10:47 AM	Unobtrusive
Anonymous 7/27/2023 10:51 AM	HOUSING!

Anonymous 7/27/2023 11:10 AM	HOUSING!!!
Anonymous 7/27/2023 11:11 AM	Quieter and Safe
Anonymous 7/27/2023 11:32 AM	HOUSING
Anonymous 7/27/2023 12:00 PM	Enlightened
Anonymous 7/27/2023 12:18 PM	Sustainable
Anonymous 7/27/2023 12:27 PM	decommission
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:05 PM	Aviation
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:10 PM	complex
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:15 PM	Housing
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:19 PM	AN AIRPORT
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:25 PM	aerial
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:28 PM	Native
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:37 PM	More housing duh
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:38 PM	Thriving

Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:53 PM	Neighborhood
Anonymous 7/27/2023 01:55 PM	Middle income
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:12 PM	Vibrant mix-use
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:23 PM	Let it be.
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:38 PM	Peaceful
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:39 PM	Repurposed
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:46 PM	Cooperative
Anonymous 7/27/2023 02:53 PM	Vital
Anonymous 7/27/2023 03:17 PM	Housing
Anonymous 7/27/2023 03:19 PM	Protect Wildlife
Anonymous 7/27/2023 03:26 PM	Redone
Anonymous 7/27/2023 03:46 PM	roads & traffic 1st
Anonymous 7/27/2023 03:48 PM	Mixed use
Anonymous	Stupendous

7/27/2023 03:49 PM

Anonymous continued

7/27/2023 04:01 PM

Anonymous Beautiful

7/27/2023 04:25 PM

Anonymous Peaceful

7/27/2023 04:30 PM

Anonymous housing

7/27/2023 07:05 PM

Anonymous Livable

7/27/2023 07:34 PM

Anonymous Liveable

7/27/2023 08:13 PM

Anonymous Spirited

7/27/2023 08:24 PM

Anonymous Beautiful

7/27/2023 08:41 PM

Anonymous undeveloped

7/27/2023 09:18 PM

Anonymous Infrastructure

7/28/2023 05:55 AM

Anonymous Houses

7/28/2023 06:29 AM

Anonymous Majestic Mixed-Use

7/28/2023 06:48 AM

Anonymous Important

7/28/2023 07:30 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous Future housing

7/28/2023 07:47 AM

Anonymous Regenerative

7/28/2023 08:00 AM

Anonymous Airport

7/28/2023 08:55 AM

Anonymous Oasis

7/28/2023 09:29 AM

Anonymous A new neighborhood!

7/28/2023 09:31 AM

Anonymous Aviators refuge.

7/28/2023 09:38 AM

Anonymous park

7/28/2023 10:10 AM

Anonymous connected

7/28/2023 10:25 AM

Anonymous Innovate

7/28/2023 11:47 AM

Anonymous Repurposed

7/28/2023 11:55 AM

Anonymous Environmental

7/28/2023 12:01 PM

Anonymous Integrative!

7/28/2023 01:11 PM

Anonymous Closed

7/28/2023 01:20 PM

Anonymous Aviation-friendly

7/28/2023 01:27 PM

Anonymous Restoration
7/28/2023 01:30 PM

Anonymous Neighborhood
7/28/2023 01:41 PM

Anonymous Houses
7/28/2023 05:21 PM

Anonymous Futuristic
7/28/2023 07:40 PM

Anonymous Beautiful Boulder
7/28/2023 09:39 PM

Anonymous awesome
7/29/2023 05:19 AM

Anonymous Proud
7/29/2023 05:39 AM

Anonymous Community
7/29/2023 06:02 AM

Anonymous Houses
7/29/2023 09:12 AM

Anonymous grounded
7/29/2023 10:09 AM

Anonymous Educational
7/29/2023 10:34 AM

Anonymous Fun.
7/29/2023 11:20 AM

Anonymous Sustainable
7/29/2023 11:34 AM

Anonymous Housing
7/29/2023 11:43 AM

Anonymous 7/29/2023 11:48 AM	housing
Anonymous 7/29/2023 12:04 PM	Forward
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:03 PM	Thriving
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:05 PM	Nieghborhood
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:36 PM	Multiuse family hous
Anonymous 7/29/2023 01:37 PM	Improvements.
Anonymous 7/29/2023 02:02 PM	Housing
zenos_dog 7/29/2023 02:09 PM	Closed
Anonymous 7/29/2023 02:54 PM	NON-EXISTENT
Anonymous 7/29/2023 04:24 PM	stable
Anonymous 7/29/2023 04:31 PM	Safe
Anonymous 7/29/2023 04:44 PM	Housing-for-all
Anonymous 7/29/2023 04:52 PM	Irreplaceable
Anonymous	unoccupied

7/29/2023 05:11 PM

Anonymous

NECESSARY

7/29/2023 07:18 PM

Anonymous

Home

7/29/2023 08:53 PM

Anonymous

Housing

7/30/2023 05:53 AM

Anonymous

Inspiring

7/30/2023 06:06 AM

Anonymous

Integrated.

7/30/2023 06:15 AM

Anonymous

Neighborhoods

7/30/2023 06:17 AM

Anonymous

Airshow

7/30/2023 06:37 AM

Anonymous

airport

7/30/2023 06:40 AM

Anonymous

Community

7/30/2023 06:44 AM

Anonymous

decommissioned

7/30/2023 07:14 AM

Anonymous

Quieter!

7/30/2023 07:18 AM

Anonymous

Master-plan

7/30/2023 07:21 AM

Anonymous

Just as it is!

7/30/2023 07:47 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:33 AM

Quiet

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:35 AM

Climate-friendly

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:37 AM

Vital

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:40 AM

Benefits many

Anonymous

7/30/2023 08:59 AM

mixed-use

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:03 AM

House

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:03 AM

Retained!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:16 AM

Professional

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:30 AM

symbiotic

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:37 AM

Rocky Mtn Gliderport

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:38 AM

Fun

Anonymous

7/30/2023 09:48 AM

Aviation

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:03 AM

Groundbreaking.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:16 AM

Valuable.

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:21 AM

Vibrant

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:25 AM

Peaceful

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:32 AM

attractive

Anonymous

7/30/2023 10:53 AM

Aviation centric

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:01 AM

Housing

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:08 AM

thrilling

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:19 AM

Aviation

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:23 AM

Inspiring

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:24 AM

Take care it!

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:25 AM

Healthy

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:26 AM

Attractive

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:34 AM

Grocery store

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:39 AM

housing, enviro help

Anonymous

7/30/2023 11:46 AM

Enduring

Anonymous Kinney-less
7/30/2023 11:58 AM

Anonymous Housing
7/30/2023 12:00 PM

Anonymous Houses
7/30/2023 12:07 PM

Anonymous Remove
7/30/2023 12:15 PM

Anonymous Gone
7/30/2023 12:25 PM

Anonymous pilot friendly
7/30/2023 12:54 PM

Anonymous Be visionary!
7/30/2023 12:55 PM

Anonymous Aviation
7/30/2023 12:55 PM

Anonymous Love it.
7/30/2023 12:58 PM

Anonymous Inspiring
7/30/2023 01:12 PM

Anonymous aviation
7/30/2023 01:31 PM

Anonymous Same
7/30/2023 02:04 PM

Anonymous Airport
7/30/2023 02:16 PM

Anonymous Flying

7/30/2023 02:21 PM

Anonymous

AIRPLANES

7/30/2023 02:36 PM

Anonymous

Healthy.

7/30/2023 02:45 PM

Anonymous

Image

7/30/2023 03:13 PM

Anonymous

Adventurous

7/30/2023 03:29 PM

Anonymous

Limited

7/30/2023 03:30 PM

Anonymous

Forward-Looking

7/30/2023 03:30 PM

Anonymous

Affordable housing

7/30/2023 03:36 PM

Anonymous

Fruitful

7/30/2023 03:41 PM

Anonymous

Unchanged

7/30/2023 03:58 PM

Anonymous

Community-serving

7/30/2023 04:03 PM

Anonymous

Green, quiet, homey

7/30/2023 04:05 PM

Anonymous

Innoivative

7/30/2023 04:09 PM

Anonymous

Airport

7/30/2023 04:45 PM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous Support! (Airport)

7/30/2023 04:57 PM

Anonymous Residential

7/30/2023 05:03 PM

Anonymous Airport.

7/30/2023 06:07 PM

Anonymous Housing

7/30/2023 07:25 PM

Anonymous STET

7/30/2023 07:39 PM

Anonymous Modern

7/30/2023 08:19 PM

Anonymous Housing

7/30/2023 08:39 PM

Anonymous Decommissioned

7/30/2023 08:59 PM

Anonymous Gardens

7/30/2023 09:37 PM

Anonymous Obsolete?

7/30/2023 10:53 PM

Anonymous aviation

7/31/2023 02:40 AM

Anonymous outdoor spaces

7/31/2023 03:14 AM

Anonymous Fun

7/31/2023 05:13 AM

Anonymous housing

7/31/2023 05:55 AM

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:01 AM

Iconic

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:06 AM

Necessary.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:23 AM

Vibrant

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:36 AM

Aviation

Anonymous

7/31/2023 06:52 AM

Airport

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:06 AM

Incorporated

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:34 AM

Modern

Anonymous

7/31/2023 07:58 AM

Rich boy playground

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:04 AM

home

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:05 AM

envirofriendly

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:17 AM

reborn!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:19 AM

Enduring!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:24 AM

Inspiring

Anonymous

7/31/2023 08:26 AM

aviation

Anonymous
7/31/2023 08:26 AM

Necessary.

Anonymous
7/31/2023 08:27 AM

flight

Anonymous
7/31/2023 08:45 AM

Limitless

Anonymous
7/31/2023 08:54 AM

Re-purposed

Anonymous
7/31/2023 09:00 AM

Neighborhood

Anonymous
7/31/2023 09:08 AM

Expensive

Anonymous
7/31/2023 09:24 AM

Inspirational

Anonymous
7/31/2023 09:25 AM

Continue

Anonymous
7/31/2023 09:37 AM

Thriving

Anonymous
7/31/2023 09:43 AM

airport

Anonymous
7/31/2023 09:45 AM

airport

Anonymous
7/31/2023 09:54 AM

Gone.

Anonymous
7/31/2023 10:30 AM

Careerbuilder

Anonymous
7/31/2023 10:30 AM

Visionary

7/31/2023 10:31 AM

Anonymous

Beneficial

7/31/2023 10:43 AM

Anonymous

Safety

7/31/2023 10:47 AM

Anonymous

Heritage

7/31/2023 10:51 AM

Anonymous

Attractive

7/31/2023 10:52 AM

Anonymous

Walkable community

7/31/2023 10:53 AM

Anonymous

Improved.

7/31/2023 10:54 AM

Anonymous

Community.

7/31/2023 10:58 AM

Anonymous

Airport

7/31/2023 10:59 AM

Anonymous

Airport

7/31/2023 11:13 AM

Anonymous

Nature

7/31/2023 11:17 AM

Anonymous

AIRPORT

7/31/2023 11:22 AM

Anonymous

airport

7/31/2023 11:34 AM

Anonymous

aviation landmark

7/31/2023 11:36 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:43 AM	Airport
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:47 AM	Inclusive
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:53 AM	Visionary
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:53 AM	Flight
Anonymous 7/31/2023 11:56 AM	Anachronistic
Anonymous 7/31/2023 12:35 PM	Beneficial
Anonymous 7/31/2023 12:57 PM	Thriving
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:17 PM	Empty
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:21 PM	Livability
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:43 PM	AVIATION!
Anonymous 7/31/2023 01:52 PM	BoulderForward
Anonymous 7/31/2023 02:13 PM	Quiet
Anonymous 7/31/2023 02:29 PM	Value-add
Anonymous 7/31/2023 02:33 PM	Inspiring

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:34 PM

Aviation

Anonymous

7/31/2023 02:44 PM

Biggerbetter

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:11 PM

As-is

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:13 PM

Quiet

Anonymous

7/31/2023 03:53 PM

Planetastic!

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:00 PM

Closed

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:01 PM

kids educational exp

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:25 PM

nature

Anonymous

7/31/2023 04:55 PM

Everlasting.

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:00 PM

Memories

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:04 PM

Dream

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:23 PM

Flight

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:26 PM

Keep

Anonymous

7/31/2023 05:26 PM

affordable housing

Anonymous KeeptheAirport
7/31/2023 05:28 PM

Anonymous accessible
7/31/2023 05:39 PM

Anonymous Airport
7/31/2023 05:40 PM

Anonymous beautiful
7/31/2023 05:46 PM

Anonymous Aviation
7/31/2023 05:54 PM

Anonymous Modern
7/31/2023 05:57 PM

Anonymous housing
7/31/2023 06:12 PM

Anonymous Stay.
7/31/2023 06:17 PM

Anonymous Peace and quiet.
7/31/2023 06:18 PM

Anonymous Thriving
7/31/2023 06:21 PM

Anonymous Thriving!
7/31/2023 06:22 PM

Anonymous Sustainable
7/31/2023 06:42 PM

Anonymous Heritage.
7/31/2023 06:36 PM

Anonymous Important

7/31/2023 06:43 PM

Anonymous

Diverse, inclusive

7/31/2023 06:46 PM

Anonymous

fucked.

7/31/2023 07:02 PM

Anonymous

Busy

7/31/2023 07:05 PM

Anonymous

Community

7/31/2023 07:18 PM

Anonymous

Airport

7/31/2023 07:18 PM

Anonymous

active

7/31/2023 07:22 PM

Anonymous

evolving

7/31/2023 07:40 PM

Anonymous

Curiosity

7/31/2023 07:54 PM

Anonymous

Admired

7/31/2023 07:56 PM

Anonymous

Aviation

7/31/2023 07:57 PM

Anonymous

Functional

7/31/2023 07:58 PM

Anonymous

Important

7/31/2023 07:58 PM

Anonymous

Valuable.

7/31/2023 07:59 PM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous Airport

7/31/2023 08:08 PM

Anonymous irreplaceable

7/31/2023 08:06 PM

Anonymous Unchanged

7/31/2023 08:20 PM

Anonymous Keep the airport!

7/31/2023 08:25 PM

Anonymous Jetson

7/31/2023 08:22 PM

Anonymous Aviation-centric

7/31/2023 08:41 PM

Anonymous Essential!

7/31/2023 08:47 PM

Anonymous Funandsafe

7/31/2023 08:49 PM

Anonymous Beneficial

7/31/2023 08:51 PM

Anonymous growing

7/31/2023 08:56 PM

Anonymous Limited

7/31/2023 08:57 PM

Anonymous FlyBDU

7/31/2023 09:17 PM

Anonymous Institution

7/31/2023 09:19 PM

Anonymous Vital

7/31/2023 09:32 PM

Anonymous Soaring.
7/31/2023 10:20 PM

Anonymous Peaceful
7/31/2023 10:25 PM

Anonymous Thriving
7/31/2023 10:31 PM

Anonymous Fascinating!
7/31/2023 10:42 PM

Anonymous Modern
7/31/2023 10:51 PM

Anonymous housingless
7/31/2023 10:52 PM

Anonymous Airport
7/31/2023 11:12 PM

Anonymous Open
7/31/2023 11:43 PM

Anonymous Airport
8/01/2023 01:04 AM

Anonymous Inspiring engineers
8/01/2023 01:14 AM

Anonymous Airport!
8/01/2023 01:21 AM

Anonymous Gone,
8/01/2023 03:36 AM

Anonymous Airport
8/01/2023 03:44 AM

Anonymous Community
8/01/2023 04:15 AM

Anonymous
8/01/2023 05:34 AM

Bike paths and park

Anonymous
8/01/2023 05:45 AM

less noise

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:02 AM

THRIVING!

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:12 AM

considerate

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:17 AM

Teboisdroolingoverit

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:34 AM

Airport-y

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:35 AM

Stop killing aviatio

Anonymous
8/01/2023 06:41 AM

Enhanced

Anonymous
8/01/2023 07:36 AM

FAMILY

Anonymous
8/01/2023 08:15 AM

Community

Anonymous
8/01/2023 08:22 AM

Aviation-friendly.

Anonymous
8/01/2023 08:44 AM

Housing

Anonymous
8/01/2023 08:52 AM

airport

Anonymous
controversial

8/01/2023 08:57 AM

Anonymous Aviation

8/01/2023 09:17 AM

Anonymous Houses

8/01/2023 09:17 AM

Anonymous Green!

8/01/2023 09:27 AM

Anonymous Vibrant

8/01/2023 09:33 AM

Anonymous Neighborhood

8/01/2023 09:33 AM

Anonymous AIRPORT

8/01/2023 09:33 AM

Anonymous Community

8/01/2023 09:37 AM

Anonymous Growing

8/01/2023 09:38 AM

Anonymous Aviation.

8/01/2023 10:12 AM

Anonymous Harmonious

8/01/2023 10:15 AM

Anonymous Housing

8/01/2023 10:18 AM

Anonymous Dynamic

8/01/2023 10:30 AM

Anonymous Vibrant

8/01/2023 10:47 AM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023

Anonymous Operational

8/01/2023 11:02 AM

Anonymous Progressive

8/01/2023 11:13 AM

Anonymous historical

8/01/2023 11:17 AM

Anonymous vibrant

8/01/2023 11:38 AM

Anonymous Retained

8/01/2023 11:48 AM

Anonymous Educational

8/01/2023 11:52 AM

Anonymous Adventure/Freedom

8/01/2023 12:54 PM

Anonymous Aerodut

8/01/2023 01:29 PM

Anonymous Maintained

8/01/2023 01:33 PM

Anonymous CLOSED

8/01/2023 01:47 PM

Anonymous walkable

8/01/2023 02:04 PM

Anonymous Airport

8/01/2023 02:25 PM

Anonymous Nature

8/01/2023 02:29 PM

Anonymous Preserved

8/01/2023 03:22 PM

Anonymous Uplifting
8/01/2023 03:35 PM

Anonymous Prosperous.
8/01/2023 04:04 PM

Anonymous Multigenerational
8/01/2023 04:31 PM

Anonymous Boulder, Colorado.
8/01/2023 04:41 PM

Anonymous Quiet
8/01/2023 04:59 PM

Anonymous Buzzing!
8/01/2023 05:15 PM

Anonymous Green
8/01/2023 05:19 PM

Anonymous Community
8/01/2023 05:59 PM

Anonymous Neighbors
8/01/2023 06:30 PM

Anonymous vibrant
8/01/2023 06:32 PM

Anonymous Fly!
8/01/2023 06:45 PM

Anonymous Grounded
8/01/2023 07:54 PM

Anonymous IMPORTANT!
8/01/2023 07:58 PM

Anonymous EVTOL
8/01/2023 08:02 PM

Anonymous #ElectricAviation
8/01/2023 08:08 PM

Anonymous OpenSpace
8/01/2023 08:41 PM

Anonymous Economy
8/01/2023 09:12 PM

Anonymous Meaningful
8/01/2023 09:39 PM

Anonymous Peaceful
8/02/2023 02:03 AM

Anonymous Unleaded-fuel
8/02/2023 04:29 AM

Anonymous Enhanced
8/02/2023 05:17 AM

Anonymous aviation
8/02/2023 06:19 AM

Anonymous Mismanaged
8/02/2023 06:36 AM

Anonymous Affordable Housing.
8/02/2023 08:20 AM

Anonymous Enduring
8/02/2023 08:21 AM

Anonymous airport
8/02/2023 08:23 AM

Anonymous progressive
8/02/2023 08:23 AM

Anonymous Houses

8/02/2023 08:44 AM

Anonymous

Welcoming

8/02/2023 09:13 AM

Anonymous

Airport

8/02/2023 09:52 AM

Anonymous

Leader

8/02/2023 10:00 AM

Anonymous

Plane-Free

8/02/2023 10:01 AM

Anonymous

hope more homes

8/02/2023 10:37 AM

Anonymous

Houses

8/02/2023 12:13 PM

Anonymous

Vital to community

8/02/2023 12:14 PM

Anonymous

A shared place.

8/02/2023 12:53 PM

Anonymous

Houses

8/02/2023 01:14 PM

Anonymous

As-is

8/02/2023 01:40 PM

Anonymous

Beautiful

8/02/2023 01:43 PM

Anonymous

Unchanged

8/02/2023 01:59 PM

Anonymous

Educational

8/02/2023 03:24 PM

Questionnaire 2 - English : Survey Report for 12 July 2023 to 02 August 2023


Anonymous 8/02/2023 03:35 PM	eco-friendly
Anonymous 8/02/2023 03:46 PM	Incredibly enjoyment
Anonymous 8/02/2023 06:20 PM	Leave it as is.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 06:43 PM	THRIVING AVIATION
Anonymous 8/02/2023 07:44 PM	Future.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 07:50 PM	Aviation paradise.
Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:01 PM	Unchanged
Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:07 PM	Housing
Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:18 PM	inspiring
Anonymous 8/02/2023 08:38 PM	Airport.

Optional question (854 response(s), 107 skipped)

Question type: Single Line Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q11 | Do you own or rent your home?



Question options


- Prefer not to say
- I do not have stable housing right now
- Other
- Rent
- Own

Optional question (933 response(s), 28 skipped)

Question type: Radio Button Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q12 | What is your gender?



Question options


- Other
- Gender non-conforming
- Man
- Woman

Optional question (898 response(s), 63 skipped)

Question type: Radio Button Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q13 | What is your age range?



Question options


- I prefer not to say
- 65 and over
- 55 to 64
- 35 to 54
- 25 to 34
- 18 to 24
- Under 18

Optional question (918 response(s), 43 skipped)

Question type: Radio Button Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q14 | Which race or ethnicity do you identify with most?



Question options


- Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
 ● Other
 ● I prefer not to say
 ● Two or more races
 ● White
- Hispanic or Latinx
 ● Black or African-American
 ● Asian
 ● American Indian or Alaska Native

Optional question (911 response(s), 50 skipped)

Question type: Radio Button Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder

Q15 | How would you describe your annual household income?



Question options

- I prefer not to say
- \$150,000 a year or more
- \$100,000 to \$149,999 a year
- \$50,000 to \$99,999 a year
- \$25,000 to \$49,999 a year
- Less than \$25,000 a year

Optional question (921 response(s), 40 skipped)

Question type: Radio Button Question

Filtering by: Where do you live? East-Boulder , North-Boulder , Downtown-Boulder , Gunbarrel , Palo-Park , Central-Boulder , University-of-Colorado/University-Hill , Outside-of-the-City-of-Boulder,-in-Boulder-County , Crossroads , South-Boulder , Southeast-Boulder